

**A REVIEW ON AUDIOLOGICAL  
EVALUATION OF NIHL**

**REG. NO. M9702**

*AN INDEPENDENT PROJECT SUBMITTED AS PART  
FULFILMENT OF FIRST YEAR M.Sc, (SPEECH AND HEARING)  
TO THE UNIVERSITY OF MYSORE*

**ALL INDIA INSTITUTE OF SPEECH AND HEARING  
MYSORE - 570 006**

**MAY 1998**

***DEDICATED TO MY  
BELOVED  
MAI & AUNTY***

**CERTIFICATE**

This is to certify that the Independent project entitled, **A REVIEW OH AUDIOLOGICAL EVALUATION OF NIHL** is a bonafide Work in part fulfillment for the First year M.Sc. in Speech and Hearing of the student with **Reg.No. M9702.**



**Director**

All India Institute of  
Speech and Hearing  
Mysore - 06

Mysore  
May 1998

**CERTIFICATE**

This is to certify that the Independent project entitled, **A REVIEW ON AUDIOL06ICAL EVALUATION OF NIHL** has been prepared under my supervision and guidance.

*Manjula P*  
**Guide**

**Mrs. MANJULA.P.**  
Lecturer in Audiology  
AIISH, Mysore - 06

Mysore  
May 1998

## DECLARATION

I hereby declare that this **independent project** entitled **A REVIEW ON AUDIOLOGICAL EVALUATION OF NIHL** is the result of my own study under the guidance of Mrs.MANJULA.P, Lecturer in Audiology, Department of Audiology, All India Institute of Speech and Hearing, Mysore - 06, has not been submitted earlier to any university for any other Diploma or Degree.

Mysore  
May 1998

**Reg.No. M9702**

## ACKNOWLEDGMENT

First of all, I would like to thank my guide MRS.MANJULA, P., Lecturer in Audiology, Dept. of Audiology, All India Institute of Speech and Hearing, Manasagangothri, Mysore, for constantly guiding me throughout this project work.

I would also like to thank Dr. (Miss) Nikam.S, for allowing me to carry out this project.

Amma, Appa, Geetha and Puneeth, - your support, love, understanding, prayers and blessings, has got me to where I stand today. I owe a lot to all of you. I love you.

My sincere and hearty thanks to Dr. Rajashekhar, Prof. and I/C of Audiology and Speech, KMC, Manipal, for all the guidance throughout B.Sc. and during my two years of working.

Friends are many but true friends are few - Srabanti (Sabby), Romy and Geetha. Thanks a ton for the love and care you gave me in all my good and bad times. You guys will always remain in my heart.

Sasi and Usha - I had a lovely time working with you for 2 years. Guys you are great.

Kiran and Veena - Thanks a lot for all the encouragement you gave me throughout my stay at AIISH.

I would also like to thank Acchu, Venki and all my classmates for the moral support and help.

Last but not the least, thanks to all the staff of Maruthi Computers, for their efficient and quick work.

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

|                      | PAGE NO. |
|----------------------|----------|
| INTRODUCTION         | 1-8      |
| METHODOLOGY          | 9-10     |
| REVIEW OF LITERATURE | 11-90    |
| SUMMARY              | 91-96    |
| BIBLIOGRAPHY         | 97-126   |
| APPENDIX - A         | 127-133  |

# *INTRODUCTION*



## INTRODUCTION

Ear is one of the most complex and intriguing organs in man known to science. Ear is the means by which sound waves are transmitted to the brain and sound is heard. The human ear is sensitive to sound waves vibrating at frequencies as low as 20Hz upto those as high as 20,000Hz. For an individual to hear a sound, it must be loud enough to reach his threshold of hearing for that particular frequency. Our ears are most sensitive in the frequency range between 1,000Hz and 3,000Hz i.e., ears require lesser intensity in this frequency region to hear. Tones of very low frequency range activate our sense of touch, since the sound waves stimulate the vibratory sense endings in our skin and therefore we "feel the sound" rather than "hear the sound". As long as the sound is within a certain intensity range, it will be pleasing to the ear. Once this sound causes disturbance or annoyance to an individual, it will be considered as noise.

Loud noise not only causes damage to hearing but it is known to adversely effect people working in the industry causing annoyance, decrease in work efficiency, sleep disturbances, psychological distress, physiologic changes including changes in heart rate, changes in blood pressure, decrease in blood sodium level in blood. The most important effect, is infact a direct result of the primary auditory effect, that is the obvious interferences with speech

communication due to the masking effect. Before we go into the details of the effects of noise on hearing, it is necessary to know the structure and the functions of the human ear. Anatomically, the human ear may be divided into three parts-Outer ear, Middle ear and Inner ear. Outer ear consists of the pinna or auricle and the external auditory canal. Middle ear is an air filled cavity, whose boundaries are the tympanic membrane on the lateral side and the oval window into which the footplate of the stapes fits, on the medial side. The ossicular chain of three small bones (malleus, incus, and stapes) and the opening for the eustachian tube are in the middle ear. On the medial side of the oval window is the inner ear, which is embedded in the petrous part of the temporal bone. Inner ear houses the sensory end organ of hearing, the organ of corti. Inner ear also contains three types of fluids - perilymph, endolymph and cortilymph. Inner ear is also called the labyrinth. It consists of bony and membranous labyrinth. The cochlea is coiled from base to apex and has  $2\frac{1}{2}$  turns. The organ of corti which is housed in the inner ear contains between 20,000 and 30,000 minute sensory cells on the basilar membrane from which very fine hairs or cilia extend. On top of these hair cells is the tectorial membrane. The hair cells respond selectively according to the frequencies of the sounds the ear is transmitting.

Sound waves are carried through the air, collected into the ear canal and directed towards the tympanic membrane. As

the tympanic membrane vibrates, the vibrations are transmitted through the middle ear by the means of three small bones. The footplate of stapes attached to the oval window moves in and out and this creates an alternate positive and negative pressure in the fluid within the cochlea at an extremely rapid rate. Action of the stapedial footplate causes fluid waves to form in the inner ear. As the fluid waves, put in motion by the stapedial footplate at the oval window of the cochlea, selectively stimulate the haircells on different regions of the basilar membrane, the nerve endings at the base of the hair cells gather together the impulses thus generated into a sort of cable that carries them along the VIII nerve and auditory pathway into the auditory centers of the brain.

Hearing impairment can be defined as a deviation or change for the worse in auditory function, usually outside the range of normal [Newby & Popelka, 1992]. Damage at any stage of hearing can cause hearing loss... There are many causes for hearing loss. One among them is hearing loss due to noise. This is called Noise Induced Hearing Loss (NIHL). NIHL continues to be a significant public health problem. Noise by definition is any undesired sound and by extension, noise is any unwanted disturbance within a useful frequency band. Noise, like any other sound, is defined in terms of its duration, frequency spectrum and intensity measured in Sound Pressure Level (SPL) and expressed in decibels (dB).

Noise may be continuous, intermittent, impulsive or explosive. It may be steady state or fluctuant.

The fact that noise can be detrimental to the auditory sensory system has been known for centuries. The effects of noise on hearing may be temporary or permanent. The principle index of the existence of these effects has been measured changes in the hearing sensitivity or threshold hearing levels. These changes are represented by the difference between hearing threshold levels measured before and after a specified exposure to noise or in comparison to normal hearing.

The effects of noise may be studied under:

- Acoustic trauma.
- Temporary threshold shift (TTS)
- Permanent threshold shift (PTS)

Acoustic trauma is the injury to the ear by a single brief exposure to loud sound, such as an explosion or a gun blast. This exposure causes immediate hearing loss accompanied by fullness and ringing in the ear. There may also be a rupture of the eardrum and disruption of ossicular chain. The loss of hearing may be severe at first, but much recovery can be expected. The amount of loss depends on the intensity and duration of the noise and the sensitivity of the ear; The loss may be greater and may involve a broader range of frequencies. It may be unilateral or bilateral. The degree of hearing loss may be more soon after the acoustic trauma.

**Temporary Threshold Shift (TTS);** If the change in hearing sensitivity is reversible and recovers to pre-exposure levels within some time interval following noise exposure, it is called Noise Induced Temporary Threshold Shift (NITTS). The occurrence of TTS and recovery from the temporary change in hearing sensitivity depend on many factors which include the characteristics of the fatiguing noise such as its spectrum, intensity, duration and temporal pattern. Mills (1982) detected TTS when the exposure levels exceeded 74dB at 4 kHz, 78dB at 2kHz and 82dB at 1k & 0.5 kHz. Two assumptions were made.

1. Risk to the inner ear from noise is extremely small beneath these levels.
2. TTS at asymptote produced by a given sound is the upper limit of PTS.

For a given noise, there is an asymptotic point where no further TTS occurs irrespective of the continued exposure to noise. Melnick (1976) reported that in man, for moderate levels of exposure to continuous noise, asymptotic threshold shift (ATS), occurs, after 8-12 hours of noise exposure.

The amount of threshold shift and the frequencies affected depend on the spectrum and level of exposure to noise. A primary factor influencing the development of TTS is the intensity of the noise. The relationship of TTS to sound exposure increases in complexity when the stimulating sound

is intermittent or fluctuating as opposed to steady state. The exposure time pattern also influences TTS development and recovery. TTS from intermittent sound is less, than if there had been continuous exposure to same sound.

**Permanent Threshold Shift (PTS):** If the loss of hearing sensitivity resulting from exposure to hazardous noise persists throughout the lifetime of the affected person, it is called PTS. PTS is an irreversible elevation of the auditory threshold associated with permanent pathological changes in the cochlea. The factors which influence the amount of TTS also are important influences on the development of PTS. Hearing loss resulting from the hazardous effects of noise, especially in the typical industrial noise environment, progress in a fairly well established, recognizable pattern. Hearing loss at 4000Hz is the hallmark of NIHL.

The factors which influence the audiometric pattern of NIHL are - (a) Lack of extreme variation in industrial noise spectra. (b) Transfer characteristics of the mechanical conductive elements of the human auditory system, where the pinna, ear canal and the middle ear structures enhance transmission of sound energy in the 2000 to 4000 Hz range.

Noise induced PTS increases as the level of the off-ending noise increases.

The exact relations of fluctuating, intermittent and impulsive noise to permanent hearing loss have not been firmly established and are the subject of considerable controversy.

Tinnitus is fairly constant concomitant of industrial hearing loss, which is frequently present for some hours after noise exposure but fortunately usually disappears.

It is generally accepted that when noise exposure ceases, the hearing will not worsen and indeed may even improve.

For industrial purposes the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) recommends a limit at 75dB(A) for continuous exposures of 8 hour with other patterns of exposure restricted to the same total energy (3dB rule). A corresponding limit of 90dB(A) with an increase of 5dB for each halving of duration of exposure was proposed in 1969 by the American conference of Governmental Industrial Hygienists. This is still used by Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA). EPA recommendation is considered by many to be overprotective and the OSHA rule is considered by as many others to be lax (Donald H. Eldredge, 1976).

Audiological evaluation is a necessity for those individuals exposed to noise, be it a single exposure or a long term exposure. Audiological tests tell about the

threshold shift occurred and periodic testing will tell whether the loss is a temporary or a permanent one.

**Need for the study;**

Many studies on noise-induced hearing loss are published in various journals/publications.

The present study aims to collect, within one single volume, the audiological evaluations done for NIHL and their results in the past 33 years.

This information is hoped to be of help to the researchers and future students in the field of audiology.



# *METHODOLOGY*

## METHODOLOGY

**Aim of the study;** To review the various articles on NIHL and see the trend in

1. The subjects selected for the test.
2. Tests most frequently used to detect NIHL- Pure tone audiometry, Speech audiometry, Impedance evaluation, OAE, BERA etc.,
3. Tests that are most effective in detecting NIHL.
4. The audiometric patterns seen in NIHL.

The journal articles dealing with audiological evaluation of NIHL were selected. Articles were collected from various journals. The journals which were reviewed were:

- (a) Acta otolaryngology (Acta.Otolaryng.)
- (b) Archives of otolaryngology (Arch.Otolaryng.)
- (c) Audiology (Audiol.)
- (d) British Journal of Audiology (B.J.A.)
- (e) Ear and Hearing (E & H)
- (f) Hearing Journal. (Hg.J.)
- (g) Hearing Research (Hg.Res.)
- (h) Journal of Auditory Research (J.A.R. )
- (i) Journal of Acoustical Society of America (J.A.S.A.)
- (j) Journal of Speech and Hearing Disorders (J.S.H.D.)
- (k) Journal of Speech and Hearing Research (J.S.H.R.)
- (l) Scandinavian audiology (Scand. Audiol.)

The information from the articles in the above journals are classified under the following heads and tabulated as:

- > Authors (year)
- > Purpose of the study.
- > Subjects.
- > Noise exposure.
- > Test procedures.
- > Result.
- > Discussion.
- > Remarks.

Some of the terminologies used in the following studies are given in the Appendix A.

*REVIEW OF*  
*LITERATURE*

| Authors<br>(year)  | Purpose of study  | Subjects  | Noise exposure   | Test procedure   | Results   | Discussion  | Remarks   |
|--|---|---|--|--|---|---|---|
| 1  | 2   | 3   | 4  | 5  | 6   | 7   | 8   |
| Akira, O.,<br>Eirotsugu, M.,<br>Kotaro, Y.,<br>Masayasu, M.,<br>(1971) | To determine whether hearing loss is produced by vibration alone and whether vibration will increase hearing loss from noise.             | 8 normal male subjects in the age range 19-20 yrs.          | Exposed to steady state noise of 101dB SPL.<br>Noise and vibration. (101dB) (81dB)<br>Vibration of 2, 5 & 10Hz at 100 cm/sec <sup>2</sup> ; 5, 10 and 28 Hz at 500 cm/sec <sup>2</sup> and 10. 20 Hz at 1000 cm/sec <sup>2</sup> | Bekesy audiometry using RION AA34 at 1kHz 4kHz.          | Temporary threshold shift occurred after 28 and 68 min of exposure to the vibration of acceleration 500 cm/sec <sup>2</sup> and frequency 5Hz. TTS by a steady state noise was increased by simultaneous vibration. | Strong vibration, with accelerations of 1800 cm/sec <sup>2</sup> , produced TTS even at 20 Hz of frequency, at which acceleration of 580 cm/sec <sup>2</sup> doesnot cause TTS. Thus vibration alone can produce TTS. The greater the severity of vibration, the more intense the degree of TTS.<br>The present study shows that simultaneous exposure to noise & vibration made the TTS more severe than either noise or vibration only. | Duration of exposure is mentioned. More TTS for simultaneous exposure to noise and vibration may be because, there was a vibratory noise of 81dB along with the noise of 101dB. |
| Al-Hua, S.,<br>at al.,<br>(1991)                                       | To establish the presence or absence of a correlation between NIHL and iron deficiency and to study the significance of this correlation. | 32 iron deficiency and 32 normal rats of 20-25 days of age. | Group I - 16 iron deficiency rats.<br>Group III - 16 normal rats.<br>Group II - 16 iron deficiency rats.<br>Group IV - 16 normal rats.   | ABR using madsen ERA-2250 Cochlear acrophological study. | No PTS was seen in normal rats. The ultrastructural correlates in normal rats are stereocilia dis array and aitochondria swelling in outer hair cells. TTS in iron deficiency rats were larger                      | NIHL, except at very high sound levels, results from insufficient adjustments of the inner ear metabolism to changes of functional demands. Therefore changes of the cochlear   | The amount of improvement and the FTS level is not given.   |

| 1                               | 2  | 3   | 4   | 5  | 6  | 7   | 8  |
|---------------------------------|--|---|---|--|--|---|--|
| Illu.L,<br>likt, C.B.<br>(1!!!) | To examine the relationship between TTS, PTS and cochlear pathology resulting from exposure to three intensities of noise in a species not previously utilized for research is noise induced hearing loss. | ISnormal adult mongolian gerbils divided into 3 groups. | 3 groups of six Mongolian gerbils each exposed to two octave(1414-5656Hz) band noise for one hour at 190,110 and 120dB SPL. | Behavioural testing was done to assess the auditory thresholds at 10 frequencies from 0.1 to 16 KHz. Thresholds measured at intervals of 0.5, 3,6 and 24hr after exposure, and daily testing was done two months after exposure. | Extensive threshold shift was observed in all groups 0.5hr after exposure. Recovery of thresholds from 1-28 days after exposure was approximately exponential. PTS was seen in the 110 & 120 dB SPL groups. With TSo.shrs of 50dB or less, no PTS resulted. With TSo.shrs above 50 to 60 dB eventual PTS increased linearly with slope of about 1.25 PTS/TSo.shrs. Relationship between auditory thresholds and cochlear histology-) The hair cell loss in each of the three groups well | Metabolism induced by iron deficiency may be accountable for an interaction between NIHL and iron deficiency. Iron deficiency can provide a pathological basis for NIHL. Behaviour of threshold shift during the initial hours following exposure was related to extent of eventual recovery. Increases in threshold shift after the termination of exposure, except for very low frequencies were always indicative of eventual PTS. Results indicate that apex may react more slowly to noise exposure than other cochlear regions. Recovery pattern suggests that recovery of function in reversibly dama- | Total duration of exposure is not mentioned. |
|                                 |  |   | Group I & III exposed to steady state white noise at 110dB SPL for 30 min.  |  | than those in normal rats. The ultrastructural correlates of NIHL in iron deficiency rats are pathology of the stereocilia and a significant reduction of mitochondria as well as slight degeneration of nucleus in the outer hair cells. Iron deficiency can provide a pathological basis for NIHL.   |   |  |

| 1   | 2  | 3  | 4   | 5  | 6   | 7  | 8   |
|---|--|--|---|--|---|--|---|
|   |  |  |   |  | correlated with the behaviourally determined threshold shifts. Variability in PTS and cochlear damage was higher in the 110 dB SPL exposure group.  | ged otter hair cells follow a different time course than that seen in similarly damaged inner haircells.   |   |
| Annette, K Pappard., Sean, B.P. (1992)                      | To get an idea of the incidence of NIHL in a proteen & young teen population, & to propose an effective hearing conservation program for a pby-sicians practice, audiologists clinic & educational sett-ing. | 4121 normals in 1-16 years age range.  | Exposed to hunt-ing<br>* Handguns<br>* Rifles<br>Go-Karts, firecra-ckers, lawn lovers & boom boxes. | Audiometry using Madsen screening audiometers calibrat-ed to ANSI 1969 stan-dards. 500Hz Scree-ned at 25dB.<br>1 KHz, 2KHz & 4KHz screened at 20 dB.   | 29 students had NIHL. 12/29 had unilateral loss-<br>17/29 had bilateral loss. Unilateral loss tend to be more severe than the bin-aural losses ranged from 20 dB to 55dB with a mediam of 40 dB at 4kHz. Bilateral loss ranged from 15 dB to 50 dB. | Mostgomery & Fujikawa (1992) suggested that the incidence of hearing loss among 8th graders has increas-ed 4 times in last 10 years. NIHL in children is not only increasing but is also affecting younger population.   | Duraticm and level of noise expo-sure is not mentioned. Unilateral loss - was it left ear or right ear loss? was it related to hand -held <i>weapons?</i> |
| Axelsson, A., Jerson, T. Lindberg, T., Lindgren, F., (1981) | To evaluate hearing in pupils attending vocational classes which will result in noisy professions.   | 538 boys in the age range 17-20 yrs and average age of 18.4 yrs and SD of 1.2. | Not specified   | Pure tone audiology using madsen OB -71 audiometer. Frequencies tested- 250, 500, 1k, 2k, 3k 4k, 6k and 8kHz. Audiometer «as calibrated according to ISO389. Audiometric investi-gation was completed within a 3 months period after the start of the shcool year. | 455 boys had normal hearing. 83 boys had hearing loss of lore than 20 dBHL. Out of 83 boys, 36 boys had dip in the audiogram i.e. one frequency worse than all other frequencies. Lef ear was found to be worse than right ear.                     | Host of the school boys in the present investigation had normal hearing. The 151 incidence of hearing loss in these boys aged 17-20 yrs, lusb be considered as quite high. The left ear is statistically poorer than the right at lost frequencies. Audioietric inves-tigation was com-pleted within a 3 | The type of noise which the pupils are exposed to and the type of vocational training they were undergoing have not been men-tioned.                      |

| 1   | 2  | 3   | 4   | 5  | 6   | 7   | 8  |
|---|--|---|---|--|---|---|--|
|   |  |   |   |  |   | month period after the start of the school year.  |  |
| Axelsson, A.,<br>Lindgren, F.,<br>(1971)                                      | to examine the hearing in <b>pop</b> musicians.  | 83 Subjects in the age range 17-40 yrs and average age of 26.5 yrs. | Average exposure time for the musicians was 9.3 yrs. They performed 18.3 hrs a week.  | Pure tone audiometry using Madsen TDH-80, Madsen OB-70 and Kamplez.                              | Group mean hearing thresholds shot only a slight deviation from normal. In individual analysis 13-30 were found to have a sensorineural hearing loss. Subjects with hearing loss show a discrete impairment in the frequency range 3-8 kHz. | Only few investigations have reported on the individual hearing in those obviously lost exposed to pop music. Those studies that have been done have demonstrated a low incidence of SIHL.  | The frequencies tested are not mentioned.  |
| Bergstrom, B.,<br>Nystrom, B.,<br>(1986)                                      | To assess the development of hearing loss in individuals during long term exposure to occupational noise | 319 subjects in the age range 17-45yrs and mean age of 33 yrs.      | Chemical division - 85dB (A)<br>Saw Mill division - 99dB (A)<br>Working station - 95dB (A)<br>Paper Mill-94 dB(A)<br>Beater room -100 dB(1) | Pure tone audiometry using Audiovox & a Tegner PTA -9. Frequencies tested were 0.5, 1, 2 & 4kHz. | Mean hearing levels deteriorated slowly, especially at 4kHz. No dramatic changes from one year to another were seen. A large proportion of employees in the chemical division suffered a hearing loss corresponding to 10 disablement.      | the results permit us to state that, when lean exposure levels do not exceed 100dB(A) & there is very little impulse noise, the deterioration of hearing above 20dB is so slow that, in industrial audioetry, annual hearing tests will not give significant results. | A large proportion of workers in chemical division with hearing loss could be due to interaction effects of the noise and the chemicals. The chemical to which they were exposed is not mentioned. |
| Blakeslee E.A.,<br>Hanson, I.,<br>Hameraik, E.F.,<br>Henderson, D.,<br>(1971) | To examine the effects of long-term exposure to reverberant noise impulses on the                        | 5 monaural adult chinchillas.                                       | Exposed to repetitive reverberant, impulse noise for ten days produced by a mechanized  | Auditory thresholds measured by psychophysical method at frequencies 0.5, 1, 1.4, 2, 2. & 4, 8   | threshold shift at 8kHz reached an asymptotic level within one hour from the start of exposure  | Exposure to repetitive impulse noise for ten days did lead to an ATS state. The impulse   |  |



| 1  | 2  | 3                       | 4   | 5  | 6   | 7   | 8  |
|--|--|-------------------------|---|--|---|---|--|
|  | chinchilla ear.  |                         | bauer hitting a steel plate at a rate of 1/sec with a peak pressure of 113dB SPL.   | and 16 kHz.  | Threshold shift at 0.5kHz leveled-off after 24 hours of exposure. Asyiptotic threshold shift(ATS) levels for both frequencies varied between 30 & 50dB across annimals. Maximum FTS was at 2 and 2.8kHz with Median PTS of 17 i 13 dB respectively. | noise ATS, is attained faster and with a greater degree of variability than comparable levels of ATS induced by continuous noise. nevertheless, the stability of the ATS produced by impulse noise & the consistency across the five animals suggest that the phenomenon say be useful in the systematic study of both TTS i IIPTS. | The total number of exposure perday has not been mentioned. The time duration between the cessation of exposure and the testing is not mentioned. The time gap between the two exposures is not given. |
| Borg, E.,<br>Hilsson, B.,<br>Engstrom, B.,<br>(1913) | To ascertain the FTS and inner ear pathology after exposure to industrial noise in rabbits with unilaterally inactivated middle ear muscles. | 7 nornal adult rabbits. | Tape with industrial noise such as impulse noise from sledges & haoners & high sound levels from pneumatic hand tools ( striking nut tighteners. Exposed to 15 tin. 3 different exposure levels used were 120,125 & 130dB (A) | Seflexometry . Auditor; brainstei response audiometry. | With normal middle ear reflex very little PTS was found Then the inscles were inactivated during the noisa exposure the PTS was very extensivt and covered the mid-fri-guency range.  | The results show that the HE miscle reflex significantly decreases PTS in rabbits exposed to high level of industrial noise. PTS after noise in rabbits with inactivated ME luscles is similar to the TTS observed in human subjects with Bells Palsy exposed to the same noise (Zakrisson et.al., 1980)                            |  |

| 1                                       | 2   | 3                | 4   | 5  | 6   | 7   | 8   |
|---|---|------------------|---|--|---|---|---|
| Botte, M.C.,<br>Moakhein, S.,<br>(1994) | To describe the short term effects of tone exposure by measuring the effects of exposure duration on the growth of ITS and TLS at different test levels for a moderate exposure (TLS: Temporary Loudness Shift) | 12 normal adults | Sight ear exposed to 1000Hz tone at 65dB SPL for 5 periods of 5 min i.e. a total of 25 min. | Bekesy tracking procedure (pre exposure) was used. Test tones at 30, 40, 50 or 60dB SPL were used. 10 successive TTSS were obtained 10 successive TLSs recorded. TTS and TLS measurements were carried out at the same frequency during 30sec test periods that followed each exposure period. | TTS data: Mean pre-exposure threshold was 10.1 dB SPL. Greatest TTS occurred after 5 min of exposure & smallest after 15 min. TLS data: TLS were larger than TTS with mean maxima about 11dB during the first 15sec post-exposure. TLS recovery was rapid with a mean rate of almost 7dB over 15sec. TLS did not vary significantly for test levels of 30-50dB. | The present data are in agreement with previous studies on short term TTS (Hirsh & Bilger 1955; Bell & Fairbanks 1963; Selters 1964). Part of present data are comparable with those obtained by HcPherson & Anderson (1971) in similar conditions. They consider that loudness shift from "50dB exposure is apparently not related to sensitivity changes in the ear". This study concludes that even a low level exposure does reduce loudness. | The procedure used a limited number of comparison tones, the lowest being only 12dB below the test level.                             |
| Botte, H.C.,<br>Monikheia.,<br>(1994)   | To describe the short term effects of tone exposure by measuring the effect of test level on the frequency pattern of TTS & TLS for moderate exposures to tones of different frequencies.                       | 10 normal adult  | Exposed to a tone of 500, 1kHz or 3kHz at 65dB SPL for a continuous period of 5 min.        | TTS measurement: pre & post-exposure thresholds for 9 test frequencies was obtained. TLS measurement: To equalize the loudness the subjects used the knob of the potentiometer. TTS & TLS for a given test level were measured successively with 9 test frequencies                            | Maximum TTS 7 TLS was observed at exposure frequency & decreased more or less systematically at lower & higher frequencies. TTS & TLS patterns were similar but those of TLS were higher (more extended) than those of TTS. Maximum TTS was lower than maximum TLS.   | This experiment confirms that a moderate exposure results in greater TLS than TTS at the exposure frequency. The TLS results agree with the data of Charroa & Botte (1918) who established frequency patterns of TLS at 55 dB test level after 24s exposure to 500, 1000 & 3000 Hz tones at 75 dB.  | The test frequencies are not mentioned. The time duration between the cessation of tone exposure and the test procedure is not given. |

| 1   | 2.   | 3   | 4  | 5  | 6  | 7-   | 8   |
|---|--|---|--|--|--|--|---|
| Botte, H.C.,<br>Monikheis, S.,<br>(1994)                                | To describe the<br>short ten effects<br>of tone exposure                               | 4 adults  | light ear exposed<br>to a 1000Hz, 90dB<br>tone for 15 sin.   | TTS t TLS for tOphon<br>test tones were meas-<br>ured at seven differ-<br>ent frequencies.               | TTS data: TTS was<br>greatest above the<br>test frequency. TTS<br>of about 4dB was<br>seen at the exposure<br>frequency 30s after<br>exposure. Maximum<br>TTS (17.8dB) oceared<br>at 150s post-expo-<br>sure 0.6 octave above<br>the exposure frequ-<br>ency.<br>The whole pattern of<br>TTS diminished 330s<br>after exposure.<br>TLS data: TLS «as<br>maximal (S.2-8.2dB) al<br>30s after exposure,<br>recovered rapidly<br>(about 4 dB) and was<br>no longer significant<br>330s after exposure.<br>TLS were approxima-<br>tely constant through<br>the whole range of<br>test frequencies. | This experiment<br>demonstrates short<br>term TTS & TLS also<br>occur after high<br>level exposures.<br>The short ten<br>effects of any<br>exposure affect<br>post-exposure<br>loudness.   |   |
|   | patterby measuring, the<br>early frequency<br>level exposure.                          |   |  |  |  |  |   |
| Charles, I.B.,<br>James, B.P.,<br>Ben, T.M.,<br>Robert, T.C.,<br>(1978) | To study the effects<br>of noise, dominated<br>by low frequency<br>energy, on hearing. | 1 tale chin-<br>chillas in 11-<br>32 months age<br>range. | 4 animals were expo-<br>sed to three succe-<br>ssive levels of an<br>octave band of<br>noise centered at<br>63Bz at 100dBSPL,<br>110 dBSPL, 120dB<br>SFL. The other 4<br>animals «ere expo-<br>sed to three succ-<br>cessive levels of<br>an octave band of<br>noise centered at | Behavioural testing<br>at frequencies 0.063,<br>0.09,0.125,0.5,1,1.4,<br>2.0 and 4kHz ns<br>carried out- | Little threshold<br>shift(TS) resulted<br>from the lower two<br>exposure levels of<br>63 Hz noise band. At<br>U0dB exposure level,<br>maximum TS of 43dB<br>occured at 2kHz. PTS<br>of 16dB at 2kHz and<br>11 dB at 140Hz were<br>found. Exposure to<br>the three levels of<br>1kHz noise band pro-  | The most Interest-<br>ing finding was the<br>high frequency hear-<br>ing loss produced<br>by the low frequ-<br>ency noise and that<br>noise bands matched<br>within 1dB A were<br>not equally hazar-<br>dous as indicated<br>by damage risk<br>criteria. | frequencies<br>above 4KHz<br>should also<br>have been<br>tested.<br>The level of<br>noise<br>exposure at<br>both<br>frequencies<br>should have<br>been kept<br>same for |

| 1                                    | 2   | 3  | 4   | 5  | 6  | 7   | 8   |
|--------------------------------------|---|--|---|--|--|---|---|
|                                      |   |  | 8SdB SPL, 95dB SPL,<br><br>Total exposure duration las 72 hours.        |  | and 61dB at 1400Hz.<br>The 95dB exposure level resulted in PTS of 6dB at 1400 Hz and )dB at 2kHz.<br>63Hz noise band produced nearly twice as much PTS as the 1000Hz noise band.   |   | purpose.  |
| Charles, V.,<br>(1977)               | To determine the adequacy of the auditory evoked response (AER) in the assessment of a asymptotic IITTS and to investigate the relationship between chinchilla pre-exposure AER threshold and AEE threshold while in a state of asymptotic IITTS. | 8 chinchillas weighing 300-600ga less than 3yrs of age.                        | Exposed to continuous octave band noise of 4kHz at 80dB SPL for 96 hrs. | Auditory evoked response threshold test<br>Frequencies tested- 1, 2, 4 and 8KHz  | Asymptotic threshold shift produced by the noise increased with frequency to 8kHz with a negligible effect at 1kBz and progressively higher thresholds at 2, 4 and 8kHz. There is a inverse relationship between pre-exposure threshold and threshold shift in the case of asymptotic IITTS. This relationship is not evident in the case of short term exposures to either steady state or impulse noise. | AEB method is as adequate measure of asymptotic IITTS. Thresholds during long-term noise exposure was found to be determined by the spectral and intensity components of the noise, independent of pre-exposure thresholds. |   |
| Chung, D.T.,<br>Smith, F.,<br>(1980) | To investigate systematically the pbenoanon of Tf by Brief Tone Audiometry of noraal hearing and hearing impaired(NIHL) subjects in both  | 20 normal subjects in the age range 21-33 yrs and 20 subjects with NIHL in the | lot specified J   | Bekesy tracking procedure .<br>Audiometer used -> Grason -Stadler 1701-<br>Frequencies tested were 500Hz i 4000Hz<br>White noise - 9Sdb SPL. | subjects with ML showed less TI than normals.<br>Frequency effect was found in both groups.  | The most notable finding was that the cochlear impaired auditory system has a lessened ability to integrate acoustic energy over longer durations.  | Tie age range of the two groups should have been the same for comparison<br>Noise |

| 1                   | 2   | 3 4  | 5   | 6   | 7 8   |
|---------------------|---|--|---|---|---|
|                     | quiet 4 masked conditions for low & high frequencies. TI * Temporal Integration.  | age range of 59.8 yrs.   | listed under 4 quiet and 4 Miked conditions.  |   | Data here show that in the 4kHz region the difference between the amounts of TI of the two groups is less in the masked condition. exposure duration & level of noise has not been mentioned. Supra threshold measurement of TI with the masking technique deserves further study.  |
| Chung, D.T., (1982) | 1. To study the frequency dependence of temporal integration (TI) is each individual.<br>2, To determine whether TI should be used in the clinical situation for diagnostic purposes. | 14 normal subjects in the age range 20-40yrs. 23 subjects with IILL in the age range 44-72 | Not specified<br><br>Fixed-frequency Bekesy tracking procedure using Grason-Stadler 1701 audiometer. Frequencies tested 0.5, 1, 2, 3, 4 and 6kHz. | TI function is closely related to the configuration of the audiogram than the hearing threshold level when data of individual are concerned. TI function is affected by the presence of "Subclinical" cochlear lesions. | The data from individual subjects display that TI is inversely related to cochlear hearing loss i.e. the shape of the TI function resembles the configuration of the corresponding audiogram. The correlation is not sufficient to allow prediction of TI by the degree of hearing loss or vice versa. The results of this study needs to be further substantiated. |

| 1   | 2  | 3  | 4  | 5  | 6   | 7 8  |                                       |
|---|--|--|--|--|---|--|---------------------------------------|
| Cianfrone, G.,<br>Ingrossos, A.,<br>Altissimi, G.,<br>Ralli, G.,<br>Turcheta, R.,<br>(1998) | To contribute to the knowledge of noise effects on DPOAE's in the anesthetized guinea Pigs.  | 5 anesthetized guinea pigs - weighing 90-150gms and 380 gms for animal.                    | Exposed to 110dB SPL pure tones for 45 minutes and the fatiguing tone centered on the arithmetic mean (GM) of primaries or 2/3 of GM. One animal was given a second exposure after complete recovery from the first. | DPOAE<br>The relationship between the frequency of the primaries and the frequency of the exposure tones giving the latent effect. The time course of the amplitude recovery. Permanent or long-lasting after exposure DP unifications, induced by post recovery repetition of stressing exposure. | The frequency distribution of fatiguing effects on the DPOAE showed a rewritable fine tuning and a pattern like a LPF. After four hours, in one animal, there was an enhancement of DP amplitude, compared to the pre-exposure level. In another animal, a second acoustic overstimulation produced a more extensive and time lasting effects than the first exposure. (LPF: Low Pass Filter) | The data confirms the necessity of monitoring always the time course of drug effects on the otoacoustic emissions before fatiguing measurements in animals. In order to obtain DP, measurable modifications induced by a stressing tone centered on GM, it is necessary to modify some experimental conditions, like exposure level and duration, level of primaries, etc. (Martin, et al., 1987). | Frequencies tested are not mentioned. |
| Clark, W.W.,<br>Bohne, B.A.,<br>(1987)  | To evaluate behavioral measures of hearing loss and cochlear histopathological changes produced by exposure to low frequency noise on interrupted schedules for durations longer than 9 days. To compare the effects on hearing and cochlear damage of exposure on two schedules that had similar duty cycles but different periods. | 7 chinchillas of 1 year old at the start of the experiment. 3 in group-1 and 4 in group-2. | Exposed to octave band noise centered at 0.5 kHz of 95 dB SPL. Group-1 were exposed for 6 hours per day for 36 days. Group-2 were exposed for 15 min/hour for 144 days.  | Bearing sensitivity was measured behaviorally at 1/4 octave frequency intervals from 0.125 to 16 KHz, before, during and after a period of 1-2 months of the exposure.   | Both groups produced an initial shift of thresholds of 35-45dB. After a few days of exposure, thresholds recovered to within 10-15dB of original baseline values even though the exposure continued. Measures of recovery lapsed after completion of the exposures indicated Minimal in all animals. Behavioural and anatomical data indicated that intermit-                                 | Threshold shifts produced by noise on some interrupted schedules do not produce ATS. Under some schedules of intermittent exposures, the ear can recover as much as 30dB of sensitivity, even though the exposure continues. Secondary losses of sensitivity for high frequency signals are sometimes seen. Exposure to an OBI centered at 1.5 kHz   |                                       |

| 1   | 2   | 3   | 4   | 5   | 6  | 7   | 8  |
|---|---|-----|---|---|--|---|--|
|   |   |     |   |   | ent exposures produced less TTS and PTS and less cochlear damage than continuous exposure of equal energy.   | 95dB SPL, 6 hr/day for 36 days or 15 in/hr for 144 days, produces less hearing loss and cochlear damage than continuous exposures.  |  |
| Claudia, D.V.,<br>filial, L.E.,<br>(1998) | To compare the hearing thresholds of a group of 60 recreational shooters of varying ages, with no industrial noise exposure, to the thresholds of an age latched population of individuals with no comparable noise exposure. | 60  | normals (8 feaale and 52 male) in 11-86 yrs age range.      | Began shooting at 16 years of age. Audioaetry using Hadseo 0B822 - Frequencies tested were 250, 500, 750 1000, 1500, 2000, 3000, 4000, 6000 & 8000 Hz. word discrimination score. Tympanometry using Maico MA 620 Tyipano-eter. | Hearing thresholds at all frequencies were worse for the recreational shooters (11-86 yrs) than for a typical age saiple. Significant differences in degree of hearing for shooters Vs their typical age latched saiple were at 4 & 6 kHz. Youngest group of shooters had worse hearing at 2, 3, 4 & 6 kHz. word discrimination score was 81.9% in quiet and 74.4% in noise for shooters. With increase in age, the word discriaination ability decreased both in quiet and in noise. Tympanometry shoved normal findings. | The recreational shooters ts t group experienced a significant hearing loss when compared to an age-latched population. The greatest degree of hearing loss appears to occur at 4000Hz and 6000 Hz. Results also suggest that the hearing loss incurred froa exposure to gunfire noise and froi increasing age appears to adversely affect word discriiination ability. | Duration of noise exposure per day is not mentioned. The age at which they began shooting ns 16 yrs. The cause for loss of hearing in subjects between the age range 11-16 yrs is not given. |
| Cooper, J.C.,<br>Owen, H.J.,<br>(1976)    | To derive an audio-logic profile of HIHL from a single sasple of patients.  | 225 | subjects Kith hearing loss in the age range 21-59 yrs and a | Hot netnioned. Manual k Bekesy audiometers used. Tests performed PTA SET  | Tonal thresholds increased systematically from approximately 7 dB at 250Bz to 22dB at 8kHz.  | Audiologic profile generated froi the data vas not unex-pected. The attempt to abs-   | frequencies tested are nt mentioned. TDT t SISI  |

| 1   | 2   | 3  | 4   | 5   | 6  | 7   | 8   |
|---|---|--|---|---|--|---|---|
|   |   | Mean age of 42 years                             |   | SS<br>IDT at 4 kHz<br>SISI at 4 kHz<br>Bekey tracings.  | Speech audiology produced standard deviations of 7dB for SIT and 30\ for discrimination. Width of continuous Bekey tracings was narrower than that for the interrupted tracings in 56.5% of the cases.   | tract the quantitative statement of the clinically discernible audiometric notch was successful. The degree of success suggests two applications. First, the failure to obtain an audiometric configuration consistent with a variation of the quartic would seem to suggest causative factor other than noise. The second application is possible within the context of automated hearing conservation programs. | should have been done at other frequencies also, i.e., at 1kHz and 2kHz.  |
| Dancer, &.,<br>Gateau,P.,<br>Cabanis.A.,<br>?aillant,T.,<br>Lafont,l).,<br>(1991) | To investigate thoroughly in the existence of delayed TTS following the exposure to actual weapon noises. | 28 male subjects with average age of 20.5 years. | Group 1 (N=12) fired 10 rifle shots daily during 2 days.<br>Group 2(N=8) fired a burst of 10 shots daily during 2 days.<br>Group 3(N=8) exposed in the free field to 100 rifle shots daily during the 2 days. | Field study: Bekey Audiology.<br>Frequencies tested- 0.25, 0.5, 1, 2, 3, 4 5, 6, 7 & 8 kHz (Pre-exposure).<br>Post-exposure: Bekey audiology during first, second days of exposure. | A significant number of subjects showed a "delayed TTS" and/or "rebound recovery" The maximum TTS was observed at 1 hour after exposure, but the observation of a delayed recovery and a rebound recovery indicate that audiometric tests should be performed in all cases at least upto 4 hours after the exposure. | Measurement of TTS 2min is not sufficient to evaluate the auditory hazards could lead to an underestimation of these hazards. To assess the actual risk I to build DEC for impulse noises, audiometric measurements should be performed at different times after the exposure.  | Pre & Post-exposure thresholds are not given. More detailed work is necessary to determine the corrective factors to apply to the present damage risk |



| 1   | 2   | 3   | 4             | 5  | 6  | 7  | 8   |
|---|---|---|---------------|--|--|--|---|
| Daniel, L., Johnson., Carol, E., (1982).        | To determine the effects of non-occupational noise exposure from guns on the hearing of an occupational noise exposed population. | 68 subjects (52 male & 16 females) in the range 24-64 years & Mean age of 43 years. | Not Specified | Pure tone audiometry. Frequencies tested- 0.5, 1, 2, 3, 4, 6 kHz   | Mean hearing levels between the sale participants exposed to gunfire and those not exposed, varied between 9-16 dB for 3k, 4k & 6kHz. No significant differences in hearing threshold levels of female subjects.   | The differences in lean hearing levels between noise exposed & unexposed subjects are clearly visible for the males at 3k, 4K & (kHz). No significant differences are shown for females. This may be partially explained by the types of gun used.   | Duration 4 level of exposure, distance between the source & the ear should have been mentioned. Pre-exposure audiograms should have been taken to study the causal effect.        |
| David, Y.C., Glens, N.W., Patrick, G.R., (1983) | To gain insight into the causes of asymmetry in cases with confirmed IIBL.  | 1461 subjects with HIHL in the age range 36-82 yrs.                                 | Shooting      | Audiometry at 0.25, 0.5, 1, 2, 3, 4, 6 & 1 Hz. Ipsilateral and contralateral reflex for 1-4 kHz: tone stimuli. | 57 of the claimants had worse hearing in the left ear at 2kHz. 69 of the claimants had a well-defined pattern of hearing loss in which only 2kHz in asymmetrical by 20 dB or more. Of 69 cases, 82.6% had worse hearing thresholds in the left ear at 2kHz. In 50% of the 69 cases, the asymmetry could not be accounted for even after the examination of their medical, occupational & non-occupational histories. No difference between | The medical histories of 69 casts were examined & no reason for the asymmetry was found in any of the cases. The asymmetry at 2kHz represents a lateral difference in susceptibility to noise damage. This lateral difference effect increases with frequency & reaches a peak at the 3-6 kHz area. The average difference in peak is about 3dB. | More supporting evidence and direct systematic studies are required to give a definitive conclusion on the problem. Details on noise exposure and style of shooting is not given. |

| 1  | 2   | 3   | 4   | 5   | 6  | 78  |
|--|---|---|---|---|--|---|
|  |   |   |   |   | the reflex threshold of the right i left ear, both contra and ipsilaterally.   |   |
| David, f,C,<br>John, L.F.,<br>(1983)     | To determine the pre- valence of hearing loss among Army engineers.   | 209 subjects in the age range 18-50 years.<br>Note:<br>BOS 12 - N=48<br>HOS 51 - N=22<br>HOS 62 - N=73<br>HOS 63 - N=33<br>MOS 64 - N=24<br>HOS 76 - N= 9 | Basic Engineer(I=4!) 1-15 yrs of service, 86-148dBA, 3-4 hrs daily (MOS-12).<br>Carpenters, Pluibers electricians (H=22), 2-24 years service, 86-127 dBA, 4-6 hrs daily (HOS51).<br>Mechanic (N=73), 1-21 yrs service, 86-118dBA, 5-7 hrs daily (MOS62).<br>Maintenance (1=33), 1-21 yrs Service, 86-112dBA, 6-7 hrs (HOS63).Truckdriver (N=24), 7-23 yrs service, 88-108dBA, 6 1/2 -7 hrs (MOS64).<br>1-21 yrs service (HOS 76)/M = i ). | Fore tone audiometry (meeting ANSI specification).Frequencies 0.5, 1, 2, 3, 4 1 6 kc/s.   | Basic engineers -) There is a steady decrease is hearing over time, with the greatest slope in the audiograi froi 2-6kc/it MOS51 - Showed slightly higher losses at 2 + kc/s than MOS1. personnel.<br>MOS62 - Hearing losseI lere about !5dB at 2 kc/s and decreased sharply to 45+dB at 6kc/s.<br>MOS63, 64, 76 - The average HTL's closely approximated those foi HOS62. | The poorer hearing of older engineers may indicate that age and noise expo- sure interact to produce more damage with age.<br>The subjects reported no obvious ear or hearing pro- bleis and did not participate in non- military noise- hazardous hobbies or activities. |
| David, 8.C.,<br>Michael, L.I.,<br>(1982) | To deteraine the contributions that eye color inforation aakes in predicting hearing loss.<br>To propose a foriula that best predicts a subjects hearing loss when certain indepen- dent variables, including eye colour, are known | 130 norsals (116 tales and 14 females) in 20-65 years age range.  | Leq (8) readings in the noisiest depart- ment were 92dBA; the quietest departient had leq (8) of 78dBA  | Pure tone audiosetric thresholds deterrined from 500 through 4000Bz and 3 and 6 lit.<br>Subjects eye colour was determined by self report on a question- naire designed for this purpose. | Analysis of variance revealed lean thres- hold differences at 4 i i kHz.<br>However, no signifi- cant team threshold differences between eye colour groups were seen when sean thresholds were adjusted for years of exposure in a covariance design.  | There is no signifi- cant difference in mean hearing thresh- olds forsubjects in the 3 eye colour groups.<br>Although there is a relationship between eye colour & suscep- tibility to NIHL, these correlations are very modest.  |

| 1                                       | 2  | 3  | 4   | 5   | 6   | 7   | 8   |
|---|--|--|---|---|---|---|---|
|   |  |  |   |   | $y^1 = A + B_1 X_1 + B_2 X_2 + \dots$ $ k k $ $y^1 = \text{Predicted average hearing loss.}$ <p>A=Constant.<br/>           B= Partial regression co-efficient.<br/>           X= Values of independent variable.</p>  |   |   |
| Dennis, 6.D., (1976)                    | To determine what effect changes in temperature would have on the time course of cochlear Microphonica (CH) during and after exposure to steady noise. | Normal adult chinchillas weighing 425-515 g. | Anaesthetized chinchillas exposed to steady octave band noise with center frequency of 1kHz at 90dB SFL.      | CM Measured in response to short tone burst at 0.2 kHz.   | Changes in body temperature and cochlear temperature from 29-39°C had little effect on normal CM. On noise exposure, CM decreased and approached an asymptote at a rate dependent on the temperature. State of reduction was less at low temperatures than at high temperature, with termination of noise, an initial rapid recovery preceded a slow recovery toward normal CH values. Slow recovery was inhibited at low temperatures. | Studies indicate that noise induced reduction of CM lay be linked to processes of energy metabolism and/or lay involve temperature dependent structural changes that do not affect normal cochlear responses. | Duration of noise exposure is not given.                |
| Dimon, Marion, i.C Edward, H.B., (1976) | To determine the effect on the auditory threshold of the worst "neighbourhood" exposure to aircraft  | 5 young normal subjects.                     | Exposed to landings or take-offs for six hours which produced a peak level at 111dBA at the rate of 1 per 1.5 | Auditory thresholds measured at 3 frequencies in both ears after 1, 2, 4 and 6 hours of exposure and at 3 other frequencies | In only two instances the lean TTS exceeded 3dB, being 4.6dB at 5.6KHz for the landings spaced 3 minutes apart and 4.3dB at   | lack of hazard from aircraft noise is consistent with cross-sectional and longitudinal studies of the hearing of  | frequencies tested are mentioned. It is not clear as to |

| 1   | 2  | 3   | 4   | 5  | 6   | 7   | 8   |
|---|--|---|---|--|---|---|---|
|   | noise.   |   | or 3 tin.   | after 8 hours of exposure.   | Hit for landings separated by 1.5 min.  | aircraft maintenance personnel, which showed that even before the advent of mandatory ear protection, only small permanent losses attributable to the aircraft noise existed despite the fact that very large TTS's were being produced. (Eopra, 1957; ward, 1957, knight and coles, 1966).                                   | only three frequencies were tested at 1, 2, 4, & 6 hrs and other 3 frequencies at 5 hours.  |
| Dolan, T.B.,<br>Ades, H.I.,<br>Bredberg, G.,<br>Ieff, I.B.,<br>(1975) | To assess the damage to the inner ear and hearing loss after exposure to tones of high intensity.    | Cats  | Cats were exposed to tones of 125, 1000, 2000, and 4000 Hz at SPL's in the range 120-157.5 dB and for durations one hour at 1, 2 & 4 kHz for four hours at 125 Hz | Pure tone audiograms obtained before and after the exposure. Frequencies tested are 125, 250, 500, 1000, 2000, 4000, 8000 and 12,000 Hz. | Exposure to 4 kHz produced damage in a restricted region of the cochlea and hearing loss for a relatively narrow range of frequencies. Exposure to 125 Hz produced wide spread inner ear damage and hearing loss throughout the frequency range of 125-6000 Hz. | In considering the results of the pre- <sup>*</sup> many others that have related hearing loss to inner ear damage, the limitations of Methods used in assessing inner ear damage must be kept in mind to histological technique provides a complete assessment of intracochlear damage or change resulting from an exposure. | The discrepancy between the damage to cochlea and hearing loss at 125 Hz and at 4 kHz may be due to the difference between the exposure duration. |
| Donald, L.L.,<br>Jane, B.,<br>Carol, T.,<br>(1978)                    | Due to the limitation on human research, this study was conducted to determine which animal would be | 5 male squirrel Monkeys aged 7-11 yrs. Two of them made monaural. | Exposed for 1, 2, 4, 8, 16, 24 and 48 hours to a 375-750 Hz band noise at an overall SPL of 95 dB.  | Thresholds were measured at frequencies 500, 750 and 1000 Hz. At 4, 16 hours of  | TTS4.5 growth pattern for the 750 Hz test frequency was biphasic and did not reach an asymptote   | The results show that after exposure to a low frequency octave band of noise the growth and reco-   | To take the comparison (between human and animals),   |

| 1   | 2  | 3   | 4   | 5   | 6   | 7  | 8   |   |
|---|--|---|---|---|---|--|---|---|
|   | appropriate as a model for human TTS.  |   |   | exposure only was tested.   | 750Hz   | after 41 hours of exposure. For all exposures, the lean threshold after 29 hrs of exposure, of five monkeys returned t. within 5dB of the Mean pre-exposure thresholds. Increased SPL from 95-105dB increased TTS by 4dB at 750 Hz for a 1hr exposure. Recovery curves from all exposures at the 750Hz test frequency appeared biphasic.   | very of TTS in squirrel monkeys are sufficiently similar to those in human subjects.  | further experiments should be conducted on a larger number of subjects from both species, and the level of the exposure stimulus reaching the inner ear should be considered. |
| Donald, B.,<br>Roger, F.B.,<br>Richard, J.S.,<br>William, A.A.,<br>(1983) | To determine how well the AEF thresholds agree with the behavioural thresholds when hearing is normal & when there is significant amount of noise induced threshold shift. | 3 normal sale chinchillas in the range 2-4 yrs. | Exposed to continuous noise of 95dB SPL at 2-4kHz combined with impulse noise of 158dB SPL Exposed to 1 hour. | AEF measurements at 0.5, 1, 2, 2.8, 4, 5.6 & 8 kHz. Behavioural measurements. | Pre-exposure audiogram: All animals had hearing of less than 0.7dB. 1 day after exposure: Elevation of threshold at 2kHz and above (normal at lower frequencies. 30 day post-exposure: One chinchilla showed some PTS at high frequencies. The audiograms of other 2 animals were about normal. | The close agreement found in this study between the 2 auditory systems is normal, when there is a large high frequency hearing loss in a wild, indicates that the AEP is a legitimate substitute for the behavioural audiogram. Above 12-14kHz The AEF thresholds increase significantly and are much higher than those measured behaviourally. Thus one can not obtain useful information on higher frequency | The threshold shift after 1 day exposure, was it the same for all the 3 animals or was it more for the one which developed PTS? |   |

| 1  | 2   | 3                        | 4   | 5  | 6   | 7                      | 8  |  |
|--|---|--------------------------|---|--|---|------------------------|--|--|
| Donald, I.,<br>Roger, P.H.,<br>Ronald, W.S.,<br>(1974) | 1) To focus on some of the problems encountered in developing impulse noise DEC.<br>2) To present new data on the histological and anatomical effects of exposure to impulse noise.<br>3) To relate these data to existing DRC. | 24 Monoaural chinchillas | Subjects were exposed to either 166 (N=9), 161 (N=10) or 155dB (n=5) peak equivalent SPL impulses which had a duration of 1 msec. | Auditory evoked response at 0.25, 0.5, 1, 2, 4 and 8 KHz.<br>Typanometry using electroacoustic bridge (Grason-Stadler 1720 otoadmittance Meter). | 155dB peak SPL produced essentially no PTS, but each animal showed large and consistent outer hair cell lesions, 6-11 mm from the apex. At 161dB peak SPL, some animals showed no PTS and no hair cell loss, while others had substantial PTS with hair cell loss. The 166dB group showed consistent medium 5-15dB PTS with all animals having substantial hair cell lesions. Typanometric results showed that 4 animals in the 166dB group had tympanic membrane perforations that healed within several days. | hearing using the AEP. | The data of the present experiment suggest that there is a "critical intensity" that is related to a conductive failure. If the rupture occurred early in the exposure, it would prevent efficient transmission of the sound energy, and, ultimately, protect the inner ear. Since there is not a consistent relation between hair cell integrity & hearing, the protective effect referred to above applies only to the hair cell population. All three intensities produced unacceptable levels of TTS as defined by CHABA group i.e., an average of 15dB or greater at 1, 2 & 4 mix (Kryter et al. 1966). | Not mentioned regarding the frequency of presentation of impulses. Not mentioned regarding the tympanometric results of other animals. |

| 1   | 2  | 3  | 4   | 5   | 6  | 7   | 8  |
|---|--|--|---|---|--|---|--|
| Donald, H.,<br>Roger, P.B.,<br>Syracuse.,<br>Ronald, S.,<br>Geneseo.,<br>(1974) | To expose chinchillas to high level impulses deemed safe by existing standards and compare audiometric changes with the underlying histopathology. | 5 monoaural chinchillas                        | Chinchillas were exposed to 50 impulses of 155dB peak SPL and 1msec A-duration.   | Pre-and post-exposure Auditory evoked response testing at 250, 500, 1000, 2000, 4000 i 8000 Hz.   | All animals manifested large TTS of 30-70dB which recovered by 30 days. All animals had complete losses of outer hair-cells in the region 8-12MN from the apex.  | Recovery from continuous noise is linear with the log of time, while recovery from impulse noise usually shows a rebound to higher levels of TTS before resolving to final threshold levels. This study shows the median time to maximum TTS is 3 hours. The results also point out the need for a more sensitive audiometric index of cochlear damage. | Previous studies show that TTS does not cause hair-cell damage and this study contradicts the previous studies. Further studies need to be done to study the relationship between TTS and haircell damage. |
| Douglas, F.I.,<br>Tom, W.T.,<br>(1970)  | To determine whether immediate sensitization is a real phenomenon that can be demonstrated in the auditory behaviour of a group of subjects.       | 10 normal (7 males & 3 Females) adult subjects | Expt 1:<br>Exposed for 3 min to 500Hz exposure tones at 40, 65 or 90 dB SPL.<br>Expt 2:<br>Exposed to 3000Bz tone at 40, 65 or 90 dB SPL. | Expt 1:<br>Threshold sensitivity for 200Hz tonal pulses.<br>Expt 2:<br>Threshold sensitivity for 2000Bz pulses [Tr=Subjects continue tracking threshold for the 200Bz pulses during the period in which the 500Hz exposure tone was present. DHTr= Subjects need not require tracking.] | Expt 1:<br>The 40dB SPL exposure tone has little effect on thresholds for the 100Hz tones in either the Tr or DITr conditions. Maximum sensitization occurs 30-50sec after cessation of the exposure tone and vanishes by about 1 min. Sensitization magnitude is fairly small, its maximum being 3.2dB in the 90 dB DITr session.<br>Expt 2: Demonstration of a period of sensitization is the only notable deviation | The term immediate sensitization is used to describe an improved post-exposure threshold sensitivity that is not preceded by desensitization, that grows to a size at about 40sec post-exposures, and that then disappears by about 1 min.  | Threshold sensitivity should have been done at frequencies above the exposure frequencies since the noise or tonal exposure causes loss at high frequencies.   |

---

|   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|

---

from pre-exposure performance is not restricted to low frequency conditions. Sensitization appears later and is of lesser magnitude. 90dB exposure-tone produces less sensitization than the 65dB tone. Maximum sensitization at 2000Hz resulting from 3000Hz exposure tones is 2.6 dB and occurs 40sec after discontinuation of the stimulating tone in the 90dB-DITr session.

Dunn, K.D.,  
Davis, L.L.,  
Merry, C.J.,  
Franks, J.R.  
(1991)

To determine whether exposure to impact & continuous noise yields the same effect on the auditory system.

16 normal chinchillas of 9-12mth of age

Impact noise:  
Banmering striking a nail with a peak pressure of 120dB SPL, the total duration was 116rms.  
Continuous noise:  
Pink noise.  
GPI: Exposed to 4hrs of continuous noise at 110dB for 5 days.  
GPII: exposed to 32656 impacts for 4 hrs for 5 days.

Auditory evoked response testing procedure  
Frequencies 1,2,4, & 8 kHz were tested.

Mean pre-exposure thresholds were within 5dB for all frequencies. GPI: Exhibited a shift in hearing thresholds at all frequencies. GPII: Showed substantially more hearing threshold shift than did the continuous noise exposed group at all test frequencies.

The differences noted in the resulting hearing loss is attributable to the differences in temporal characteristics of impact & continuous noise. Majority of surveys indicate that impact noise is more hazardous to hearing than continuous noise. The differences noted should have been done to check for the middle ear involvement. The time gap between the exposure and the testing procedure is not mentioned. Threshold measurement after some period of exposure could have been given.



| 1                  | 2   | 3   | 4   | 5  | 6  | 7  | 8  |
|--------------------|---|---|---|--|--|--|--|
|                    |   |   |   |  |  |  | information about recovery.  |
| Emilia, E., (1974) | To ascertain whether damage to the inner ear caused by the ototoxic side effects of an antibiotic creates a predisposition to a more rapid development of hearing deficits due to industrial noise. | 250 young Guinea pigs weighing 300-400 g divided into 4 groups. | Control animals*10. Group I: subjected to industrial noise. Group II: Given kanamycin one week before the exposure to noise. Group III: Kanamycin was given 2 weeks before exposure to noise. Group IV: received kanamycin for 2 weeks while being exposed to noise. Level of noise -> 92-93dB. Exposure duration was 1-12 weeks. | Electrocochleogram,  | Cochlear microphonics and Action potential changes occurred in phases but the dynamics of changes differed. Peripheral adaptation was preserved but deterioration was seen.  | The results confirmed by statistical methods indicate that drugs with ototoxic side effects lead to an earlier development of damage to the ear by industrial noise, the damage occurs with greater rapidity if the drug is administered during exposure to such noise.            | A further study could be done where the damage to the inner ear can be checked after giving kanamycin and before exposure to noise so that the exact effect of kanamycin on the inner ear could be checked, to find out the interaction effects. |
| Erick, B., (1987)  | To determine haircell loss along the basilar membrane in rats after various times of exposure (correlate the morphological features to the degree of loss of auditory threshold sensitivity).       | 46 rats   | 2kHz wide band noise sweeping from 3-30 kHz at 105 dB SPL.  | Thresholds determined with a behavioural technique at 1.5, 3, 6, 12, 24 & 48 kHz after 1, 3, 7 or 15 months. ABS to obtain electrophysiological thresholds, at 1.6, 3.2, 6.3, 12.5, 16 & 20 kHz. | After 1 month, very little or no significant loss of haircells. Loss of threshold sensitivity was 25-40 dB. After 3 months: Moderate loss of OBC (IHC). Hearing loss increased only by about 10 dB. After 7 months - Loss of hearing loss progressively with loss of | In the present study, the progression of hearing loss and the loss of haircells in prolonged noise exposure followed separate time courses in rats. The time course of hearing loss correlates to observations in humans, where the loss of hearing loss progresses rapidly in the | After 7 and 15 months - The information given is only about the loss of haircells. No information about the degree of loss, whether it has reached   |

| 1  | 2   | 3   | 4  | 5  | 6  | 7   | 8   |
|--|---|---|--|--|--|---|---|
| Flint, A.B.,<br>Richard, A.S.,<br>(1995) | To examine whether<br>resistance to IIBL<br>is related, at least<br>in part, to changes<br>in OHC function<br>changes in lateral<br>wall function or<br>both. | 20 normal<br>mongolian<br>gerbils of 6-<br>12mths of age. | Octave band noise<br>centered at 4 kHz of<br>80dB SPL.<br>5 subjects -1 day<br>5 subjects -12 days<br>Exposed to 6 hrs/day.<br>10 unexposed con-<br>trols. | DPOAE.<br>2 sets of DPOAE data<br>collected.<br>a) emissions at 8<br>points per octave over<br>the range of 500-<br>10000Hz at 50&60 dB SPL.<br>b) input-output func-<br>tions for frequency<br>of 9500, 8000, 4000,<br>2000 & 1000 Hz.<br>EPs collected from BV<br>& 1st 2 turns of the<br>cochlea in 3 subjects<br>from* each experimental<br>group.<br>EP->Evoked Potential<br>DPOAE->Distortional<br>Product Otoacoustic<br>Emission | The amplitudes of<br>DPOAE's were reduced<br>in frequency range<br>4-10kHz in subjects<br>exposed to noise for<br>1 day, but relatively<br>normal in subjects<br>exposed for 12 days.<br>DPOAE amplitudes from<br>frequency regions<br>below the spectrum of<br>the exposure were<br>similar across the<br>exposure & control<br>groups except at the<br>LF edge of the noise<br>where DPOAE ampli-<br>tudes were higher than<br>normal in exposed<br>animals.<br>EP values in both<br>exposure groups were<br>not reduced from<br>normal. No relation-<br>ship between changes<br>in the EP & the redu-<br>ction of DPOAE ampli-<br>tudes. Development of | Data reveals that<br>resistance to HITS<br>develops in parallel<br>with changes in the<br>susceptibility of<br>DPOAE's to noise.<br>Subraianian et al<br>(1993, 1994) have<br>shown that following<br>a single low fre-<br>quency or high fre-<br>quency noise exposure<br>DPOAE's are reduced<br>in chinchilla, but<br>return toward normal<br>as the exposure is<br>repeated.<br>Resistance to IIBL<br>is exhibited both<br>in changes in audi-<br>tory sensitivity and<br>in DPOAE amplitudes. | an asymptote<br>level after<br>3 months of<br>exposure.<br>ABE results<br>are not<br>clear.<br>Behavioural<br>technique<br>procedure is<br>also not<br>clear. |

| 1  | 2  | 3                    | 4  | 5  | 6  | 7   | 8 |
|--|--|----------------------|--|--|--|---|---|
|  |  |                      |  |  | resistance to noise is related to an initial depression of OHC activity.   |   |   |
| Frank, D.M.,<br>Charles, V.A.,<br>(1971) | To collect data on long ten TTS & TLS that could be directly compared, and to determine whether certain phenomena observed in prior TTS studies could be observed in TLS when all measurements were obtained under similar conditions. | 5 normal adult tals. | Exposed to 1000Hz Beke-<br>s type tracking<br>pare tone at 50, 10 &<br>procedure at 1000Hz<br>HO dB SPL for a dur-<br>and HOOHi before and<br>ation of 5 min. after<br>the exposure. | Analysis of the res-<br>ults indicate that<br>when TLS is measured<br>at constant level<br>(30dB SPL), both its<br>growth pattern and<br>its recovery pattern<br>are similar to those<br>of TTS, but when TLS<br>is measured at the<br>same level to which<br>the ear is exposed,<br>its growth pattern<br>and its recovery<br>pattern are different<br>from those of TTS. | The present results<br>point out rather<br>clearly the simi-<br>larity in growth and<br>recovery patterns<br>of TTS and TLS and<br>the lack of simi-<br>larity between these<br>two indices and the<br>TLS. The TLS results<br>were discussed in-<br>terms of two process<br>hypothesis of reco-<br>very similar to that<br>presented by Hirsh<br>& Bilger (1955) as an<br>explanation of di-<br>phasic TTS recovery<br>curves. It is sug-<br>gested that, when<br>pre-exposure and<br>post-exposure loud-<br>ness balance invol-<br>ve the same group of<br>sensory cells or<br>nerve fibres which<br>may be affected by an<br>exposure signal, the<br>early recovery process<br>may be relatively<br>unaffected but the<br>later recovery pro-<br>cess is in some way<br>retarded. | Not mentioned<br>whether the<br>recovery was<br>complete or<br>not. |   |

| 1  | 2   | 3  | 4   | 5  | 6   | 7  | 8   |
|--|---|--|---|--|---|--|---|
| Fredrick, L, Alf, A., (1983)                       | To determine possible individual differences in TTS after repeated controlled exposure to noninformative noise to music with equal time, frequency i sound level characteristics.   | 10 (9males 1 female) normal subjects in tS-17 years age range. J   | Exposed to 10 sin of recorded pop music on 5 occasions. On other 5 occasions these subjects were exposed to a noise with level, frequency i time distribution characteristics, measured in octave band steps equal to those of the music. | Hearing thresholds on the left ear was established with a computerized sweep-frequency audiometer (Bekesy type) at Frequencies from 1000 to 5000Hz. Audiometer calibrated in accordance to ISO 389-1975. | Most TTS sensitive frequency were 4-6kHz for noise t 4-5kHz for music. There was a larked discrepancy in lean TTS between the two stimuli for ( subjects, whereas 4 subjects showed only slight difference. This difference was due to tore TTS after exposure to noise. Comparison of the mean noise & music TTS at each session revealed a less pronounced difference as a number of exposures increased. | The results obtained in this study do indicate a difference in TTS susceptibility caused by psychological factors. This statement is only valid if 2 exposure can be considered equal in terms of physical energy properties.  | More TTS is found due to the exposure to noise. So, should have mentioned whether the subjects were music lovers or not, since, studies have shown that music lovers exhibited lesser threshold shift than the non-music lovers when exposed to loud pop music. |
| Fred, H.B., Robert, I.p., Mount, P., Mich., (1972) | 1) To determine the degree of TTS, if any, produced by snowmobile engine noise on both drivers and riders.<br>2) To initiate as acoustic analysis of snowmobile engine noise interms of SPL measurements and octave band SFL. | 17 subjects (12 drivers 5 riders) Drivers age range was 11-33 years with mean age of 14 years. Riders age range was 6-30yrs with mean age of 14 years. | Exposed to snow mobile engine for 120min.   | Puretone air conduction thresholds obtained using Beltone Model 10C at octave frequencies between 250 and 8000Hz. Post-exposure testing was done with a delay of 20-40 min.                              | All subjects exhibited marked TTS at frequencies above 1000Hz. The greatest amount of TTS occurred at 4000Hz, Acoustic analysis of snowmobiles revealed that the noise levels exceeded damage risk criteria for two hours exposure. One snowmobile was found to produce as much as 138dB at full throttle.  | The TTS data obtained in the preseat study suggest that snowmobile engine noise is potentially hazardous. Sound pressure levels produced by the larger snowmobile engine appear more intense than other forms of recreational and environmental noise. This study suggests that drivers 4 riders should wear | Level of noise exposure is mentioned. Driven do get more exposed to noise than it is advisable for the drivers to use some form of EPD'S.   |

| 1  | 2   | 3  | 4   | 5  | 6  | 7  | 8  |
|--|---|--|---|--|--|--|--|
| Gail, D.C.,<br>Joan, E.D.,<br>Harold, A.D.<br>(1984) | The explore the relationship between the TOM & TTS.                                       | 20(10 males & 10 females) normal adults, | Repeated exposure to 3 min, 110dB SPL white noise,  | Audiometry using Grason-Stadler 1701 clinical audiometer calibrated to AISIS3.6 - 1969. TOH measures obtained using frequency outputs of the Hewlett-Packard wide Band oscillator t Grason-Stadler audiometer. | Female subjects demonstrated greater Bean 1-kHz TTS than male subjects. Female subjects presented better 4kHz threshold prior to their first exposure. Mean 8kHz thresholds were better prior to the 1st noise exposure than the 2nd exposure. No difference was found for pre-exposure TOM scores. A greater mean TOM shift was found following the second noise exposure | some form of protection when snowmobiling, and governmental public health officials should establish I monitor safe maximum SPL for snowmobile engines.  | The lack of information pertaining to the relationship between TOM scores and NIHL limits the extent to which results of the present investigation can be generalized to the case of NIHL. |
| George, A.L.,<br>David, C.H.,<br>(1971)              | To focus on recovery records from individual animals and humans exposed to impulse noise. | 10 monoaural rhesus Monkeys.             | Exposed to two noise conditions.<br>1) 120 dB of HOdB continuous noise<br>2) Two 168-dB impulses from a spark generator.<br>The two impulses were separated by 1 min. | Behavioural measurement at 1, 2, 8, 14 kHz.  | After exposure to continuous noise, almost all monkeys showed a TTS which recovered as a function of log time. Range of TTS at 4 Bin was from 5-40dB, while the range of recovery time was from 20 min-32 hrs. There was no evidence   | The presence of several different patterns of recovery has implications for the use of TTS in the construction of damage risk criteria for hazardous noise exposure. when two uncorrelated processes are |  |

| 1   | 2  | 3                           | 4   | 5   | 6  | 7  | 8  |
|---|--|-----------------------------|---|---|--|--|--|
| George, J.L.L.,<br>David, C.B.,<br>(1971) | To focus on recover;<br>records from indivi-<br>dual animals and<br>humans exposed to<br>impulse noise.      | 39 nonal<br>adult tales.    | Impulse noise with<br>the peak pressure<br>level of ISSdB; A-<br>duration, about<br>250/usec, E-duration,<br>4 asec, presented in<br>groups of five or<br>more. | Pure tone audiometry<br>using Budmose AEJ-4<br>automatic audiometer<br>at 2kHz, 4kHz and 6kHz<br>(left ear) | of PTS. After expo-<br>sure to impulst<br>noise, soie monkeys<br>shoved a TTS which<br>recovered in an un-<br>usual tanner such as,<br>the rebound, a<br>simple recovery, a<br>diphasic recovery,<br>a delayed recovery.<br><br>Both the 2 and 4kHz<br>curves average out<br>to approximate the<br>simple M-type reco-<br>very, although the<br>irregularities sugg-<br>est the possibility<br>of S-type TTS invol-<br>vement as well. The<br>curve for (kHz, sugg-<br>ests M and S involve-<br>ment in that the TTS<br>recovered to 10dB at<br>3 min and then rebou-<br>nded to a maximum<br>TTS value of 22dB<br>at just over 15 min<br>post-exposure.<br>M -> Metabolic TIS<br>S -) Structural ITS. | responsible for TTS<br>them a single leisure,<br>such as the widely<br>used TTSI, may not<br>be an adequate index<br>of their magnitude.<br>Further systematic<br>study of recovery<br>from hazardous<br>noise in men and<br>animals is warrented. |  |
| George, A, L.,<br>David, D.L.,<br>(1973)  | To relate the suscep-<br>tibility to damage<br>from impulse noise<br>in chinchillas when<br>compared to man. | 5 Monoaural<br>chinchillas- | Exposed to 1 to 4<br>impulses of 168dB<br>peak SFL and SOjisec<br>A-wave duration.  | Behavioural audio-<br>grams at 0.75,1.5,<br>4.0, 6.0, 7.9, 11.0<br>14.5 and 16.5 kHz.                       | After exposure to<br>impulse noise, all<br>subjects sustained<br>threshold shifts. Re-<br>covery tended to pro-<br>ceed as a logarithmic<br>function of time<br>after exposure. Co-  | This present study<br>oa the chinchillas'<br>ear was done to<br>learn more about the<br>human ear,where the<br>difference between<br>man and chinchilla<br>is a possible limit   | Pre-expo-<br>sure<br>leisure of<br>middle ear<br>impedance<br>defines the<br>presence of<br>middle ear |

| 1   | 2   | 3  | 4  | 5  | 6  | 7   | 8   |
|---|---|--|--|--|--|---|---|
|   |   |  |  |  | chlear hair cell losses were stall and not significantly different froa those exposed to 10-40impulses-  | on the usefulness of this species.  | damage after the exposure.  |
| George, A.L.,<br>John, L.F.,<br>William, J.F.,<br>Janes, D.H.,<br>(1980-86) | To study the rela-<br>tion between IIS &<br>PIS in Rhesus monkeys<br>exposed to Impulse<br>noise. | 10 aortal (5<br>tales and 5<br>females)feral<br>rhesus macaque<br>tonkeys is<br>the age range<br>4-8years(tale)<br>and 3-5years<br>(female). | Exposed to contin-<br>uous & impulse<br>noise.<br>Level of noise-110<br>dB.<br>To induce TTS-2<br>impulses used.<br>To induce PTS-lfl or<br>20 impulses were<br>used.<br>Exposed in the<br>order-2 impulses-)<br>tank noise->2itpul-<br>ses->2 impulses-)<br>tank noise. | Puretones generated<br>by a Hewlett-Packard<br>oscillator.<br>Frequencies-1,2,4,<br>8,10 &14kHz.<br>After continuous<br>noise exposure only<br>the 2kHz threshold was<br>tested. | Pre-exposnre audio-<br>gru: 9 tonkeys had<br>aortal hearing. One<br>had high frequency<br>hearing loss (55dB at<br>10 and 12kBz).<br>lecovery after expo-<br>lore to task noise:<br>All subjects showed a<br>logarithmic recovery.<br>One showed a delayed<br>recovery.7/5 subjects<br>showed less TTS<br>after the 2nd expo-<br>sure. Group correla-<br>tion between TTS on<br>the 1st & 2nd expo-<br>sures were negative.<br>lecovery after expo-<br>sure to the two-<br>impulse condition:<br>2 subjects did sot<br>recover to within 10<br>dB. One subject suff-<br>ered a PTS of 35dB at<br>8kHz 4 another ISdB<br>at 14kHz<br>Threshold shift after<br>10&20 impulse condi-<br>tions: Threshold<br>shift deterained at<br>24 hr, 2,4,8,16 4 32<br>days following expo-<br>sure. Median threshold | No significant cor-<br>relation found bet-<br>ween TTS produced<br>frot an initial<br>exposure to 2 impu-<br>luses 4 the PTS seen<br>at 64 days after was<br>exposure to 10 imp-<br>ulses. Although<br>large amount of TTS<br>did not predict a<br>large amount of PTS,<br>it did predict the<br>early developmeat<br>of PTS. The corre-<br>lation between TTS<br>at different freq-<br>uencies were cot-<br>parable to those<br>found for humans<br>exposed to the sate<br>iipulse noise (Fle-<br>tcher & Loeb 1965).<br>Rhesus tmnkey<br>appears to be lore<br>susceptible than<br>man to impulse<br>noise 4 continuous<br>noise. | The exact<br>recovery<br>duration<br>should have<br>been<br>tentiond. |

---

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

---

shift was greatest at 8 or 10kHz during the 1st 8days, at 10 or 14kHz for the next 24 days. One subject had a rapid drop at 8kHz between 8 i 16 days. 2 animals had a clearly defined audiometric dip when tested after 64 days.



| 1   | 2  | 3   | 4  | 5   | 6   | 7  | 8   |
|---|--|---|--|---|---|--|---|
| Gerhardt, I.J., (1979)                      | To measure changes in the dynamic properties of the acoustic reflex following sound exposure                               | 8 young adult chinchillas.  | Exposed to 0.5kHz octave band noise for eight hours at 95 dB SPL.      | Acoustic reflex threshold measurement.  | Thresholds of the acoustic reflex increased systematically throughout the noise exposure up to approximately 14dB after 8 hours of exposure.  | The results indicate that the threshold of acoustic reflex was elevated following an 1 hour exposure to octave band noise. The temporal pattern of the growth of the threshold shift followed the growth of inferred TTS upto 8 hours. Thus there is a strong indication that the effectiveness of acoustic reflex as a protective mechanism for cochlear structures is reduced as noise exposure continues for a period of 1 hours. | Not mentioned regarding which frequency was tested for acoustic reflex threshold measurement.   |
| Gjaavenes, I., Moseag, J., lordahl., (1974) | To determine the number of hearing lesions in school children caused by the noise from Chinese crackers on one single day. | 791 normal pupils (735 boys & 56 girls) in the age range 12-15 years. | Exposed to Chinese crackers for one single Norwegian Independence day. | Audiometric screening at 2,3,4 and 5 kHz Closed-spaced-Frequency (CSF) pure tone audiometry using Peters AP6 clinical audiometer. | Pre-exposure: 50 boys and 4 girls had hearing acuity at one or more of the test frequencies of atleast 15dB. After the exposure some of them had history of tinnitus. One girl & 9 boys had hearing loss 30dB at one or more frequencies. CSF pure tone audiometry revealed that about 0.71 of boys acquired PTS. | The dips in the audiogram caused by the impulsive noise are narrow with the dip maximum near 4 kHz. Therefore conventional audiometry may fail to detect the hearing loss. A modification of the conventional audiometer by replacing the test frequency 6kHz by two test frequencies that trisect the   | Level of noise is not given. Time gap between the exposure and testing is not mentioned. Frequencies above 6kHz should have been checked to know the exact con- |

| 1  | 2  | 3  | 4  | 5   | 6  | 7   | 8           |
|--|--|--|--|---|--|---|-------------|
|  |  |  |  |   |  | octave between 4 kHz and 8 kHz would increase the detective ability of the audioneter.  | figuration. |
| Grenner, J., Hilsson, P., Katbamma, I., (1989) | To study the extent and spectral distribution of noise-induced threshold shift after steady state noise exposure.  | (0 female guinea pigs weighing 200-250g.   | Exposed to broad band noise of SFL varying from 96 and 117dB. Exposure duration was varied from 3 to 12 hours for 4-5 weeks. | Electrocochleography at fourteen frequencies at 1/3 octave band frequencies from 20 to 1 kHz. | Thresholds were elevated at all frequencies with increasing SPL. maximum threshold elevation exhibited a slight shift toward higher frequencies. With increasing exposure time, the threshold elevations increased and shifted into high frequency region. Linear regression analysis showed that the average threshold elevation between 1 and 20kHz did not deviate from the predicted equal energy hypothesis. High frequency loss at 5-20 kHz was dependent on exposure time, whereas 1-4kHz loss was not. | The noise used in this study had a falling spectrum with lost energy in the 1-3kHz region. Therefore direct comparison with studies using pure tones, or octave band, white or pink noise cannot be made. It is reasonable to assume that the relative influence on the PTS that results from exposure time and SPL may be equal in some aspects. |             |
| Grenner, J., Hilsson, J., Katbamma, B., (1990) | To ascertain whether the thresholds in the right and left ears could be regarded as independent observations and to see if differences in skin pigmentation Here | 53 groups of noise exposed guinea pigs with 5 animals in each group. 46 control animals. | Filtered square wave clicks or hammer blows or filtered BBS of 96-117dB SFL. Exposure duration varied between 1.5-24 hrs.    | Electrocochleography. Frequencies tested 1kHz - 20kHz.  | In noise exposed animals, the threshold elevations were up to 40dB. The average right-left correlation coefficient within each group was about 0.79, reg-  | The right-left correlation may be due to: The sound exposures must have been systematic. Measuring technique may influence the  |             |

| 1                           | 2  | 3  | 4   | 5   | 6  | 7  | 8   |
|-----------------------------|--|--|---|---|--|--|---|
|                             | associated with differences is the threshold elevation.  | wt:200-500g  |   |   | ardless of noise energy. The degree of correlation was significantly greater after impact noise than after continuous noise, No correlation was found between the degree of skin pigmentation and the threshold elevation.   | results.   |   |
| Hamerik, E.P., et al (198)) | To investigate the role of the various exposure parameters while keeping the total acoustic energy of the exposure approximately constant. | 82 chinchillas »ade monoaural by surgical destruction of left cochlea. | Exposed to continuous noise of 95dB at 0.5kHz octave band and impact noise of 113,11} or 125 dB peak SPL. Vibration of 30Hz, 3grms and 20Hz, 1.3 grms. Exposed for 5days. | Auditor; evoked potential measurements at octave intervals from 0.5 to 16kHz at the half octave frequency 11.2kHz (pre-exposure). Post-exposure-) Thresholds measured at 0.5 2 and 8kHz at 0,2,8,24 and 240br after reioval from noise. | There was no statistically significant differences between ATS or PTS caused by either of the two vibration conditions. Vibration alone caused very little or no sensory cell daaage. Impact noise caused a lean ATS of approximately 30-t0dB at the three test frequencies. There was no effect of vibration on the ATS or PTS caused by 5kHz octave band of noise. There was significantly less PTS in the groups exposed to impact noise and vibration than in the groups exposed to impacts alone. | Two vibration-alone exposures resulted in so significant audiometric changes in either'the lean ATS,PTS, Or percent sensory cell loss leasures. Three impact noise exposure conditions produced the sate levels of lean ATS and no statistically different levels of PTS or percent sensory cell losses. -Addition of vibration to either the continuous or impact noise did alter some of the dependent measures of hearing employed. | Human studies have shown that left ear is more susceptible to damage than right, ear. So instead of making the subjects monoaural, both ears should have been exposed to noise and a comparison made. |

| 1   | 2  | 3   | 4  | 5   | 6  | 7  | 8  |
|---|--|---|--|---|--|--|--|
| Emernik, P., 1.,<br>Henderson, D.,<br>Coling, D.,<br>Salvi, R., | To examine the potential interaction between low level impact noise and Dhole bod; vibration for a prolonged period of exposure. | 13 chinchillas divided into three groups. | Group I- exposed to impact noise of 113 dB SPL.<br>Group II- Vibration of 1 grms.<br>Group III- Exposed to impact noise and vibration.<br>Exposed for 10 days. | For Group II and III Auditor; evoked response thresholds were measured at frequencies from 0.5 to 8 kHz<br>Behavioural measures carried out for Group I.                                    | Pre-exposure: Auditory evoked response thresholds were 3-18 dB higher than the behavioural thresholds. Behavioural thresholds were normal.<br>Post-exposure: Group I showed no permanent threshold shift and normal inner & outer hair cells. Group II showed a 35 dB median asymptotic threshold level at 0.5 kHz & 43 dB at 8 kHz. Above 1.4 kHz there was a median PTS of 18-9 dB.<br>Group III showed asymptotic threshold shift at 0.5 & 8 kHz of 44 dB SPL & 53 dB SPL respectively. | Vibration can influence the etiology; of NIHL. Influence of vibration was not pronounced at lower frequencies.<br>Vibration can potentiate the effects of noise on humans. (Temkin, 1933]  | Auditor; evoked response was not done for group I & behavioural measures was not done for group II & III. Nothing is mentioned about the normative data. Behavioural measure procedure is not given. |
| Henderson, D.,<br>Eanersik, B., P.,<br>Hynson, L,<br>(1979)     | To study the hearing loss for simulated work-week exposure to impulse noise.   | & monoaural adult chinchillas.            | Exposed to a repetitive, reverberant, impulse noise for a total of five days, thr per day with the average peak over pressure was 113 dB.                      | Auditor; evoked response testing at 0.25, 0.5, 1, 2, 4 and 8 kHz, half hour before and after each of the 5, 8 hr exposure was carried out. Final thresholds obtained 30 days post-exposure. | High frequencies such as 4 and 1 kHz showed a median shift of 40 dB and a 27 dB recover; before the following day's exposure. Low frequencies were shifted 35 dB after each day's exposure with a 15 dB recover; overnight. Final median audiograms showed little  | The envelope of TS's can be thought of as a type of ATS. All frequencies are shifted b; approximately the same amount by the end of the 5 days; exposure as they were at the end of first days exposure, and as expected on the basis of the resu- |  |

| 1                  | 2   | 3   | 4                    | 5  | 6   | 7  | 8 |
|--------------------|---|---|----------------------|--|---|--|---|
|                    |   |   |                      |  | 2 animals were normal while the remaining 4 displayed 10%-40% losses in hair cells at specific cochlear sites.  | et.al(1971) there is so cumulative effect. With two days recovery there is still some residual TS. The actual degree of oscillation of threshold presumably will be a function of the actual noise exposure as well as the acoustic environment during recovery;.  |   |
| Hood, J.B., [1987) | Threshold shift following train stimulation at varying intensities and CL. CL = Critical Level. | 72 subjects in the age range 16-59 years. | normal lot mentioned | 1st study: Peter's Audiometer APS. Bekesy type tracking procedure at 1kHz. 2nd study: Tested at 1500Hz. PTA & loudness discomfort level (LDL). | An inverse correlation was established between hearing level & CL at same frequency with a fall of 2.5 dB in the CL for every 10dB rise in hearing level. CL's measured half an octave above the fatigue tone frequency was lower by 5-11dB. No correlation between TTS & hearing threshold level (HTL) As HTL increases, LDL falls- (CL:Critical level LDL:loudness discomfort level. HTL: Hearing Threshold level). | There are numerous psychoacoustical, histocochlear and electrophysiological studies which have shown that at intensities some 30dB or more below the pain threshold, dramatic events can occur over a relatively narrow intensity range. a) a levelling off of the cochlear microphonic (Pickles 1982) b) Saturation of the hair cell responses (Russell & Sellick 1978) c) a decrease in the cochlear action potential response (Toshie 1968) d) an abrupt phase change in the action |   |

| 1  | 2   | 3   | 4  | 5  | 6  | 7  | 8   |
|--|---|---|--|--|--|--|---|
|  |   |   |  |  |  | potential response (Eiang, pers.coma) e) a reduction of cochlear blood flow resulting in bypo-oxidosis of the organ of corti (Schnieder, 1974).  |   |
| Hotz, M.A.,<br>Probst, R.,<br>Harris, F.P.,<br>Hauser, R.,<br>(1993) | To determine if the measurement of TEOAE's could be used as an objective field procedure, to look for significant changes in TEOAE during 17 wks of exposure i to coipare the sensitivity of procedure to that of pure tone audioietry. | 147 noraals of age 20yrs S 23 years •               | GpI-> N=117,exposed to noise intermittently frog weapon fire that included 300 rounds of aaau-nition for their rifles, 2 hand grenades and tuo bazookas each. GpII-> n=30, noise exposure included 600 rounds of aiau-nition for the rifles, 4,000 to 5,000 rounds of ammunition for the pistols, 4 hand grenades i 15 bazookas. | TEOAE leasured using ILO88 otodynamics ana-lyzer hardware i soft-ware.<br>Stiiulus- 80us clicks<br>3 Measures:<br>* Echo level<br>* Beproducibility<br>* Response levels after bandpass filtering. | There was significant changes in response aaplitudes in the fre-quency range froa 2-4 kHz .<br>Change in frequency range froa 0.5-2kHz were not significant for either group. Changes in relative amplitude did not exceed 15\ when spectra containing the lower frequencies were considered, there vas greaterthan 83% within higher frequency range. | The results revealed significant changes in the aiplitildes of the higher frequency components of TEOAEs occurring during the 17 week service period which is in contrast to the pure tone findings reported by Spillaan et al (1990) TEOAEs offer a sensitive Beans of aeasuring the effects of daaage froa noise exposure that cannot be detected with pure tone audioietry. | Frequen-cies only up to 4kHz is assessed. ln elusion of higher frequencies would iaprove the sensitivity of detecting early daaage from noise exposure. retesting fr confiraation of findings, prepost test audio-aetric test-ing was not done. Control group vas not included. |
| Fabiani, X.,<br>Mattioni, A.,<br>Saponara, K.,<br>Cordier, A.,       | To verify the noise induced changes in the central acoustic pathways using ABB  | 130 athletes (26 females; mean age 29.5 yrs and 104 | 14 (2females i 12 males) were profe-ssionals in trap shooting.   | -Pure tone audioietry<br>-ABB measurement<br>-TEOAE measurement<br>-Impedence audioietry.  | Audiometric examina-tion revealed 77/130 athletes had noraal bilateral hearing   | The results confira ths possibility that noise induced deter-ioration involves   | results of TEOAE and impedance audioietry   |

| 1   | 2  | 3   | 4  | 5                 | 6  | 7   | 8   |
|---|--|---|--|-------------------|--|---|---|
| (1998)  | response.  | males; mean age 31.4 yrs).  | 79(67 males & 12 females) experienced in target shooting. 32(20males & 12 females) practising modern pentathlon. 5(males)practising triathlon.                                   |                   | thresholds. 53/130 had hearing loss of 30dB on atleast one frequency between 2 & 8kHz. Hearing loss was prominent in 3} athletes (Unilateral) and 11 nith bilateral hearing loss. 35/3) hearing affected athletes had & severe high frequency hearing loss. 32/70 ears did not show any involvement of retrocochlear auditory pathways. Among 38/70 ears, ABB were absent in 19 ears, and in 12 ears a damage of acoustic pathways was seen by prolonged wave 1 latency. | both central and peripheral acoustic structures as veil as the auditory brainstei. leverthless this damage is often hidden as the unique symptom of this multiple damage is only the hearing loss.  | is not given. Frequencies tested are not tent-tioned.                                       |
| Helstrom,P,A.,<br>Axelsson, A.,<br>Costa, O.,<br>(1998) | To establish the noise dose fromtypical portable cassette players(PCP) use, and determine the amount of TTS resulting from the exposure and also to determine the individual TTS-susceptibility after noise exposure and related this to BUSK induced TTS. | 21(13 males & 8 females) Normal subjects in the age range 13-30yrs and an average age of 15.3yrs divided into 3 groups. | Exposed to 1/3 octave band filtered pink noise with 2kHz centre frequency at 105dB SPL for 10min. After 24hrs subjects were exposed to music for 1hr at their comfortable level. | Bekey audiometry. | Feiales had significantly more TTS than the tales after noise exposure. Most subjects had only discrete TTS after one hour of listening to music, inspite of 91-97dB listening levels. There was no significant differences in listening levels or music-induced threshold shifts between genders.   | The stall TTS suggests that listening to comfortable levels over PCP for one hour is probably safe and without any risk for a permanent noise-induced hearing loss, provided that the levels and duration are not higher than in this investigation. There was no correlation between the subjects sensi- | Frequencies tested are not mentioned. Comparison could have been done with nonmusic lovers. |

| 1   | 2   | 3  | 4   | 5  | 6  | 7   | 8   |
|---|---|--|---|--|--|---|---|
|   |   |  |   |  |  | tivity to noise & music.  |   |
| Humes, K.L.,<br>Schwartz,M.D.,<br>Bess, H.F.,<br>(1977) | To examine the hypothesized relationship between the onset of Basking at harmonic intervals and monoaural post-stimulatory fatigue. | 9 normal males and 7 females) is the age range 11-27yrs and mean age of 22.9yrs. | Monoaural exposure to white noise at 110dB SPL for 5min.  | Measurement of:<br>-Threshold of octave masking test (TOM) at 4kc/s.<br>-Post-exposure, fixed frequency Bekesy tracings at 4kc/s for 3min. | Masked thresholds at 8kc/s ranged from below 60-80dB SPL for the 4 kc/s masker. Pure tone octave Basking grew in a linear fashion for each subject. The mean slope of the Basking growth function was 2.6dB Basking per 1 dB of Basking intensity. Linear relationship exists between TTS <sub>2</sub> IS and TTS <sub>2</sub> Vs 4kc/s such that, as the threshold of octave masking increased, the auditory fatigue decreased. | Results reveal that the TOM test can effectively differentiate among individual differences in susceptibility to noise induced TTS. In addition to test retest reliability of the TOM test, this procedure is a well suited method for the assessment of susceptibility in untrained listeners. | Further study is required to test the generality of the findings of this investigation prior to the use of the TOM test in hearing conservation programs. |
| Ivan,M.H-D.,<br>Donald,I.E.,<br>(1972)                  | To investigate the possibility that, even though PTS do not occur, hair cells are, in fact, damaged or destroyed by multiple TTS's. | 14 normal young Bale squirrel monkeys.   | 6 animals were exposed to 120 dB SPL stimulus to create PTS of 10-20dB. 6 other animals were to fatiguing stimulus for a period designed to produce a TTS of 15-20dB. | Behavioural measurement (avoidance conditioning procedure).  | There was no clear evidence of hair-cell damage due to exposure. No differences between control and experimental ears were noted in either apical or basal extremities of the 12 cochleas.   | The results of this study imply that pure tone stimulation sufficient to produce PTS's of 10-20dB may not produce anatomical injuries to the organ of Corti that are detectable by phase microscopy.  |   |
| Ivan, M.H-D.,<br>Donald, I.E.,<br>(1973)                | To investigate the hearing loss-hair cell damage relation-  | 5 squirrel monkeys.  | One animal was exposed to 1kHz tone at 130dB SPL for 4hrs.  | Behavioural measurement.   | Wide variations in hearing losses and hair cell damage were  | Ward & Duvall(1971) suggested that if inner hair cells  | Frequencies tested are not  |



| 1  | 2   | 3   | 4   | 5   | 6  | 7   | 8  |
|--|---|---|---|---|--|---|--|
|  | ship in squirrel monkeys exposed at intensities greater than 120dB.   |   | Two were exposed to a 1kHz tone at 140 dB SPL for 3 and 4 hrs respectively. Two were exposed to 2kHz tone at 140 dB SPL for 3 and 4 hrs respectively. |   | found, and were uncorrelated. Bearing losses ranged from less than 20dB to greater than 50dB. Hair cell damage ranged from no apparent damage to complete loss of outer and moderate loss of inner cells.  | are undamaged, normal thresholds may be retained, even with loss of the outer hair cells. The data in this study do not support this suggestion. There is no consistent relationship between stimulus intensity/duration and histological findings.                 | Mentioned.   |
| Janes, J.D., (1985)                          | The need for including the interoctave frequency at 6000Hz in routine audiological testing performed in hospital clinics and doctors offices in order to identify hearing loss earlier. | 76 adults   | Employees exposed to high intensity levels of noise in certain work locations.  | Thresholds obtained following a quiet period of at least 14 hrs. Octave Frequency-250Hz through 8000Hz including 3000 & 6000Hz. | 53 subjects demonstrated some degree of SI hearing loss. 11/53 showed hearing loss only at 8000Hz. These 11 subjects had normal hearing at 4 4 8kHz but had a loss of 40dB at 6000Hz. The group with hearing loss only at 6000Hz were significantly younger than the group with hearing loss at other frequencies. | Threshold information at 6000Hz in the present survey did serve as an early indicator of NIHL. This conclusion of the interoctave frequency appears to be of particular importance when there is an history of prolonged noise exposure on the part of the subject. | Nothing is mentioned regarding the thresholds of the other 42 subjects and their audiometric configuration. Level and duration of noise exposure is not given. |
| James, C.S., John, H.M., Jaies, D.N., (1977) | Study is concerned with the problem of intermittent noise exposure on the growth of threshold shift (TS) and its recovery.  | 8 monaural (4 males and 4 females) chinchillas, aged between 3 4 5 years. | Chinchillas were exposed to 6 hrs. of noise, repeated for six levels (57-92 dB SPL) of an octave band noise centered at 4kHz .                        | Thresholds were obtained at 0.5, 2, 5.7 and 8kHz.   | TS reached an asymptotic level after the first or second exposure. ATS measured at frequencies exhibited greatest shift at 5.7kHz. ATS was smaller for 6hrs. than continuous expo-   | The data presented here provide evidence that the quantitative relations between the parameters of noise exposure and the resulting TS may be easier to unders-   |  |

| 1  | 2   | 3               | 4                                   | 5   | 6  | 7   | 8  |
|--|---|-----------------|-------------------------------------|---|--|---|--|
| <p>Jaas, E.P.,<br/>Hilton, R.H.,<br/>David, J.A.,<br/>Ann, A.,<br/>Mich.,<br/>(1974)</p> | <p>To study the cochlear electrical activity in monkeys exposed to octave band noise.</p> | <p>Monkeys.</p> | <p>Exposed to octave bandnoise.</p> | <p>Cochlear microphonic and action potential responses to pure tone bursts.</p> | <p>Decay of TS was nearly complete after 18 hrs. of quiet for the lowest levels of noise and nearly complete only after 3-5 days for the intermediate levels of noise. Snail amount of PIS were observed for the highest levels of noise.</p> <p>Behavioural pure tone thresholds were within 3dB of cochlear action potential thresholds before noise exposure. During recovery the action potential thresholds were as much as 10dB lower.</p> <p>Input-output functions for the cochlear action potentials during these recovery periods strongly resembled loudness recruitment functions and maximum voltages obtained frequently exceeded pre-exposure levels.</p> | <p>stand when the TS's have stabilized after a number of noise exposures.</p> <p>Reasonable explanations for post-exposure loudness recruitment exist, but the basis of the marked transient increase in cochlear electrical activity is still open to speculation.</p> | <p>The number of subjects, duration of exposure, and the frequencies tested are not mentioned.</p> |

| 1                                      | 2  | 3   | 4  | 5   | 6  | 7  | 8 |
|--|--|---|--|---|--|--|---|
| Jerry, L.F.,<br>Paul, J.A.,<br>(1982)  | To learn more about age effects by integrating into a single study observations of the initial effects of noise exposure & the time course of post-exposure threshold changes. | 160 lice divided into exposed and control groups of 18 & 28 days old. | Exposed to high pass filtered noise with a low cutoff frequency of 4kHz. Major peaks were between 4 to 20kHz. Exposure duration was 10min and intensity of either 115dB or UOdB SPL. | Threshold of auditory compound action potential estimated from scalp-recorded electrical response.  | The lean threshold differences were greater for 21 day old subjects exposed to 115dB SPL noise. Mean threshold differences were greater for the 18 day old than for the 28 day old subjects exposed to UOdB SPL noise. Analysis of threshold differences revealed a significant three-way interaction among age, exposure intensity and post-exposure time. An age effect is seen only at 120dB SPL and only after a 30-day post-exposure interval. Individual data suggest that the existence of an age effect is determined not by the initial post-exposure threshold but rather by subsequent changes in the threshold following exposure.   | Data suggest that the apparent dependence of susceptibility on age is influenced by the particular charges of exposure level and by the interval between exposure and the threshold measurement. Age was not a significant factor in determining susceptibility only when the higher of two exposure intensities was administered and then only after a 30 day post-exposure interval. |   |
| John, L.F.,<br>Adrian, B.L.,<br>(1967) | To study impulse noise induced acoustic trauma resulting from exposure experienced on one day (or less), on a firing range.  | Young soldiers with bilateral severe hearing loss.                    | Exposed to rifle firing.   | Pure tone AC and BC thresholds. Intelligibility testing using Beltone ISC or Beltone ISA audiometer. Bekesy audiometry using Grason-Stadler | There was rapid recovery from Day 0-Day 1 at 0.5, 1, 2, 4, 8, 16, 32, 64, 128, 256, 512, 1024, 2048, 4096, 8192, 16384, 32768, 65536, 131072, 262144, 524288, 1048576, 2097152, 4194304, 8388608, 16777216, 33554432, 67108864, 134217728, 268435456, 536870912, 1073741824, 2147483648, 4294967296, 8589934592, 17179869184, 34359738368, 68719476736, 137438953472, 274877906944, 549755813888, 1099511627776, 2199023255552, 4398046511104, 8796093022208, 17592186044416, 35184372088832, 70368744177664, 140737488355328, 281474976710656, 562949953421312, 1125899906842624, 2251799813685248, 4503599627370496, 9007199254740992, 18014398509481984, 36028797018963968, 72057594037927936, 144115188075855872, 288230376151711744, 576460752303423488, 1152921504606846976, 2305843009213693952, 4611686018427387904, 9223372036854775808, 18446744073709551616, 36893488147419103232, 73786976294838206464, 147573952589676412928, 295147905179352825856, 590295810358705651712, 1180591620717411303424, 2361183241434822606848, 4722366482869645213696, 9444732965739290427392, 18889465931478580854784, 37778931862957161709568, 75557863725914323419136, 151115727451828646838272, 302231454903657293676544, 604462909807314587353088, 1208925819614629174706176, 2417851639229258349412352, 4835703278458516698824704, 9671406556917033397649408, 19342813113834066795298816, 38685626227668133590597632, 77371252455336267181195264, 154742504910672534362390528, 309485009821345068724781056, 618970019642690137449562112, 1237940039285380274899124224, 2475880078570760549798248448, 4951760157141521099596496896, 9903520314283042199192993792, 19807040628566084398385987584, 39614081257132168796771975168, 79228162514264337593543950336, 158456325028528675187087900672, 316912650057057350374175801344, 633825300114114700748351602688, 1267650600228229401496703205376, 2535301200456458802993406410752, 5070602400912917605986812821504, 10141204801825835211973625643008, 20282409603651670423947251286016, 40564819207303340847894502572032, 81129638414606681695789005144064, 162259276833213363391578010288128, 324518553666426726783156020576256, 649037107332853453566312041152512, 1298074214665706907132624082305024, 2596148429331413814265248164610048, 5192296858662827628530496329220096, 10384593717325655257060992658440192, 20769187434651310514121985316880384, 41538374869302621028243970633760768, 83076749738605242056487941267521536, 166153499477210484112975882535043072, 332306998954420968225951765070086144, 664613997908841936451903530140172288, 1329227995817683872903807060280344576, 2658455991635367745807614120560689152, 5316911983270735491615228241121378304, 10633823966541470983230456482242756608, 21267647933082941966460912964485513216, 42535295866165883932921825928971026432, 85070591732331767865843651857942052864, 170141183464663535731687303715884105728, 340282366929327071463374607431768211456, 680564733858654142926749214863536422912, 1361129467717308285853498429727072845824, 2722258935434616571706996859454145691648, 5444517870869233143413993718908291383296, 10889035741738466286827987437816582766592, 21778071483476932573655974875633165533184, 43556142966953865147311949751266331066368, 87112285933907730294623899502532662132736, 174224571867815460589247799005065242665504, 348449143735630921178495598010130485331008, 696898287471261842356991196020260970662016, 1393796574942523684713982392040521941324032, 2787593149885047369427964784081043882648064, 5575186299770094738855929568162087765296128, 11150372599540189477711859136244151310592256, 22300745199080378955423718272488302621184512, 44601490398160757910847436544976605242368024, 89202980796321515821694873089953210484736048, 178405961592643031643389746179906420969472096, 356811923185286063286779492359812841938944192, 713623846370572126573558984719625683877888384, 1427247692741144253147117969439251367755776768, 285449538548228850629423593887850273551153536, 570899077096457701258847187775700547102307136, 1141798154192915402517694375551401094204614272, 2283596308385830805035388751102802188409228544, 4567192616771661610070777502205604376818457088, 9134385233543323220141555004411208753636914176, 18268770467086646440283110008822417507273828352, 36537540934173292880566220017644835014546716704, 73075081868346585761132440035289670029093433408, 146150163736693171522264880070579340058186866816, 292300327473386343044529760141158680116373733632, 584600654946772686089059520282317360232747467264, 1169201309893545372178119040564634720465494934528, 2338402619787090744356238081129269440930989869056, 4676805239574181488712476162258538881861979738112, 9353610479148362977424952324517077763723959476224, 18707220958296725954849904649034155527447918952448, 37414441916593451909699809298068311054895837904896, 74828883833186903819399618596136622109791675809792, 149657767666373807638799237192273244219583351619584, 299315535332747615277598474384546488439166703239168, 598631070665495230555196948769092976878333406478336, 1197262141330990461110393897538185953756666812956672, 2394524282661980922220787795076371907513333658113344, 4789048565323961844441575590152743815026667316226688, 9578097130647923688883151180305487630053334632453376, 19156194261295847377766302360610975260106668664906752, 38312388522591694755532604721221950520213337329813504, 76624777045183389511065209442443901040426674659627008, 153249554090366779022130418884887802080853349319254016, 306499108180733558044260837769775604161706698638508032, 612998216361467116088521675539551208323413397277016064, 1225996432722934232177043351079102416646826794554032128, 2451992865445868464354086702158204833293655989108064256, 4903985730891736928708173404316409666587311978216128512, 9807971461783473857416346808632819331774623956432257024, 19615942923566947714832693617265638663549247912864514048, 392318858471338954296653872345312773270989958257290896, 7846377169426779085933077446906255465419799165145781792, 15692754338853558171866154893812510930839598330291563584, 31385508677707116343732309787625021861679196660583127168, 62771017355414232687464619575250043723358393321166254336, 125542034710828465374929239150500087446716786642324508672, 251084069421656930749858478301000174893433573284649017344, 502168138843313861499716956602000349786867146569198034688, 1004336277686627722999433913204000699573734293138396069376, 2008672555373255445998867826408001399147468586276792138752, 4017345110746510891997735652816002798294937172553584277504, 8034690221493021783995471305632005596589874345107168545008, 16069380442986043567990942611264011193179748690214336900016, 32138760885972087135981885222528022386359497380428671680032, 64277521771944174271963770445056044772718994760857343360064, 128555043543888348543927540890112089545437989521714686720128, 257110087087776697087855081780224179090875979043429373440256, 514220174175553394175710163560448358181751958086858746880512, 1028440348351106788351420327120896716363503916173717568001024, 2056880696702213576702840654241793432727007832347435136002048, 4113761393404427153405681308483586865454015664694870272004096, 8227522786808854306811362616967173730908031329389740544008192, 16455045573617708613622725233934347461816062658779481080016384, 32910091147235417227245450467868694923632125317558962160032768, 65820182294470834454490900935737389847264250635117924320065536, 131640364588941668908981801871474779694528501270235848400131072, 26328072917788333781796360374294955938905700254047168002622144, 52656145835576667563592720748589911877811400508094336005244288, 105312291671153335127185441497179823755622801016188672010488576, 210624583342306670254370882994359647511245602032377344020977152, 421249166684613340508741765988719295022491204064754688041954304, 842498333369226681017483531977438590044982408129509376083908608, 1684996666738453362034967063954877180089964816259018752167817216, 3369993333476906724069934127909754360179929632518037504335634432, 6739986666953813448139868255819508720359859265036075008671268864, 13479973333907626896279736511639017440719718500720150113422537312, 26959946667815253792559473023278034881439437001440300226845074624, 53919893335630507585118946046556069762878874002880600453690149248, 107839786671261015170237892093112139525757748005761200907380298496, 215679573342522030340475784186224279051515496011522401814760596992, 431359146685044060680951568372448558103030992023044803629521193984, 862718293370088121361903136744897116206061984046089607259042387968, 1725436586740176242723806273489794232412123968092179214518084775936, 3450873173480352485447612546979588464824247936184358429036169551872, 6901746346960704970895225093959176929648495872368716858072339103744, 13803492693921409941790450187918353859296991744737433716144672207488, 27606985387842819883580900375836707718593983489474867432289344414976, 55213970775685639767161800751673415437187966978949734864578688829952, 110427941551371279534323601503346830874375933957899468929157377659904, 220855883102742559068647203006693661748751867915798937858314755319808, 441711766205485118137294406013387323497503735831597875716629510639616, 883423532410970236274588812026774668995007471663195751433259021279232, 1766847064821940472549177624053549337990014943326391502866518042558464, 3533694129643880945098355248107098675980029886652783005730036085116928, 7067388259287761890196710496214197351960059773305566011460072170233856, 14134776518575523780393420992428394703920119546611132022920144340467712, 28269553037151047560786841984856789407840239093222264045840288680935424, 56539106074302095121573683969713578815680478186444528091680577361870848, 113078212148604190243147367939427157631360956372889056133611546223741696, 226156424297208380486294735878854315262721912745778112672223092447483392, 452312848594416760972589471757708625525443825491556225344446144894966784, 904625697188833521945178943515417251050887650983112450688892289789933568, 1809251394377667043890357887030834502101775301966224901377784579579867136, 3618502788755334087780715774061669004203550603932449802755569159159734272, 7237005577510668175561431548123338008407101207864899605511138318319468544, 14474011155021336351122862896246676016814202415729799211022276636638937088, 28948022310042672702245725792493352033628404831459598422044553273277874176, 57896044620085345404491451584986704067256809662919196844089106546555748352, 115792089240170690808982903169734081334513619325838393688178131131110696704, 231584178480341381617965806339468162669027238651676787376356262262221401408, 463168356960682763235931612678936325338054477303353574752712524524442802816, 926336713921365526471863225357872650676108954606707149505425049048885605632, 1852673427842731052943726500715745301352217909213414298108500998097771211264, 3705346855685462105887453001431490602704435818426828597017001996195542422528, 7410693711370924211774906002862981205408871636853657194034003992391084845056, 14821387422741848423549812005725962410817743273707314280680007944782170100112, 29642774845483696847099624011451924821635486547414628561360015889564340200224, 59285549690967393694199248022903849643270973094829257122720031779128680400448, 118571099381934787388398496045807699286541946189658514255440063558257360800896, 237142198763869574776796992091615398573083892379317028510880127116514721601792, 474284397527739149553593984183230797146167784758634057021760254233029443203584, 948568795055478299107187968366461594292335569517268114043520508466058886407168, 1897137590110956598214375936732923188584671139034536228087041016932117772814336, 3794275180221913196428751873465846377169342278069072456174082033864235445628672, 7588550360443826392857503746931692754338684556138144912348164067728470891257344, 15177100720887652785715007493863385508677369112276289846696328135456941782514688, 30354201441775305571430014987726771017354738224 |  |   |

| 1                   | 2  | 3                            | 4   | 5   | 6  | 7   | 8  |
|---------------------|--|------------------------------|---|---|--|---|--|
|                     |  |                              |   | model B-800.  | frequencies from 0.5 through 2kHz. On examination, showed continuing recovery for frequencies higher than 2kHz upto a maximum of 6months. Some residual loss was seen at 4 4 6kHz and perhaps a little at 8kHz.  | scores and the degree of hearing loss. SIT followed the average loss in the speech frequencies. Symptom of tinnitus and stuffiness in the ear often persisted beyond complete recovery in the speech range.   | not given.   |
| John, F. B., (1567) | This study attempts to answer -Is there a relation between frequency discrimination and the temporal changes in the threshold of audibility produced by noise exposure? -What effect does the level of the test stimuli have upon frequency discrimination following noise exposure? What effect does a change in the frequency of the test stimuli exhibit upon frequency discrimination? | 10 normal hearing subjects - | Exposed to 6kHz LPF thermal noise at 110dB SPL for 10min. | Measures of threshold and frequency discrimination at 1k, 2k and 4kHz. Post-exposure discrimination test stimuli were presented at 10 or 40dB SL. | When test stimuli were equated in terms of SL, no differences between pre- & post-exposure Jnd's were noted at 40 dB SL or greater at any frequency. At low stimulus SL i.e. 10-20dB a differential effect on the jnd occurred due to noise exposure that was not explainable in terms of TTS. At 4kHz there was little difference between pre- & post-exposure jnd. At 1kHz, the post-exposure Jnd's were about 40% greater than pre-exposure Jnd. (Jnd's - Just noticeable differences). | Threshold shifts produced by noise exposure are relatively high frequencies where as frequency jnd shifts are most pronounced at lower frequencies. For the same stimulus conditions, subtle mitochondrial changes occur in the outer hair cells of the cochlear and are assumed to extend into the aid-frequency region. | So explanation is given regarding differences in Jnd's pre- & post-exposure. Gap duration between the exposure I procedure is not mentioned. |

| 1  | 2   | 3   | 4  | 5   | 6   | 7   | 8  |
|--|---|---|--|---|---|---|--|
| John, M.B.,<br>David, J.L.,<br>(1971)  | To compare the TTS produced by a low frequency pure tone with that produced by a low frequency band of noise in subjects with and without a measurable acoustic reflex. | 6 stapedectomized subjects and 6 normal subjects.   | Subjects exposed on separate occasions to a 710 Hz pure tone and to a 1/3 octave band noise with an upper cut-off frequency of 710Hz.<br>Exposure duration was 10min at 110dB SPL. | -Bekesy audiometry at 1000Hz.   | For the normal subjects, the pure tone produced a TTS <sub>2</sub> of 18dB whereas noise produced a TTS <sub>2</sub> of only 8dB.<br>For the stapedectomized subjects, the TTS <sub>2</sub> produced by pure tone and the TTS <sub>2</sub> produced by the noise is about 8dB.  | The results support the hypothesis that low frequency pure tones produce more TTS than low frequency bands of noise because of the differential effects of the acoustic reflex in responding to these two types of sounds.  |  |
| John, H.M.,<br>David, J.O.,<br>Burdick, C.K.,<br>Patterson, J.H.,<br>Mozo, B.,<br>(1983) | To study the TTS produced by exposure to low frequency noises in young adults.  | <sup>V</sup><br>52 normal male students in the age range 18-22 yrs divided into 3 groups. | Groups of subjects exposed to octave band noise centered at (3, 125, or 250Hz) for 8 or 24 hours at levels 84dBA and 90dBA.  | Sweep frequency Bekesy audiometry using Demlar, Model 120. Below 250Hz, i.e., at 90, 125 and 180Hz, auditory sensitivity was measured by fixed frequency Bekesy audiometry using Grason-Stadler model E800. | For 24hr exposure at 84dBA, TTS increased for 8-12hr. Although TTS was less than 20dB complete recovery for many of the subjects required as long as 48hr. For 8hr exposure at 90dB (A) TTS increased throughout 8hrs exposure. TTS from the 90dBA noise for 8hrs extended the TTS produced by the 84 dBA. Recovery from the 24hr exposure required as long as 48hr whereas recovery from the 8hr exposure required only 12-24hr. For 250Hz, TTS increased about 1.5dB/dB increase in noise level, whereas for 125Hz conditions TTS increased less than 1dB/dB increase | Previous TTS data from human subjects exposed to noise for 4-24hr (Hills et al. 1979; Patterson et al. 1977) suggest that TTS would increase for about 8-12hr and then reach a plateau asymptote. The present data are consistent with the results of previous experiments. | -High frequencies could also have been tested. |

| 1  | 2   | 3   | 4   | 5   | 6   | 7               | 8 |
|--|---|---|---|---|---|-----------------|---|
| John, W.C.,<br>Khader, J.A.,<br>Geary, A.M.,<br>Donnell, J.C.,<br>(1988) | To compare cochlear<br>microphonic thresh-<br>olds in older albino<br>& pigmented guinea<br>pigs both before &<br>following exposure<br>to noise. | 9 normal<br>albino and 9<br>pigmented<br>guinea pigs of<br>14mths of age. | Exposed to BBH cali-<br>brated in a closed<br>system to equal 126<br>dB SPL for 45 min. | Pre-exposure-> Thres-<br>holds for the first<br>detectable elicitation<br>of CH were determined<br>for 3 pure tones 4000,<br>2000 & 1000Hz.<br>Pure tone stimuli<br>were generated by a<br>function generator.<br>90 min after stopping<br>the noise exposure, CM<br>thresholds were mea-<br>sured at each of the<br>3 frequencies.<br>Threshold for the first<br>detectable elicitation<br>of CH for 3 pure<br>tones were recorded<br>prior to, at 90min<br>at 7 days after a 45<br>min exposure to 126dB<br>BB<br>SR. | The differences in threshold<br>between albino and pigmented<br>animals were strain<br>specific and not principally<br>due to variation in cochlear<br>pigmentation. Decreased<br>auditory sensitivity with<br>aging has been associated<br>with increased pigmentation<br>of stria vascularis.<br>(Strain:albino and pigmented<br>animals were of different<br>genetic strains). | is noise level. |   |
|  |   |   |   | Before exposure to<br>noise, thresholds for<br>pigmented guinea pigs<br>were 24dB higher than<br>those in albinos.<br>Following noise expo-<br>sure, the pigmented<br>animals showed less<br>than half the amount<br>of threshold shift<br>displayed by the<br>albinos. This change<br>was attributed to<br>higher pre-exposure<br>threshold in the pig-<br>mented guinea pigs.   |   |                 |   |

| 1   | 2  | 3                           | 4  | 5  | 6  | 7   | 8   |
|---|--|-----------------------------|--|--|--|---|---|
| John, L.F.,<br>Michel, L,<br>(1967)                                   | To describe the TTS with peak intensity of impulses kept constant, with subjects exposed to two different pulse durations. | 67 normal hearing subjects. | Impulses generated at two durations (36 & 92 usec) by R.I. Benson and Associates spark gap generator with a peak intensity at 166dB SPL. | Bekesy type pulse audiometer at 12 frequencies from 0.25-8 kHz and at 15 pulsed frequencies from 4-18 kHz. | More than 2/3 of subjects had TTS exceeding 20dB within 10-25 rounds for the 92 usec duration, shifts being especially pronounced at 4 kHz and above. Approximately half of the subjects shifted 20dB or more when exposed to 75-100 usec pulses at 4 kHz and above. For both duration, TTS has lost pronounced at 4kHz and above though some shifted at each test frequency with more shift at lower frequencies. | Approximately equal number of subjects had comparable TTS's with 10-25 usec & 75-100 usec duration. With longer duration there is more TTS at the lower frequencies, but for both durations a very broad frequency range is affected. | Not mentioned as to how many impulses the subjects were exposed to. |
| John, H.M.,<br>Roy, W.G.,<br>Charles, S.W.,<br>James, D.M.,<br>(1970) | To describe the effects on the hearing of men of exposures to noise with durations as long as one or two days.             | 28 year old normal male.    | Exposed to two occasions to an octave band noise centered at 500Hz first at 48 hrs at 81.5dBSFL and 29.5hrs at 92.5dB                    | Bekesy audiometry at 750Hz. Evoked response audiometry.  | TTS measured after 4 tin of quiet (TTS<) increased for the first 8-12hr of exposure and then regained constant as the  | It is clear that TTS reaches an asymptote in the case of a chinchilla. The time to reach asymptote  |   |

Both groups of animals displayed significant elevation in CM thresholds when measured 90min and 7 days after noise exposure.  
CM=Cochlear Microphonic  
NCR-National Cancer Research

| 1   | 2  | 3  | 4  | 5   | 6   | 7  | 8 |  |
|---|--|--|--|---|---|--|---|--|
|   |  |  |  | SPL after 5 weeks.  | <p>exposure was continued. At 750Hz, the value of ITS* asymptote was 10.5dB for 81.5dB exposure and 27.5dB for 92.5dB exposure. Recover from TTS required 3-6 days. While ITS was present</p> <p>(a) Time constant of TI was reduced at 750Hz-</p> <p>(b) There was delayed recruitment of loudness.</p> <p>(c) Amplitudes of Bekesy tracings reduced, (d) Frequency discrimination was unaffected (e) Threshold shifts measured by evoked response audiometry approximated those measured behaviourally.</p> | <p>appears to be from 4-12hrs for asymptotic TTS is slow. At least for frequencies in the region of greatest TTS, TI at threshold is altered.</p>  |   |  |
| <p>John, H.M.,<br/>Warren, Y.A.,<br/>Robert, M.G.,<br/>(1981)</p> | <p>To study the TTS produced in human subjects by exposure to a wide band noise,</p> | <p>42 normal (25 females and 17 sales) subjects in the age range 18-22yrs.</p> | <p>Exposed to a wide band noise for 24 or 8 hrs on consecutive days, Vide Mas composed of octave bands centered at 0.5, 1, 2 and 4 kHz .</p> | <p>Sweep frequency audiometry using Dealar, model 120 at frequencies 0.25-8kHz.</p> | <p>For the 24hr exposure, TTS increased for about 8hr &amp; then reached a plateau or asymptote. TTS's at asymptote increased about 1.7dB/dB increase in noise level above about 78dSA.</p>   | <p>TTS produced by single octave band exposure were used to predict the TTS produced by the wide band exposures. Predictions were based on the "Intensity Rule". There is a remarkable coincidence between the relation which describes ATS and noise level, and the</p> |   |  |



| 1   | 2  | 3  | 4   | 5  | 6  | 7   | 8   |
|---|--|--|---|--|--|---|---|
| <p>Johson, A.C.,<br/>Juntunen, L.,<br/>Nylen, P.,<br/>Borg, B.,<br/>Hoglund, G.,<br/>(1988}</p> | <p>To detect the effect<br/>of interaction bet-<br/>ween noise and tolu-<br/>ene on auditory<br/>function.</p> | <p>29 tale sprague-Dawley<br/>rats divided<br/>into 3 groups</p> | <p>Group I:n=8,exposed<br/>to soise for 4 Keeks<br/>Group T:n=12,exposed<br/>to Toluene.<br/>Group T+N:n=9,expos-<br/>ed to Toluene fol-<br/>lowed by noise.<br/>T=Toluene<br/>N=Noise.</p> | <p>ABE recorded 2-5 days<br/>after the exposure.<br/>Frequencies tested -&gt;<br/>1.6, 3.15,6.3, 12.5 i<br/>20kHz.</p> | <p>High frequency audi-<br/>tory impairment was<br/>observed after expo-<br/>sure to T alone I I<br/>alone. A slight re-<br/>covery was recorded<br/>one i six lonths<br/>after T exposure. T<br/>followed by I result-<br/>ed in a higher thres-<br/>hold at all frequen-<br/>cies. Slight recovery<br/>was recorded 6 lonths<br/>post-exposure. The<br/>threshold shift exce-<br/>eded the summated<br/>loss caused by T<br/>alone and N alone<br/>particularly at 3.15<br/>i 6.3 kHz.<br/>Latencies varied only<br/>slightly.</p> | <p>relation which<br/>describes IIPT5 and<br/>noise level. This<br/>coincidence and ani-<br/>mal data are used to<br/>support the hypothe-<br/>sis that TTS grous<br/>to an asyiptote<br/>rather than a plateau,<br/>and that TTS at asyi-<br/>ptote produced by a<br/>given sound is an<br/>upper bound on any<br/>FTS that can be pro-<br/>duced by that sound.</p> | <p>T alone i I alone<br/>caused a considerable<br/>decrease in auditory<br/>sensitivity of rats,<br/>particularly at high<br/>frequencies. The de-<br/>crease in auditory<br/>sensitivity in rats<br/>exposed to T folloved<br/>by 1 was greater than<br/>the suuated effects<br/>of T alone &amp; 1 alone<br/>at frequencies 3.15<br/>-6.3kHz. The sensi-<br/>tivity improved only<br/>slightly 6 months<br/>after the end of all<br/>exposures, indicating<br/>that irreversible<br/>damage had occurred.</p> |

| 1  | 2  | 3   | 4  | 5  | 6   | 7   | 8  |
|--|--|---|--|--|---|---|--|
| Johnson, A.C.,<br>Hyllen, F* ;<br>Borg, I.1<br>Hoglund, «.,<br>(1990)                    | To investigate the effect of the exposure protocol on the hearing loss caused by sequential exposure to noise (I) 4 toluene(T).        | 49 normal rats of 5 weeks of age.   | 1)Control group:n=10, not exposed to I or T.<br>2) Noise:n=10, exposed to frequency modulated I for 4 weeks.<br>3) Toluene:n=10, exposed to T for 2 weeks.<br>4) n=10, Exposed to H for 4 weeks followed by T for 2 weeks.<br>5)n=9,exposed to R for 4 weeks. Best for 4 weeks, then exposed to T for 2 weeks. | Auditory Brainstem Response measurement. frequencies tested: 1.6,3.15,i,3,12.5 and 20kHz. Loss of auditory sensitivity at each frequency = Average threshold of ABR in the unexposed control group-Threshold of the ABR in each exposed rat of the same age. | The sensitivity loss after exposure to I followed by T was greater than that recorded after exposure to N alone or T alone but did not exceed the summated loss caused by I alone or T alone at any frequency.  | The results confirmed previous observations.  | Since the thresholds for groups 3,4 and 5 were measured 1-3 weeks after the end of exposure, there could be a possibility that some amount of recovery would have occurred which is not mentioned. |
| Joseph, A,<br>Hiriaa, F.,<br>Vladiair, F.,<br>Hit, 1.1<br>Gil, H.,<br>Ian, B.,<br>(1995) | To investigate the relationship between click evoked otoacoustic emissions & hearing threshold, 4 its relevance to clinical audiology. | 27 normal subjects of 18 • 0.5 years of age and 102 normal subjects of 29.9 I 8.3 years of age. | 102 subjects (GroupB-- 6) exposed to military noise of both an impulsive & continuous nature for at least 5-13yrs. -Group A-Not exposed to noise.  | Audiometry(GSI-U) AC->0.25-8kHz. BC->0.25-4kHz. CEOAE elicited with ILO 88 otodynamic Analyzer.  | CEOAE levels decreased as the hearing threshold increased at frequencies 1,2,3 & 4 kHz. Frequencies where hearing thresholds were worse than 20dBHL, CEOAEs could not be recorded. Even at frequency where the hearing was OdBHL emissions were not always observed.Noise exposed normal hearing subjects had reduced overall CEOAE power with a narrow frequency range as compared with normal | Lack of emissions observed in ears with hearing thresholds of OdBHL may be a sign of cochlear dysfunction, lost probably caused by exposure to noise. Absence of recordable emissions in the external ear canal Bay not necessarily indicate a total loss of OBC motility but rather only a small loss. |  |

| 1   | 2   | 3  | 4   | 5   | 6   | 7  | 8 |
|---|---|--|---|---|---|--|---|
| <p>Julia, D.R.,<br/>Larry, I.I.,<br/>Head, C.K.,<br/>(1991)</p> | <p>To determine whether<br/>the Chicago symphony<br/>orchestra players<br/>face a significant<br/>risk of developing<br/>NIPTS in their<br/>profession.</p> | <p>Koraal hearing Leq values ranged<br/>from 79-99dB-Awtd<br/>SPL with a mean of<br/>89.9dB (A).<br/>Corresponding 8-hr<br/>daily Leq ranged<br/>from 75-95 dB(A)<br/>with a mean of<br/>85.5dB (A).</p> | <p>Audioaetry using<br/>Medical Dimensions<br/>otoacoustic units.<br/>Beltone 9D audiometer.<br/>Calibrated according<br/>to ANSI 3.6-1989.</p> | <p>Mean HTL's for 59<br/>Musicians were better<br/>than those for an un-<br/>screened nonindustrial<br/>noise exposed popula-<br/>tion and only<br/>slightly worse than<br/>0.50 fractile data<br/>for the ISO 7029<br/>(1984) screened pres-<br/>bycusis population.<br/>52.5% of individual<br/>musicians showed<br/>notched audiograms<br/>consistent with HIHL.<br/>Violinists and<br/>violinists showed poorer<br/>thresholds at 3-6 kHz<br/>in the left ear than<br/>the right ear.<br/>BTLs were found to<br/>be better for both<br/>ears of musicians<br/>playing bass, cello,<br/>harp or piano and<br/>for the right ears<br/>of violinists and<br/>violinists than for<br/>their left ears.<br/>For 32 musicians for</p> | <p>hearing, non-exposed<br/>to noise subjects.<br/>Presence of CEOAEs<br/>suggests hearing<br/>thresholds of 20dBHL or<br/>less at corresponding<br/>frequency.<br/>CEOAE-Click evoked<br/>otoacoustic emissions.</p> | <p>The findings reveal<br/>a small amount of<br/>NIPTS for a popula-<br/>tion of musicians<br/>of average suscept-<br/>ibility to noise<br/>damage based on 15hr<br/>per week of on the<br/>job noise exposure<br/>at the typical<br/>levels recorded<br/>during rehearsals<br/>and performances.<br/>Billion et al.,<br/>(1988)- earplugs<br/>with attenuation<br/>which is flat across<br/>frequency is more<br/>acceptable to musi-<br/>cians than conven-<br/>tional devices,<br/>which provide<br/>attenuation of high<br/>frequencies.</p> |   |

| 1  | 2   | 3                      | 4   | 5  | 6  | 7   | 8  |
|--|---|------------------------|---|--|--|---|--|
|  |   |                        |   |  |  |   | whom both HTLs and Leg were obtained, HTLs at 3-6 kHz were found to be correlated with the Leg measured. |
| Iarlovich, R.S.,<br>Osier, B.A.,<br>Gutnick, 8,1.,<br>Ivey, 8,G.»<br>Wolf, I.,<br>(1977) | To illuminate the relations between a psychoacoustic phenoBenon and acoustic reflex behaviour in response to pulsed stimuli presented successively over time. | 7 Normal young adults. | Exposed to continuous 1000 Hz tone at HOdB SPL for three minutes. Broad Band noise presented to contralateral ear at 100dB SPL which Has presented continuous or pulsed with a period of either 360, ISO, 90 or 9 msec with a 50% duty cycle. | Pre-and post-exposure thresholds tracked via a Bekesy type procedure for a pulse<1 1414Hz-test tone • Acoustic reflex thresholds measured with an otoadmittance meter (Grason-Stadler 1720). | Noise presented to one ear with a 50% duty cycle i periods ranging from ISO to 360 msec is lost effective in reducing TTS generated by a 1000 Hz exposure tone. As the period of noise was decreased or increased, TTS <sub>2</sub> progressively increased. Continuous as well as the 9 and 90 msec conditions were least effective in reducing TTS generated by the 1000 Hz exposure tone. It was hypothesized that acoustic reflex adaptation may account for the continuous condition results. TTS <sub>2</sub> was greatest for the controlled condition and least for the 360 and 180 msec conditions. | The present results tend to support acoustic impedance data (Mellis and wiley, 1975) and psychoacoustic data (Ahaus I Hard, 1975) suggesting that the reflex recovery times are less than one second, perhaps on the order of 500-600 msec. |  |
| Kryter, 1,1.,<br>(1991)<br>V*****/   | To study the effects of gun and railroad noise on hearing.  | 9771 adult sales       | Exposure to gun noise and railroad noise.   | Pure Tone audiometry at 500, 1000, 2000, 3000, 4000 I 6000 Hz.   | Comparisons of hearing levels, adjusted for nosocosis, of trainmen who had used no guns,   | Small losses in hearing sensitivity at frequencies above 2kHz are   |  |

| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6   | 7   | & |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
|   |   |   |   |   | <p>with the hearing levels of otologically and noise screened males (Annex A. ISO 1999) reveal significant losses due to railroad noise. Additional losses were found at high frequencies in trainmen who had used guns. It appears that the effective Leq through exposure level of trainmen to railroad noise is about 92dBA and 87-89dBA to gun noise.</p> | <p>found in trainmen over the age of 45yrs. Rearing losses from railroad noise in trainmen who had used guns are to a large extent masked by or are not distinguishable from the losses due to the gun noise and vice-versa. Comparison of the amounts of HIPTS found for the trainmen, with the amounts of HIPTS estimated by procedures prescribed in ISO 1999, indicates that the effective exposure level, Leq 8hr, of the workplace noise of trainmen is of the order of 92 dBA, 87dBA for gun noise and 89 dBA for hunting and/or target gun noise.</p> |   |

| 1   | 2   | 3  | 4   | 5  | 6  | 7  | 8 |
|---|---|--|---|--|--|--|---|
| <p>Lei, S.F.,<br/>           Willias, A.A.,<br/>           Roger, P.H.,<br/>           (1994)<br/>           \ * * * * /</p>      | <p>To assess the appli-<br/>           cation of frequency<br/>           and tine douain<br/>           Eurtosis to the asses-<br/>           sment of hazardous<br/>           noise exposures.</p>   | <p>44 aonoaural<br/>           chinchillas.</p>                        | <p>CNV:Broad Band gaus-<br/>           sian noise (0.2-<br/>           10kHz)J(t) = 3.<br/>           CIYIiGaussian noise*<br/>           impacts with peak<br/>           SPL at 125dB. 3(t)=84<br/>           CNVII:Gaussian noise<br/>           + noise burst having<br/>           106dB SPL.B(t) - 21.<br/>           CHYIII:Gaussian noise<br/>           + iipacts »ith energy<br/>           at 1-4 kBz of 126dB<br/>           peak SPL. J(t) 45<br/>           CIIX:Gaussian noise+<br/>           noise burst at 1104<br/>           dB SPL.B(t) = 10.<br/>           Exposed for S days<br/>           continuously.</p> | <p>Brainstea evoked pot-<br/>           entials measured.<br/>           Pre-exposure at 0.5-<br/>           16kHz and 11.2kHz.<br/>           During exposure at<br/>           0.5, 2 and 8kHz.<br/>           Iwaediately after<br/>           exposure at 2, 8, 24,<br/>           240 hour.<br/>           Final audiograa 30<br/>           days after exposure.</p> | <p>CIVIII i IX had lover<br/>           pre-exposure thres-<br/>           holds.<br/>           Different frequencies<br/>           showed different<br/>           level of lean ATS.<br/>           Coaparison of CIVI &amp;<br/>           CIVII revealed no<br/>           statistically signi-<br/>           ficant differences<br/>           between two groups<br/>           for the dependent<br/>           variable of aean ATS.<br/>           Mean threshold shifts<br/>           for group CHVI t VII<br/>           were siaiiar iaaedi-<br/>           ately after exposure<br/>           ended.</p> | <p>Statistical proper-<br/>           ties of a signal<br/>           are iaportant in<br/>           the deteraination<br/>           of hearing loss.<br/>           Then the audioa-<br/>           etric and histo-<br/>           logical results are<br/>           coapared to a aetric<br/>           based upon kurtosis<br/>           aeasured in the time<br/>           and the frequency<br/>           domain for each<br/>           exposure, there is a<br/>           clear indication<br/>           that these statistical<br/>           metrics are good<br/>           predictors of the<br/>           relative magnitude<br/>           and frequency dist-<br/>           ribution of the<br/>           threshold.</p> |   |
| <p>Levine, S.,<br/>           Hofstetter, P.,<br/>           Zheng, X.Y.,<br/>           Henderson, D.,<br/>           (1991)</p> | <p>To assess the relative<br/>           iuportance of the<br/>           duration and peak<br/>           noise in thlevel of impact<br/>           diffe@n hearing loss.<br/>           e produc-</p> | <p>36 monoaural<br/>           adult chinch-<br/>           illas.</p> | <p>4 groups were exposed<br/>           to 200 msec impacts<br/>           at oneper second at<br/>           113, 119, 125 and<br/>           131 dB peak equiv-<br/>           alent level for 7.5<br/>           hrs.<br/>           3 groups were exposed<br/>           to 125 dB impacts for<br/>           either 1.9, 7.5 or<br/>           30 hrs different<br/>           durations.</p>  | <p>Evoked potential<br/>           recording.</p>  | <p>Kith each dB increase,<br/>           there was approxiaately<br/>           1.7dB of increase in<br/>           hearing loss. For each<br/>           dB increase in peak<br/>           level above 125 dB,<br/>           there was an average<br/>           6.6dB increase in hear-<br/>           ing loss. The 125dB<br/>           exposure is just below<br/>           the critical level<br/>           where the aode ofcoc-<br/>           blear daaage shifts to<br/>           mechanical failure.</p>   | <p>The critical level, Description<br/>           where the mode of<br/>           damage to the exposure in<br/>           cochlea changes<br/>           froa aetabolic to<br/>           aechemical is 120dB subjteras of how<br/>           or greater and is<br/>           related to the spe- in each<br/>           ctrum of the group is not<br/>           impact. Above the clear.<br/>           critical level,<br/>           equal amounts of<br/>           energy do not cause<br/>           equal aaounts of<br/>           hearing loss or<br/>           cochlear haircell<br/>           loss. At higher</p>   |   |

| 1   | 2  | 3  | 4 | 5  | 6   | 7   | 8  |
|---|--|--|---|--|---|---|--|
| Lilleior, R.M.,<br>Jansson, G.,<br>(1996) | A qualitative study to describe, from the perspective of men with IIHL, their experiences of noise as a threat to health and their having to live with a hearing disability i.e. behaviours, thoughts and emotions in auditory demanding situations. | 10 NIHL *open in Bean=18 yrs the age range 46-71 S.D =16.4 yrs and lean age of 59 years. |   | Pure tone audiometry at 2000Hz, 3000Hz, 4000Hz and 6000 Hz. Taped open-ended interviews. | PTA of 2, 3, 4 and 6 kHz was 59.6dB Hi in the better ear. Taped open-ended interview: 4 Categories emerged from the data i.e. lack of awareness, ambivalence, controlling and avoiding coping strategies, stigmatization. | levels, the equal energy hypothesis may not be practical for evaluating the hazard of impact noise exposures. | The results, although based on a restricted sample, are based on in-depth interviews. The procedure resulted in a heterogeneous group, intended to give a broad picture of the area under study. However, generalization to the population cannot be made, rather the results need to be verified in a larger study. The type of work a staple type of noise should have been mentioned. The thresholds of the poorer ear is not noted. The individual thresholds of each frequency has not been given. The average of four frequencies are given. No indication as to whether the threshold shifts is due to noise exposure or other factors. |

| 1   | 2  | 3   | 4   | 5  | 6   | 7   | 8  |
|---|--|---|---|--|---|---|--|
| Luis, D.B.,<br>Donald, H.E.,<br>Jerry, W.T.,<br>(1572)  | To measure changes of physiological potentials following exposures that had been demonstrated to produce consistent shifts of behavioural thresholds.                                  | 15 normal adult children.                           | Exposed to octave band of noise centered at 500 Hz at 95dB SPL for a duration between 48 and 72 hours.  | Cochlear microphonic (CH) responses and endocochlear potentials were measured about 5, 24 and 48 hours after exposure in each of the three cochlear turns. | Endocochlear potentials were unchanged. Shifts of sensitivity for CH in the second and third turns showed the closest numerical correspondence to behavioural TTS. Loss of sensitivity for action potential was greater. Changes in visual detection levels for the averaged evoked responses were consistent with behavioural TTS. | The pathophysiology associated with asymptotic TTS is most probably peripheral because the loss of sensitivity for CM in the second and third turns bears a closer numerical correspondence to the TTS measured in behavioural experiments than do the measured shifts of AP responses. Shifts in sensitivity for IP were far too large. Since these exposures to noise did not change the endocochlear potential disorder must be in the hair-cell modulating mechanism. | lot mentioned regarding the CM at first cochlear turn.   |
| Lynn, L.L.,<br>Donald, H.,<br>Julie, S.,<br>Kalini, S.,<br>Saavel, S.,<br>Doug, O.,<br>(1995) | To analyze the hearing threshold data to compare the hearing loss among soldiers representing different (1) race groups, (2) noise exposure groups, (3) durations of military service. | Normal hearing subjects in the age range 17-56 yrs. | High noise exposure HOSI: Daily noise exposure can range from none to the entire 24 hr -continuous & impulse noise. Low noise exposure MOS: Dot routinely exposed to noise. BOSs -> Military Occupational Specialities. | Pure tone air conduction audiometry (Tracer EA 600AM Microprocessor single station audiometer)   | Soldiers exposed to high noise level had poorer hearing than the group of soldiers with limited noise exposure. On the average a significant difference in HTLs among the race groups with black soldiers having the lost sensitive hearing & white soldiers having the poorest. Subjects with greater                              | Results suggest that soldiers in the Armor, Military; and Infantry branches acquired significantly more hearing loss than soldiers who received lesser noise exposure. -Black soldiers had the most sensitive hearing-  | No. of subjects taken for the study is not mentioned. The frequencies tested and the thresholds are not mentioned. Duration level of |



| 1   | 2   | 3  | 4  | 5   | 6  | 7   | 8   |
|---|---|--|--|---|--|---|---|
|   |   |  |  |   | <p>durations of military service had the least sensitive hearing.</p> <p>-Differences in BTLs among race groups last dependent on the duration of military service. (Ma I Bocci, 1967; Garber, Tamer, Creel I likkop, 1912).</p> <p>-Soldiers with upto 14 years of military service had relatively normal hearing.</p>  | <p>Investigators have speculated that melatonin plays a protective role in preventing hearing loss.</p>   | <p>noise exposure is also not given.</p>  |
| <p>Malini, S., Donald, H., Vlasts, S., (1994)</p> | <p>To measure the changes in 2f1-f2 DPOAE's during interrupted noise exposures to compare these changes with changes in evoked potential thresholds and changes in the status of OBC's.</p> | <p>6 adult monoaural chinchillas weighing 650g - 800g.</p> | <p>Monoaural chinchillas exposed to an octave band noise centered at 0.5kHz at 95dB SPL for 1 hrs/day for 10 days.</p> | <p>Evoked potential testing DPOAE measurements. Frequencies tested - 2, 4 and 8kHz.</p> | <p>Both evoked potential thresholds and DPOAE's effectively track the temporary changes associated with interrupted noise exposures. However DPOAE's often recovered to their baseline even when there was a threshold shift greater than 25dB. At 5 days post exposure, both evoked potential threshold and DPOAE's were normal despite considerable outer hair cell pathology.</p> | <p>Pre-exposure data indicate that clear DPOAE's could be recorded from chinchillas ear canals. The amplitude of DPOAE's are considerably higher than those reported with human subjects. Slope of DPOAE functions are twice as much as those seen in buwalda subjects.</p> | <p>Normal DPOAE's may not guarantee normal cochlear status and therefore results of DPOAE measurement should be interpreted cautiously.</p> |
| <p>Halini, S., Lrnm B H., Flasta, S.,</p>         | <p>1) Do DPOAE amplitudes decrease (then recover) at high frequency noise?</p>  | <p>5 normal chinchillas weighing 650g</p>                  | <p>Octave band noise centered at 4kHz at 85 dB SPL for 6hr/day for</p>   | <p>Evoked potential S Distortion-Product OAE recordings made</p>                        | <p>Both EPs &amp; DPOAE's showed a worsening of auditory function after the 1st</p>  | <p>DPOAE's have been suggested to be a good indicator of</p>  | <p>Complete recovery light have occurred</p>  |

| 1   | 2   | 3   | 4   | 5  | 6  | 7   | 8   |
|---|---|---|---|--|--|---|---|
| Donald, B.,<br>Nicholas, L.P.<br>(1995)   | 2) Is there a good correspondence between changes in DPOIE's & changes in EP thresholds?<br>3) Is there a good correspondence between DPOiE's & changes in OHC following high frequency exposures | 800 gas.  | :10 days.   | before the exposure k on days 1,2,4,6,8 k 10 of exposure. Final measurement done 5 days after the last exposure. | exposure t then showed a progressive recovery toward baseline. No consistent relationship between changes in EP thresholds & changes in DPOAEs nor were there any systematic changes in OEC's that corresponded with changes in SPOAEs.  | the status of OBC's (Lonnhury-Martin & Martin 1990; Lonsbury-Martin et.al 1991; Zurek et al,1982) and can be used to differentiate cochlear from retro-cochlear lesions. According to Zurek et al(1982) DPOAE's are sensitive enough to reflect small changes in TS (Threshold shifts). | ed within 5 days after the last exposure. Measurements could have been done daily to check amount of improvement per day. |
| Manfred, I.,<br>Earl, I.,<br>Alfred, I.,<br>Freidrich, S.<br>Peter, B.,<br>(1991) | The contribution of noise, head injury, & ear disease to hearing loss has been studied mainly on disabled persons with the aim of settling compensation claims.                                   | 110647 subjects (88277 males and 22370 females) in the age range 15-65 years. | Exposed to a median SPL of 90.5dB(A). 6.3% worked at SPL higher than 100dB(A) during the 3 yrs. Daily exposure time-> 8hrs. Total exposure time-> 0.5-45 yrs. Exposed to steady state noise & 7% exposed to impact noise. | Establishing pure tone hearing thresholds according to ISO/DIS 6189(6).  | Hearing thresholds of males after a NIL of 85-105dB(M) are higher if a history of ear disease, head injury or tinnitus is given. In low frequency range the highest hearing thresholds were found when tinnitus combined with a history of ear disease. 4kHz-> Combined effect of noise & head injury is more pronounced than the combined effect of noise & ear disease. (NIL = Noise immission level). | 10) of the study group did not develop hearing impairment until the age of retirement, even after IIL in the range of 116-125dB(A). Another 10% of the study group developed hearing impairment after 96-108dB(A) and higher, & this group because of the age of retirement.            | No information about the kind of ear disease and head injury and the condition of the subjects during the study.          |

| 1  | 2  | 3                                   | 4   | 5  | 6   | 7   | 8  |
|--|--|-------------------------------------|---|--|---|---|--|
| Maurice, H.M., A case report:<br>Hewton, J.C., bello-pontine angle<br>(198)) | epidersoid identified yrs. of age. in a noise exposed patient as part of an occupational hearing conservation program. | One male subject of 24 yrs. of age. | Exposed to 8SdBA noise for 8 br. time weighted average. | Audiosetry-Baseline & post-exposure • Otologic test. STAT. Stapedial reflex. BEEA . Electronystagmography. CTscan . MRI. Air cisternogram. Cerebral Angiography. | Base line audiogras- Normal hearing in frequency 500-8000Hz. Post-exposure-) Mild SN hearing loss in left ear with reduced word discrimination score (164). 3kHz-10dB 4kHz-25dB 6kBz-30dB 8kHz-60dB. Otologic, head & neck findings Mere normal, Cranial nerves 111-XII were intact. STAT-) No tone decay in either ear. Stapedial reflex-) Ho response at any frequency on contralateral stimulation of left ear. BERA->Absence of wave 7 on left ear. Electronystagmography-) Diminished caloric responses on the left ear. CT scan of head-) Normal MEI-) 2.5 by 3.5 ca extra axial lass in left cerebello pontine angle. Air cisternogram-Air in internal meatus + lass in internal meatus was observed. Cerebral angiography-> Mass effect associated with an extra axial CP angle tass. | Miller, Doyle and Geier (1981) reported i cases with acoustic neurinoia and other VIII nerve lesions in employees exposed to noise levels sufficient to require an Occupational Hearing Conservation Program (OECF). The purpose of OHCP is to prevent SI hearing loss and to identify employees whose auditory and otic problems are unassociated with workplace noise exposure. | Duration of exposure to noise in teras of days is not given. |

| 1   | 2  | 3  | 4  | 5  | 6   | 7   | 8   |
|---|--|--|--|--|---|---|---|
| Morata, T,C,<br>(1989)                              | To explore the effects of simultaneous exposure to noise and carbon disulphide on workers hearing and balance.   | Group A = 53 normal males in the age range 22-53 years<br>Group B = 205 Dorsal tales in the age range 18-60 years. | Continuous noise-> 86-89 dBA.<br>Level of Carbon disulphide-) 89.92 mg/m3. | Audioietry (Interacoustics AD17).<br>Balance test to group A.<br>Frequencies - 500, 1000, 2000, 3000, 4000, 6000 Hz.<br>Ambient noise level was 25dBA.   | Among group A, 71.7% of workers had hearing loss.<br>Along Group B, 66.7% had hearing loss.<br>Hearing loss increase to 46.7% and to 70.6% for the group exposed to 2 yrs and more than 3 yrs respectively as the exposure time was increased.<br>Balance test results-> Groups with hearing loss failed the balance test.  | Results showed high percentages of hearing losses that could be related with the work environment conditions.<br>There is a great increase in the proportion of hearing losses from the group with less than 3 yrs exposure versus those with longer exposure.  | Balance test was administered only to Group A.  |
| Michel, P.,<br>Henri, J.H.,<br>Jaaes, D.,<br>(1993) | To determine the feasibility of implementing computerized audiometry in various clinical groups, using the Battery of Basic computerized Audioietry Test (BOBCAT). | 420 in 18-64 yrs age range.<br>36 in 65-80yrs age range.<br>12 in 7.5-12 yrs age range -                           | Average exposure to noise of 22.34 yrs.                                    | Computerized & conventional pure tone audiometry at frequency 0.5,1,2,3,4,5, 6KHz for AC and 0.5, 1,2 i 4 kHz for BC.<br>Speech testing introduced as a part of a separate investigation.<br>Impedance audiometry (Madsen ZS331) | Coefficients of reliability remained equally high across frequency regardless of degree of hearing loss and group. Group means i correlations between conventional i Computerized audiometry indicated that the 2 methods leased pure tone hearing sensitivity with the same degree of accuracy, that is within + 0.5 dB.<br>-Speed of execution was found to be slower using BOBCAT in particular noise exposed workers. | This study demonstrates a striking similarity of outcome by both nasal & computerized procedures regardless of subject age, or degree or nature of hearing, loss.<br>BOBCAT may be effectively applied to export clinical protocols to select populations which are typically served by screening programs. | The average duration of noise exposure is 22.34 yrs. This is not applicable to children aged between 7.5 - 12yr». -It is better & easier to use conventional audiometer than using a sophisticated instrument such as BOBCAT for hearing assessment |

| 1   | 2   | 3  | 4  | 5  | 6   | 7   | 8   |
|---|---|--|--|--|---|---|---|
|   |   |  |  |  |   |   | as both give almost same results.   |
| Miller, J.M.,<br>Dolan, D.F.,<br>Raphael, I.,<br>Altschuler, S.A.<br>(1991) | To determine the effect of a higher intensity of stimulation on the interaction of noise induced hearing loss with age and presbycusis. | 31 normal & early presbycusis mice, divided into 5 noise exposed and 2 non-exposed control groups. | Exposed to broadband noise (0.5-40 kHz) at 105dB SPL for 45 Bin.   | ABE threshold measurement.   | ABE threshold shifts and haircell losses which followed noise exposure increased with age in the normal mice. Subjects showing early presbycusis showed an increased sensitivity to HIBL over normal subjects.  | The findings support the view that aging with or without hearing loss increased the sensitivity of the ear to IIBL. However, it seems that other factors may contribute to IIBL in the animals with preauricular presbycusis. | Pre-exposure thresholds should have been taken for both groups to compare the threshold shifts. |
| Morata, T.C.<br>et al,(1997)  | To investigate the effects of occupational exposure to noise and solvents on hearing of workers.  | 48   | levels of noise; Warehouse and health (n=41) <85dBA T1A-Aroaatics, Paraffins (n=89) -- 85dBA TWA. Previously at aromatics (n=19) -Ma. Shipping (n=0) <85 dBA TIA. Maintenance(n=180) =>85dBA TIL Laboratory (n=69) <85 dBATVA. | Pure tone audiometry using Beltone 2000 at 0.5,tk,2k,3k,4k,Sk 4 8kHz. Imittance evaluation (Tyapanometry, reflexes RDT, PPT) using Danplex tyap 83. (SDT - Reflex decay test. PPT = Physical volume test). | Exposed groups had significantly more workers with high frequency hearing losses than the groups from the warehouse and health clinic or the group from the laboratory. Acoustic reflex measurements showed absence or elevation of the reflex, presence of loudness recruitment and the presence of acoustic reflex decay. | Among the studied groups, maintenance was the only department in which workers were clearly exposed to noise doses considered to be high enough to cause a hearing loss   | Tyapanometry, physical volume test results are not mentioned.                                   |
| Fauli, E.,<br>Rannu, A.,<br>Juhani, E.,<br>Hartti, S.,<br>(1980)            | To try to establish epidemiologic risk units for impulse noise  | 536 subjects in the age range 17-29 years and mean age of 19.7 years.                              | Not specified.   | Pure tone audiometry (AC) using Hadsen OB-SO. Audiometer Calibrated according to ISO 1964. Octave I selected aid-  | 97 had hearing loss on induction (18.1%). 11 cases had hearing impairment of about 30dB at 3-4kHz.  | -20dB was used as the screening limit. -The most important source of noise was the assault rifle.   | The level of noise is not mentioned. The time duration  |

| 1   | 2   | 3                          | 4   | 5   | 6   | 7   | 8   |
|---|---|----------------------------|---|---|---|---|---|
|   |   |                            |   | octave frequencies tested-) 0.25-8kHz.                    | -19 cases at 6-8kHz. -64 cases (66\%) had unilateral hearing loss. -33 cases (34%) had bilateral loss -Most loss was found at high frequencies.   | -Epidemiological studies and more exact exposure investigations are needed to define the limits of risk for impulse noise.  | between the exposure and the test procedure has not been indicated. EC thresholds were not taken. So the type of loss is not known.   |
| Pekkarinen, J. Iki, M., Starck, J., Pyykko, I. (1)93) | (1) To develop a single number estimate describing cumulative exposure to shooting impulses. (2) To revise an existing risk model for PTS induced in steady state noise. (3) To evaluate the efficiency of the new estimate by applying it to population of forest workers. | 158 normals 43 + 0.7 years | in Sorkers were exposed to chain saw noise i shooting impulses. Chain saw noise=100 dB(A).Average duration of exposure 1000hrs in a year was exposed to 16 years. | -Audiometry (Maico MA-19) at frequency -0.5,1,2,4 i 8kHz. | Hearing threshold level: Measured at 4kHz 2t.3+1.7dB. calculated at 4kHz= 27.6+ 1.0dB. According to Robinson's model the hearing levels had a significant regression co-efficient at every audiometric frequency. Bearing loss at 4kHz is due to effects of Lesi & Lase Exposure to Lesi = Difference in hearing level was most prominent at 4kHz & 8kHz. (Lesi = exposure to shooting impulses . Lase - Noise emission level). | -Shooting impulses of weapons has been reported to be harmful for military personnel(Eryter i Garinther 1965; Salmivalli, 1967; Anttonen et al,1980) -Hearing deterioration has been reported during military training even when hearing protectors have been used (Riihikangas et al, 1980) -In the present study, the hearing loss was significantly higher in the forest workers with higher exposure to shooting impulses than in those with lower exposure. -Predominant hearing | Duration of exposure to noise perday has not been mentioned. was the audiological evaluation done only after 16 yrs of exposure? After 16yrs of exposure the loss at 4kHz is only mild. |

| 1   | 2  | 3  | 4  | 5  | 6  | 7   | 8  |
|---|--|--|--|--|--|---|--|
| Price, G.R.,<br>Ransack, S.,<br>(1989)                  | To develop knowledge of the ear's susceptibility to a gunfire-like impulse that had its spectral peak near 4kHz.   | 24 female cats weighing 2.5kg on an average.   | Exposed to 50 impulses produced by a primer explosion at peak levels 135, 140 or 145dB.    | Electrophysiological Measurement at frequencies 2,4,8 and 16kHz Measured 30min and 2 months post-exposure. | Losses were greatest at 4kHz, began to develop at 134dB peak pressure, and the immediate losses grew at a rate of about 7dB for every dB increase in peak pressure. Energy required to begin producing a PTS was only about 0.0TJ/m <sup>2</sup> .   | loss in the left ear has been suspected to be partly caused by exposure to hand held weapons.   | Data from cat and previous studies on chinchillas indicate that energy does very poorly in rats at high levels. Some other energy will be needed to adequately represent the hazard    |
| Prosser, S.,<br>Lartari, M.C.,<br>Arslan, E.,<br>(1988) | Gunfire noise associated with hunting could additionally damage the hearing of workers exposed to occupational noise & the extent to which it affects the hearing. | 82 male non hunters in the age range 25-59 years with hearing loss. 133 male hunters in the age range 25-60 years with hearing loss. | -Railway service=25 yrs Gunshots. Audiological evaluation done 12hrs after the work shift. | Pure Tone Audiometry (AC t EC) at Frequency 0.25,0.5, 1, 2,3,4 k BkHz.                                     | Normal & hearing impaired subjects were equally distributed in both groups. -14.3% of H presented with unilateral hearing loss at 4kHz. NH had bilateral hearing loss. -Bilateral hearing loss->H showed asymmetrical thresholds. k IB showed symmetrical thresholds. Low \ of IH showed interaural threshold differences of + 4dB Higher % of H showed interaural threshold differences from 5 up to over 55dB. | Since the subjects did not use hearing protectors, a background of occupational noise may be thought to be responsible for the hearing loss. The audiometric picture is also influenced by age. Hunters more often show asymmetrical thresholds with worse hearing levels contralateral to the firearm. Shooting noise does seem to affect the ear ipsilateral to | Degree & type of loss has not been mentioned. Since the audiological evaluation was done 12hrs after the exposure, there could have been some amount of improvement in the thresholds. |

| 1                                     | 2   | 3  | 4   | 5  | 6   | 7  | 8  |
|---------------------------------------|---|--|---|--|---|--|--|
| Rackl, J.,<br>Newell, T.D.,<br>(1979) | To investigate the effects of firetruck engine and siren noise on the hearing of firefighters.  | Group A:17 subjects in the age range 23-28yrs with a mean age of 24 yrs.<br>Group B:17 subjects in the age range 30-35 yrs with mean age of 31.5 yrs | Groups:Firefighting experience from 0.75-2yrs (mean = 1.3yrs)<br>Group E:Firefighting experience from 8.25-10.9yrs (mean = 9.1 yrs)<br>SPL's of trucks did not exceed 90dB(A).<br>Noise levels set ANSI specifications. | -Pure tone audiometry using modified Hughson Vestlake procedure.   | Left-Right differences were not found. There was no significant differences between groups A and B, suggesting that 8-11 yrs of firetruck noise exposure did not significantly change the sensitivity of group B. | the firearm shoulder. Workers with moderate IHL who practice hunting as a leisure activity may develop additional hearing loss as a consequent to gunfire noise.<br><br>Data from Corso(1963) allow comparisons of groups A and B for persons with no known noise exposure. For both groups, the comparisons of persons of the same age reveal significant losses at 0.5-4kc/s but not at 6 or 8 kc/s. | Frequencies tested were not mentioned. No significant differences between group A & B may be because the exposures met ABSI specific time-ions. The work space noise levels met ANSI specifications and the exposures did not exceed OSBA regulations. |
| Raymond, B.,<br>Clauds, T.,<br>(197?) | To study the influence on the effect of temporal distribution of sound energy on growth and recovery of TTS, assuming that TTS is an accurate | 20 normal young adults.  | Group 1(n=10)were exposed to steady state and to intermittent noises.<br>Group 2(n=10) were exposed to steady state and to the  | Fixed frequency Bekesy audiometry using Gra-son Stadler (model 1702) audiometer.<br>Pre-exposure hearing levels measured at 125, 250, 500, 1k, 1.5k, 2k, 3k, | Amount of TTS following steady state noise were larger than those from any intermittent exposure ,Awosg the intermittent conditions,  | Results imply that the equal energy rule may provide an adequate index for the assessment of hazards to hearing from steady state 4  |  |



| 1                    | 2  | 3   | 4  | 5   | 6  | 7  | 8 |
|----------------------|--|---|--|---|--|--|---|
|                      | index of potential hazards to hearing.   |   | varying noises. Steady state noise- 96dHA Intermittent noise- 99 i 45 dBi. Varying noise- 98 i 93 dBA, Exposed to 128 minutes. | 4k, 6k and 8kHz. Post-exposure hearing levels Measured at 1k 1.5k, 2k, 3k, 4k, (k & 8 kHz.  | amounts of final TTS: were a logarithmic function of the intermittency period. Equal amounts of ITS were obtained following the steady state and the four varying noise exposures.   | varying noises but not from intermittent exposures. Current principles of noise dosimetry ignore the parameters of intermittent exposures that determine their potential danger to hearing.  |   |
| Robert, J.K., (1969) | To relate the symptoms and findings in a group of patients who were exposed, without ear protection, to high intensity impulse noise for a short period of time. | 14 subjects in age range 19-34 yrs, with a mean of 24.7 yrs | Exposed to firearms of 146-159 dB.   | Pure tone audiometry at 500, 1000, 2000, 3000 4000 and 6000Hz.  | Pre-exposure thresholds - Average hearing level in the right ear was -1dB k 2dB in the left ear. Post-exposure: Average loss of 12 dB was found in the right ear and 32dB in the left ear. Periodic testing for almost ten weeks post-exposure revealed no improvement, indicating that these patients were permanent. | Dissimilar loss is hearing, is because 13 of the 14 patients shot shoulder weapons and all were right handed. The proper position for firing a shoulder weapon needs turning the head. Therefore the left ear is sore directly in line with the noise source for a right handed shooter. |   |
| Robert, C.F., (1976) | To consider further possible differences in auditor; function between noise exposed and normal listeners not apparent from basic test battery.                   | 32 males (ISORALS, 16- NIHL) in the age range 18-20 yrs.    | 16 subjects exposed to noise daily over periods from 12-24 months.   | 1) Bekesy Fixed-Frequency Audiometry with Grason-Stadler lekesy audiometer Model E-800-4. 500Hz, U, 2k & 4kHz. 2) SISI test at 2kHz using -Beltone 15C 3) Speech tests using Grason-Stadler Model | Fixed-frequency Bekesy audiometry: At 2kHz, twelve of the noise exposed subjects demonstrated separation of 5dB or more between pulsed & continuous tone tracings. SISI test: Score for  | Tests of basic audiologic battery lay not indicate the full extent of auditory dysfunction related to noise induced hearing loss. Tests of adaptation and discrimination of speech under adverse   |   |

i

2

3

5

6

7

8

161.

noise exposed subj- listening conditions  
 icts ranged from 0- lay reflect the  
 45%, i, for normal auditory changes  
 listeners, ranged more accurately.  
 between 0-60%.  
 Speech discrimination  
 tests; Mean scores  
 for PAL PE-50 test  
 me 62.88% & 69%  
 for noise exposed &  
 normals respectively.  
 Mean scores for ¥-22  
 word lists Here  
 49.25% and 58.13% for  
 noise exposed subje-  
 cts & noraal listen-  
 ers respectively. Mean  
 scores for H-22 word  
 lists Kith competing  
 "Cocktail party"  
 noise were 56.75% &  
 75.38% for noise  
 exposed and normal  
 listeners respec-  
 tively.

|   |  |  |  |   |  |   |
|---|--|--|--|---|--|---|
| Roger, P.H.,<br>Donald, H.,<br>Syracuse.,<br>(1974) | To study the integrity 15<br>of the organ of corti chinchillas,<br>after exposure to<br>high intensity impulse<br>noise. Imsec | 15 monoaural<br>Exposed to 50 impul-<br>ses at 3 levels,<br>166, 161 & 155dB<br>peak SPL having<br>A-duration. | Auditory evoked res-<br>ponse at 250,500,1000<br>2000,4000 and 1000Hz. | -For the t«o higher<br>intensity groups the<br>total number of miss-<br>ing OBC varied over<br>a wide range from a<br>virtually normal hair<br>cell population to<br>approximately 4000<br>OHC missing. IBC<br>losses varied from<br>approximately 4 to<br>300 missing.<br>-All animals exposed<br>to 155 dB peak SPL | The reason for the<br>large histological<br>variability is the<br>failure of the con-<br>ductive mecchanism. | The results<br>of auditory<br>evoked<br>response<br>testing is<br>not<br>mentioned. |
|---|--|--|--|---|--|---|

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

noise had almost  
identical lesions  
centered at 9-12  
as from the apex in-  
volving loss from  
1,100 to 2,400HC.  
40-140IBC were missing.

| 1  | 2   | 3  | 4   | 5   | 6  | 7  | 8   |
|--|---|--|---|---|--|--|---|
| <p>Roger, P.H.,<br/>Willim, i.A.<br/>Keng, D.B.,<br/>Sheau-Fang, L.<br/>Robert, I.D.,<br/>(1993)</p> | <p>To determine whether any difference exists between the trauma produced by impulsive and gaussian noise exposures to loderate levels.</p> | <p>30 monoaural chinchillas divided into 3 groups.</p> | <p>Exposed to noise for 5 days (24 hr/day).<br/>Noise I-) Gaussian<br/>Noise II-&gt; lon-gaussian Kith 114dB peak SPL.<br/>loise III - Pure iipact exposure with 117 dB peak SPL.</p> | <p>AHP threshold procedure<br/>Frequency:0.5 - 16 kHz and 11.2 kHz.<br/>Thresholds were measu-red daily at 0.5, 2 and ( kHz. After 5 day exposure was coaplete, thresholds at 0.5, 2 and 8 kHz were measured immediately. 30 days after exposure, final audiograms were constructed.<br/>Histological analysis:-<br/>Right auditory bulla removed and opened widely at 30-40 days after exposure.</p> | <p>Pre-exposure thresh-old differences among groups at any test frequency were relatively stall and never exceeded 10dB. Lover asymptotic threshold shift seen at 0.5 kHz for Noise I exposure.<br/>PTS = Clear differ-ences are seen at 4kHz between noise III group and the other 2 groups. PTS across groups was similar at 0.5, 1 and 2 kHz.<br/>Gaussian noise exposure produced least PTS.<br/>loise II exposure resulted in tore PTS than the gaussian exposure at 11.2 kHz. There was no significant differ-ence in the total sensory cell loss across the 3 groups, but thre were sign-ificant differences in the distribution of sensory cell loss across frequency.</p> | <p>The results show that, a purely impulse soise can producer significa-ntly more PTS at high test frequencies than an equivalent gaussian noise.<br/>Secondly, when the noise consists of a complex combination of impacts and cont-inuous noise in which the bandwidth of the iipacts is United, there is a large statistically signi-ficant differences between the B=3 and B-27 groups in the IHC loss in the 4kHz- octave - band length of the cochlea.</p> | <p>Pre-exposu-re i post-exposure thresholds have not been mentioned, so the amouot of threshold shift cannot be specified. The level of Gaussian noise is also not mentioned.<br/>No information regarding high frequency thresholds. More information regarding high frequency should have been given since noise is supposed to cause more hearing loss at high frequencies</p> |

| 1  | 2  | 3   | 4  | 5  | 6  | 7  | 8 |
|--|--|---|--|--|--|--|---|
| Sallustic, Y.,<br>et al.,<br>(1998)  | To better understand<br>the differences in<br>hearing function in<br>workers exposed to<br>the same noise<br>conditions.         | 180 male sub-<br>jects in the age<br>range 21-63<br>yrs with a mean<br>age of 44.9 yrs<br>divided into<br>3 groups. | Group with 43<br>subjects with<br>Lepd < 80dBA.<br>(control group).<br>Group A with 52<br>subjects with<br>Lepd 80-85 dBA.<br>Group B with 85<br>subjects with<br>Lepd 86-90dBA with<br>a hearing loss at<br>4kHz. | Tonal audiometry at<br>0.25-9 kHz and high<br>frequencies at 9-11kHz.<br>Speech audiometry.<br>Tyapanometry and<br>Seflexametry.<br>II<br>Frequency selectivity.<br>OAE.<br>Interaural signal<br>phase relationship. | Bearing impaired<br>subjects had the worst<br>overall cochlear per-<br>formance, however also<br>the normal hearing<br>workers exposed to<br>hazardous noise have<br>worse performance than<br>subgroup I, relatively<br>to high frequency<br>thresholds; frequency<br>resolution; TEOAE's;<br>DPOAE's; stapedia<br>acoustic reflex<br>dynamic parameters. | The results concer-<br>ning the correla-<br>tions between hear-<br>ing impairment at<br>4 kHz and Lepd, age<br>and seniority are<br>consistent with<br>international lite-<br>rature demonstra-<br>ting that the perc-<br>entage of subjects<br>affected with HIBL<br>progressively, alt-<br>hough not signific-<br>antly increases<br>with Lepd (Kryter<br>et al., 1966;<br>Passchier-Veraeer<br>1973; Hard, 1979).<br>In ears affected<br>with IIBL the recru-<br>itment phenomenon,<br>is present only for<br>frequencies with<br>hearing loss<br>(Findlay, 1976;<br>Carvellera et al.,<br>1983). |   |
| Salvi, R.J.,<br>Chen, L.,<br>Trautwein, P.,<br>Powers, I.,<br>Shern, H.,<br>(1991) | To study the recovery<br>of function in the<br>avian auditory system<br>as the hair cells and<br>supporting cells<br>regenerate. | Chickens of<br>> 12 weeks of<br>age.  | Exposed to 525Hz<br>puretone presented<br>at 120 dB SPL for 48<br>hours.   | Behavioural, anatomical<br>i electrophysiological<br>measures.   | Immediately after the<br>exposure, the behavi-<br>oural thresholds were<br>elevated by 30-40dB &<br>auditory TI was<br>greatly reduced. Both<br>measures recovered<br>fully by 28 days.<br>Tone-on-tone Basking<br>patterns recovered to<br>normal. The thresholds   | The results show<br>that there can be<br>substantial i almost<br>complete recovery of<br>function in birds<br>when the hair cells<br>and tectorial mea-<br>brane degenerate.<br>Birds, like wassals,<br>can develop<br>permanent hearing   |   |

| 1  | 2   | 3  | 4  | 5   | 6  | 7  | 8  |
|--|---|--|--|---|--|--|--|
|  |   |  |  |   | <p>of single cochlear ganglion neurons were elevated more than 30dB, tuning curves were broader. Two-tone rate suppression (TIBS) boundary slopes were shallower and spontaneous activity was reduced. Threshold and spontaneous discharge rate recovered fully after exposure. Tuning and TTFS also recovered in most neurons. Some units with characteristic frequencies near the exposure frequency showed abnormal tuning and TTFS suppression. Haircells &amp; tectorial membrane were damaged in a crescent shaped patch along the abneural edge of the basilar papilla.</p> <p>TI = Temporal Integration.</p> | <p>deficits when the sound exposures are intense enough to destroy the supporting cells (Cousillas &amp; Rebillard, 1985).</p>   |  |
| <p>Sandra, L.M., Donald, H., Antonio, Q., (1997)</p> | <p>To evaluate the chinchilla as a model for EH and to begin to describe the parametric relationship between hearing loss and EM.</p> | <p>16 normal subjects. Expt I --&gt; 4 Expt II --&gt; 12</p> | <p>Octave band noise centered at 0.5 kHz at 90dB SPL for 6hr/12 day for 10 days.</p> | <p>Evoked potential recording:<br/>Frequency - 0.5 to 16kHz<br/>Hasker -&gt; HBN with center frequency of 3kHz.<br/>EM -&gt; Masked threshold - quiet threshold.<br/>(EM - Remote Masking</p> | <p>Expt-I: Threshold &amp; rate of growth of RM. Normal hearing chinchillas exhibit RH at masker levels of 58dB i above &amp; the pattern of EM is essentially the same as that seen in</p>  | <p>Reduction in EM as a result of hearing loss could contribute to difficulty in understanding speech in the presence of noise. In the healthy cochlea, EH could serve to suppress</p> | <p>Should have tested the high frequencies to check whether this low frequency noise</p> |

EM = Effective Masking) normal hearing hUB-  
ans.  
Expt II: Eolation  
between TTS i II:  
Low frequency noise  
exposure produced  
significant TTSS  
at all three frequ-  
encies.

ress perception of  
low frequency noise  
caused high  
frequency  
loss or sot.

coBponents in the  
presence of higher  
frequency speech  
components. Loss or  
reduction of RM with  
hearing loss could  
increase the percep-  
tual salience of low  
frequency background  
noise.

Shalini, A.,  
Richard, J.S.,  
Sanuel, S.,  
Saunders.,  
Don, B.,  
(1987)

To determine if the  
tine course of the  
evoked response "for-  
ward masking" pattern  
would be altered by a  
tone exposure that  
produced a significant  
TTS & little or no PTS.

10 monoaural 2kHz pure tone of  
Chinchillas 85dB SPL presented  
weighing 400- from 5-8 days.  
800 g>s.

Evoked response thres-  
holds measured by  
forward masking data  
for frequencies from  
0.5-16kHz (in quiet).  
3 threshold measured-  
ents each (Pre-4 Post-  
exposure).  
Forward masking - 0.5,  
2, 4 and 8 kHz.

Pre-exposure-> Normal  
hearing. Post-exposure:  
Increase in threshold  
at mid frequencies.  
4kHz=35dB, 2kHz\*25dB  
3kHz=18dB, 1SkHz=5dB  
0.5 kHz-Normal.  
The exposure altered  
the time course of  
the evoked response  
forward masking data.  
Time constants fitting  
the forward Basking  
data increased by upto  
a factor of 3 at the  
frequency with the  
greatest loss, hut  
remained within normal  
limits at low freque-  
ncies where hearing  
was normal. The incre-  
ase in forward Basking  
time constants became  
most noticable once  
the hearing loss  
exceeded by 25dB.

The main finding was  
that the time const-  
ant of the evoked  
response forward  
Basking pattern  
increased at freq-  
uencies with tempo-  
rary hearing loss,  
but not at frequen-  
cies with normal  
hearing.  
Gorga and Abbas  
(1981) indicated  
that there was no  
change in the action  
potential forward  
masking time const-  
ants, but did report  
a change in the  
growth of masking.

| 1   | 2  | 3   | 4   | 5  | 6  | 7  | 8  |
|---|--|---|---|--|--|--|--|
| Shalini, A.,<br>Richard J.S.,<br>Sawuel S.S.,<br>Michael, A.G.,<br>(1989)   | To explore the possibility of central changes, the evoked response forward tasking functions in the inferior colliculus of the chinchilla before and after inducing a noise induced PTS. | 10 normal chinchillas neighboring 400-800g.   | Hearing loss induced with a 2kHz puretone having an SPL of 10SdB. Duration of exposure was 5 days.  | Evoked response forward-masking functions. Frequencies-) 0.5-16kHz at octave intervals.  | Effect of hearing loss on the time course of forward tasking was most pronounced once the hearing loss exceeded 20-25dB. The physiological changes in evoked response by a forward tasking functions appear to parallel those observed psychophysically in human listeners.  | The results of the present study indicate that the time course of the evoked-response forward masking function can be altered in evoked response by a noise induced PTS. The tendency of the evoked response is constant to increase with hearing loss is consistent with the results of several psychophysical studies such as the study done by Feston and Ploap (1983).               | Since the post exposure means reseants were taken after 30 days of exposure there light have improvement in the thresholds.                        |
| Shannon, s, c.,<br>Jiri, P<br>Stephen H.P.<br>Travis A.<br>Travis<br>(1989) | To investigate the effects of long-term, exposure to high doses of aspirin and high intensity noise.   | 38 rats neighboring 100-400gns. GpI- Control-Brats GpII-7rats GpIII-7rats GpIV-7rats GpV-Brats. | Aspirin «as given to group II, II, ¥ for 18 days. Group III, IV & V were exposed to noise 16hrs/day for 13days 110 dB SPL filtered white noise. | Baseline hearing thresholds taken. 24 hrs of 3 weeks after noise exposure Auditory brain stem evoked responses, Threshold, latencies and amplitude were recorded. White noise centered around 8kHz were used, Since testing in a free field with pure tone generates standing waves, narrow band pips filtered white noise were used . | Significant differences in PTS and hair cell loss between all noise exposed animals & non noise exposed animals were found. Greater amount of hair cell loss was found in group V when compared to groups III & VI. Group V also proved to be fatal for 6 of 15 of these animals & caused weight loss in the survivors. mg | Results indicate that a combination of aspirin at high doses and noise will produced significant hair cell losses in the rat ear that are greater than losses from the same amount of aspirin or noise alone. The difference in hair cell loss between the 8-day per 200 mg and 12-day per 200 mg of aspirin per noise suggest the possibility that critical level of salicylates may be | Frequencies tested are not mentioned. Latencies and asplitudes were recorded, however no information of the same is mentioned in terms of results. |



| 1  | 2   | 3   | 4   | 5   | 6  | 7  | 8   |
|--|---|---|---|---|--|--|---|
|  |   |   |   |   |  | required in cochlear tissues to produce penanent bair cell daiage and concentr-ations below this level have no effect.   |   |
| Sinex, D.G.,<br>Clark, W.W.,<br>Bohne, B.A.,<br>(1987) | To study the effects of rest on physiological measures of auditory sensitivity following exposure to noise.         | 4 chinchillas   | Chinchillas were exposed to 500 Hz octave band of noise at 95dB SPL for 15min/hr for 4 or 40 days.  | Action potential (AF) responses at 1/2 octave steps between 0.5-11.3 kHz Here measured                                  | AF thresholds were elevated by about 40dB on day 4, betw- een 0.5kHz and 8kHz. On day 40, AF thre- sholds at the sate frequencies were lower by 10-25dB. Tuning curves leas- ured on day 40 were sore normal in appe- arance.  | The results of these leasurements confirmed that the initial behav- ioural threshold shift and recovery during the interrittent exposure can be attributed to changes in the sensit- ivity of the peripheral auditory system |   |
| Sirkka, M.,<br>Jukka, V.,<br>(1980)                    | To compare hearing thresholds of 4 groups. 3 working in impulse noise from 3-10 yrs and one in a draughtmans office | 30 divided into 3 groups<br>Mean age:<br>GpI-24.6yrs<br>GpII-28.3yrs<br>GpIII-30.1yrs<br>Control<br>Gp - 23.8yrs.<br>(Gp-Group) | GpI-> 3-4 yrs.<br>GpII->S-6 yrs<br>GpIII->7-10yrs<br>Hassering-) 130-140 dB(A)<br>Pneuaatic chisels-) 120-125dB(A)<br>Grinding-) 115-120 dB(A). | Pure tone audiometry. Frequencies tested- 1, 2, 4, & 8 kHz. Tested 10 minutes after the exposure, for 3 times in a day. | Morning hearing thresholds: Gp I had higher hearing thre- sholds at 1-2kHz, Gp II had higher thr- esbolds at 2-8 kHz & Gp III had elevated thresholds at (kHz. compared to the control gp. Midday hearing thresholds: Exposure groups had higher thresholds than control gp. Gp II at 1kHz t 4-8khz, Gp III at 1-8kHz. Afternoon hearing thresholds: Gp I & II had higher thresholds | Results shot that longer the exposure time in impulse noise, higher were the threshold shifts.   | The number of subjects in the control group has not been Mentioned. The thresholds at each frequency has not been Mentioned. Has not been aentioned as to which group has been exposed to what noise. |

| 1                                 | 2   | 3                                     | 4  | 5   | 6  | 7   | 8 |
|-----------------------------------|---|---------------------------------------|--|---|--|---|---|
|                                   |   |                                       |  |   |  | at 2kHz. Gpl had a significant threshold shift at 4kHz when compared to the control group.  |   |
| Sohner, H.,<br>Pratt, R<br>(1975) | To measure the behavioural decrease in auditory sensitivity and neural decrease simultaneously in the same subjects.      | 10 normal adults.                     | Exposed to 90dB SPL white noise for 30min.   | Electrocochleographic recordings were made in response to 50dB SL clicks. Recordings were done pre-exposure, after 15min of exposure and finally after 30min of exposure. | Exposure to white noise produced temporary threshold shifts. The largest decrement (amplitude decrease and latency increase) was seen in the response of the auditory nerve. Large intersubject variability was seen in the effects of the noise exposure on response amplitude, latency and recovery rates. | This is the first electrophysiological study of ITS on unanaesthetized mammals in general and the first such study on human subjects. It is clear that the TTS observed behaviourally is truly accompanied by a neural decrement expressed as an H1 amplitude decrease and latency increase. This decrease can be due to a decrease in the number of fibers activated and/or to a decrease in the synchrony of firing (Benitez et al., 1972). |   |
| Stephen, A.F.,<br>et al., (1981)  | To compare the effects of steady state noise versus impulsive noise upon human hearing sensitivity from 8000 to 20000 Hz. | 36 subjects with age range 20-29 yrs. | 14 subjects exposed to impulsive noise eg: gunfire, grenades etc. 22 subjects were exposed to steady state noise such as flight line duty, aircraft in flight duty, etc. | FTA (AC and EC) at frequencies 250, 500, 1000, 2000, 3000, 4000, 6000 to 8000 Hz. High frequency tests done at frequencies 8000-20000 Hz at 1000 Hz intervals.            | Threshold shifts (TS) were prominent for the steady state noise exposed subjects from 13-20kHz. Mean thresholds from 8 through 12kHz were maximally 20dB poorer than a sample of young adults normals. Audiometric configurations for this group were smooth   | Conventional audiometry from 250-8000 Hz in young adults provides the impression of relatively normal hearing sensitivity in a potentially abnormal ear due to limited frequency range tested. Measurement of hearing sensit-   |   |

| 1  | 2  | 3   | 4   | 5  | 6  | 7  | 6   |
|--|--|---|---|--|--|--|---|
| Swanson, S.J.,<br>Dengerink, H.A.,<br>Kondrick, P.,<br>Killer, C.L.,<br>(1987) | To replicate & extend the findings of Lindgren and Axelsson of greater TTS after the listener finds aversive.              | 20 normal males.                              | Music & noise exposure of 106dB via earphones for 10 minutes.                 | Audiometric screening procedures -> Pure tones, tympanograms, ARTs.<br>Equipment:<br>Industrial Acoustics Model 1200A.<br>Teledyne Acoustic Impedance meter TA-4D.<br>Dexlar Bekesy audiometer 120 to assess thresholds at 416kHz- | and symmetrical above 8kHz. For the impulsive noise exposed group, substantial shifts in sensitivity were seen from 2 to 20 kHz & the high frequency audiometric configurations were often jagged and/or asymmetrical. | ivity above 8000Hz holds promise for better detection, description, and differentiation of NIBL.   | Age of the subjects is not given. No results of tympanograms 4 ARTs are mentioned. Results indicate that the TTS differences found in music & noise may be a function of recovery time. |
| Syka, J.,<br>Popelar, J.,<br>(1980)  | To estimate auditory threshold shifts in 3 guinea pigs after a 5 day exposure to third octave band noise centered at 2kHz. | 3 normal guinea pigs in 4-24 month age range. | Exposed to third octave band noise centered at 2kHz at 100 dB SPL for 5 days. | Auditory thresholds assessed in each animal several times before the noise exposure at frequency 125Hz-16kHz (8 frequencies).  | Pre-exposure -> Maximum sensitivity was at 8kHz.<br>During exposure: Thresholds were measured at intervals of several hrs at frequency 0.5, 2 to 4 kHz. In some cases thresholds at all frequencies were measured. At  | Threshold shifts produced by prolonged exposure to noise in guinea pigs are comparable with the data obtained in chinchillas. The difference between the guinea pig and chinchilla was found in the recovery |   |

| 1                                      | 2   | 3  | 4   | 5  | 6   | 7  | 8  |
|--|---|--|---|--|---|--|--|
| Szasto, C.,<br>Ionescu, H.,<br>(1983). | To study the influence of age & sex on hearing threshold levels in workers exposed to different intensity levels of occupational noise. | 1030 normal subjects divided into two groups.<br>Group I:<br>240 females-<br>30.4 yrs±10.6<br>262 males-<br>29.6 yrs±9.7.<br>Group II<br>22% females-<br>30 yrs±9.8<br>300 males-<br>30.5 yrs±10.1 | Group I-Exposed to an equivalent level of 83dB(A).<br>Group II-Exposed to an equivalent level of 98dB(A). | Audiometric tests using HA-30 clinical audiometer. | 5kHz the threshold increased rapidly to 40dB on the average after 6 hr exposure. Total shift was 45dB by the 5th day. At 2kHz the threshold increased less markedly and more slowly. Threshold shift at 0.5 kHz were relatively small. Recovery from threshold shifts evoked by 5 days noise exposure was slow. 50 days after the exposure the thresholds at 4kHz were shifted against pre-exposure levels by about 35dB. This can be considered as PTS.<br><br>Large differences exist between the male & female populations exposed to a constant sound level of 98dB(A) as well as between the 2 groups of males. The difference between the rate of change in HTL exposed to 98 and 83dB(A) increases with the duration of exposure in males. | period. The influence of noise exposure on the steepness of psychophysical functions at higher frequencies may be related to loudness recruitment, a phenomenon which occurs in sensory deafness in man. | The results are in concordance with those reported by Pinter (1975) where Pinter took into consideration the effects of socioeconomics and sex in expressing the HIHL. Industrial noise exposed females are more susceptible to high frequency hearing loss at lower intensities. Age group and the duration of noise exposure has not been specified. The frequencies tested also has not been mentioned. Not Mentioned whether the |

---

| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6   | 7 | 8   |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
|   |   |   |   |   | <p>Related to age factor these differences decrease with age for men and increase for women. The HTL's obtained in the group exposed to 98 and 83dB(A) were compared to those of the non-exposed group of Royster and Thomas (1979). The highest difference was found in males exposed to 98 dB(A).</p> |   | <p>threshold shift is more at high frequencies or at low frequencies.</p> |

---

| 1                 | 2  | 3   | 4   | 5   | 6  | 7   | 8  |
|-------------------|--|---|---|---|--|---|--|
| Tapio, P., (1991) | To analyse the magnitude of the TTS in both ears and the correlation between the ears at 4kHz. | 28 normal subjects (10 males and 18 females) in the age range of 17-29 yrs and a mean age of 21.9yrs. | Noise generated through Nadsen QB70 audiometer. Ssales I 7females-> SSdBA BBI for 4hrs Smales & 11feaaales-> 91dBA BBI for 15 hrs.  | Audiometry using Madsen OB822 (calibrated according to ISO-389 std). Frequency-) 4kHz. Exposure was interrupted after every 30 sin One ear tested for every interruption. Pre-exposure thresholds Here taken 3 times for both ears and averaged. 12hrs after cessation, 3 readings me again taken and averaged. | 91dBA-> TTS us gre-ater in the left ear than in the right ear. 88dEA-> Threshold shift was roughly half that produced by 91dBA. Left ear produced more threshold shift. Pearson's correlation coefficient between TTS values for left and right ear after 5.5 hrs of exposure was 0.57 After 7.5 hrs of exposure the correlation coefficient was 0.51.     | Shooting noise is known to affect the left ear more than the right, because when a right handed person shoots with a rifle, the blast is directed sore to the left ear.   | Not mentioned regarding the amount of TTS. Not mentioned whether the threshold shift returned back to pre-exposure level 12 hrs after cessation. |
| Tapio, P., (1991) | Pre-exposure hearing threshold i temporary threshold shift at 4kHz frequency.                  | 28 normals (10 females i 10 sales) in the age range 17-29 yrs. i mean age of 21.9 yrs.                | 16 subjects-) exposed to BBH of 91dBA. 12 subjects-) exposed to noise of SSdBA. Noise was reversed after every 30 sin i.e. initially the noise was given to the left ear 4 after 30 min given to the right ear. | 4kHzz hearing threshold was tested within 1 sin of interrupting the exposure. TTS-) Post-exposure thresholds-pre-exposure thresholds. Hearing threshold tested again 12 hrs after cessation of the exposure. Interpolation procedure values analysed by microcomputer using SAS software.                       | 4kfiz thresholds for both ears before, during 12hrs after exposure: 91dBA noise -) Left ear worse than right after 3 and 5.5hrs of exposure. Ho significant difference before i 12hrs after words. 88dBA noise-) Left ear was worse than right during 1st hrs of exposure. TTS as a function of pre-exposure hearing threshold: A negative correlation was | Negative correlation between the pre-exposure hearing threshold i the TTS indicate that this effect concerns not only true pre-exposure hearing loss or simulated hearing loss but also pre exposure hearing thresholds within normal limits. There was a difference between ears concerning the correlation of the pre-exposure hearing threshold level with |  |

| 1   | 2  | 3   | 4  | 5   | 6   | 7   | 8 |
|---|--|---|--|---|---|---|---|
|   |  |   |  |   | found between the pre-exposure hearing threshold i ITS in the left ear along subjects exposed to 91dBA noise.   | ITS.  |   |
| Tetsuo, M,<br>Michael ,A.S.<br>Dixon, K.V. ,<br>Michael<br>Jeffrey, J.,<br>(1985) | To examine the effect on auditory function of a high cholesterol diet maintained for upto one year in the chinchilla & the possible increased susceptibility to noise exposure of hyperhpidemic animals. | 38 chinchillas  | GroupI->I=20, 1% cholesterol diet for & mths.<br>GroupII-)H=9, lormal diet.<br>GroupIIN=9, loise exposure controls.<br>Group I & III exposed to 2 - octave bandpass noise (700-2800 Hz, 10SdB, 220 min). | Auditory brain stem response audiometry at frequencies 4, 8, 12, 16 kHz. Measurements obtained before & 1, 3, 5&6 aths after initiation of diet.<br>Compound Action Potential measurements obtained one mth. post-trauma. | A significant reduction in ABR was seen at 5 mths on diet. One animal died approximately 2 hrs after noise exposure & another died 2 weeks following exposure. One month following noise'exposure, the cholesterol fed animals exhibited a greater ABE latency shift at low intensities and an elevated action potential threshold at higher frequencies. | The results implicate 2 major hypothesis<br>a) Diet induced hyperlipidemia can effect cochlear function.<br>b) Hyperlipidemia can render the cochlea more susceptible to noise exposure.<br>Hyperlipidemic state can lead to cardiovascular insufficiency & profound effects on peripheral circulation. Hence it is not surprising that the cochlea, in such an environment, might be more susceptible to trauma such as noise. |   |
| Thiery, L.,<br>Meyer-<br>Bisch, C<br>(1981)                                       | A cross-sectional epidemiological survey conducted in a carbbody workshop, where the average noise levels range from 87-90dB(A).   | 234 studied population.<br>2 reference population.<br>Studied population: Class I-> age-29.9 yrs.<br>Exposure dura- | Reference population: One exposed to occupational noise level not tore than 80dB(A). Other exposed to continuous industrial noise of 95dB(A).  | Audiometric test (Phillips type A07B).<br>Audiometer calibrated in accordance with ISO 389-1979.<br>Frequency:500-8000 Hz at octave intervals.  | Results reveal significant hearing loss after 9 yrs of exposure, greater than that from quasisteady noise exposure with the same equivalent continuous A-wtd SPL.   | The risk of hearing handicap observed in this population is higher than that given in various epideniological studies concerning exposure to quasisteady  |   |

| 1   | 2  | 3   | 4  | 5                            | 6   | 7  | 8  |
|---|--|---|--|------------------------------|---|--|--|
|   |  | <p>tion-&gt; 9.2 yrs<br/>Class II-&gt; age-<br/>34.6 yrs.<br/>Exposure dura-<br/>tion -&gt; 14.1 yrs<br/>Class III-&gt; age-<br/>39.5 yrs.<br/>Exposure dura-<br/>tion-) 17.9yrs.</p> |  |                              | <p>Studied population<br/>had hearing loss inc-<br/>rease of 6dB at lot<br/>frequency in compar-<br/>ison with the non-ex-<br/>posed population.<br/>3-SkHz -&gt; Increase of<br/>15dB for 50% of popu-<br/>lation &amp; exceeds 20dB<br/>for 10% of popula-<br/>tion. Ho differnce<br/>over 10dB in hearing<br/>threshold levels exc-<br/>epts at 152 kHz in<br/>comparison with those<br/>exposed to 95dB(A)<br/>noise.</p>   | <p>noise of levels<br/>close to 90dB(A) i<br/>those estimated using<br/>ISO 1999-1987.<br/>Equal energy crit-<br/>erion itself is ins-<br/>ufficient to quantify<br/>the risk of hearing<br/>loss in cases where<br/>the sound produced is<br/>partly impulsive.</p>   |  |
| <p>Ulf, E.,<br/>lai, P.,<br/>Alvar, S.,<br/>(1990).</p> | <p>To study age related<br/>changes in hearing in a<br/>representative sample of<br/>70 year old and to eval-<br/>uate the effect of<br/>protracted occupational<br/>noise exposure on the<br/>hearing at old age.</p> | <p>1148(F01) of<br/>70, 75 &amp; 79yrs-tp<br/>1281(F06) of<br/>70 yrs.<br/>F01-&gt; first<br/>cohort<br/>F06-) Second<br/>cohort.</p>   | <p>Group 1-&gt;Not exposed<br/>to noise.<br/>Group 2 - Exposed to<br/>noise from 1-15yrs.<br/>Group 3-Exposed to<br/>noise for 15 yrs or<br/>more.</p> | <p>Pure tone audiometry.</p> | <p>70 yr old ten exposed<br/>to occupational noise<br/>had 10-15dB poorer<br/>hearing in the high<br/>frequency range than<br/>non-exposed ten. The<br/>difference in hearing<br/>acuity decreased with<br/>increasing age. In<br/>women there were no<br/>significant differe-<br/>nces in hearing<br/>sensitivity between<br/>those exposed to noise<br/>and those not exposed<br/>to noise. Hen not exp-<br/>osed to noise had<br/>10-15dB poorer hearing<br/>at 4kHz coapared with<br/>women of the saae age<br/>also not exposed to<br/>noise.</p> | <p>Exposure to noise<br/>is a very important<br/>extraneous noxious<br/>factor invovled in<br/>hearing loss in old<br/>age (Glorig &amp; Mixon,<br/>1960).<br/>In this study no<br/>difference between<br/>the right &amp; left<br/>ears were observed<br/>in contrast to other<br/>studies in which the<br/>left ear had a lore<br/>pronounced hearing<br/>loss than the right<br/>one (Baughn 1966;<br/>Pudin, Eosenhall &amp;<br/>Swardsudd, 1988).</p> | <p>BC thresh-<br/>olds should<br/>have been<br/>checked to<br/>see the<br/>type of<br/>loss.<br/>Pre-<br/>exposure<br/>audiograms<br/>would have<br/>given more<br/>information.</p> |



| 1  | 2  | 3  | 4   | 5  | 6  | 7  | 8   |
|--|--|--|---|--|--|--|---|
| Virich, B.F. Marilyn, L.P. (1974)                          | To investigate the temporary and any possible permanent EIHL in a group of teenagers actually exposed to long hours of highly amplified live rock-and-roll music over a series of eight weekly discotheque sessions. | 14 (4 boys & 10 girls) subjects ranging in age from 13-17yrs.  | Exposed to & weekly sessions of rock-and-roll music with an average SPL of 110dB-115dB. | Pure tone audiometry at 250, 500, 1000, 2000, 4000 & 8000Hz.             | Significant TTS were found in all subjects, especially in the high frequencies. All subjects demonstrated the most TTS at 4000Hz with 2000Hz & 8000Hz affected to a lesser degree. Hearing sensitivity recovered between the repeated exposures, & a 5-month follow-up study found that hearing in all subjects except one returned to its initial pre-exposure level. Exposure had differential effects on the two ears at the same test frequencies. | Results of this study closely agree with other reports in literature on TTS due to exposure to rock-and-roll. There was no way to determine whether the permanent decrease in hearing sensitivity found at follow-up in one of the subjects was due to repeated rock-and-roll exposures.   | Duration of exposure per session is not given.  |
| Fillia, M.C. Clark, S.C. David, B.M., William, C.S. (1974) | To determine hearing sensitivity in IIHL in the chinchilla using a positive reinforcement procedure.   | 4 chinchillas (2 males & 2 females) where 3 subjects were one & 1 1/2 yrs old and one was ten yrs old. | 2 animals were exposed to 123dB SPL band of noise (710-2800 Mx) for 15 min.             | Behavioural measurement (positive-reinforcement procedure). Cochleogram. | Both animals showed a considerable PTS that agreed closely with the degree of change seen in the cochlea. The maximum threshold shift was 94dB at 2.8kHz and maximum recovery, 21 dB occurred at 4kHz for one animal. The other animal showed maximum threshold shift of 92dB at 2kHz and maximum recovery of 49dB at 4kHz. The outer hair cells were missing depending  | This behavioural measure is longer, however this disadvantage is outweighed by the increase in objectivity that the automated testing feature affords. In this procedure the chinchilla does exhibit a considerable PTS from a 15min 123dB exposure that agrees closely with the observed trauma in the inner ear. Contrary to previous findings, this rela- | Should have taken all the subjects of the same age. Frequencies tested are not mentioned. |

| 1   | 2  | 3  | 4   | 5  | 6  | 7 | 8 |
|---|--|--|---|--|--|---|---|
| Villias, F.R. To clarify the effects of exposure to intermittent & continuous rock-and-roll music on hearing threshold level. | 20 normal females in the age range of 11.11yrs-22.6 yrs with a mean age of 20.10yrs. | Exposed to rock-and-roll music played continuously for 60 min and other recording of the same music with lain of discotheque ambient noise spliced between each 3-min musical selection. | Bekesy audiometry at 1000, 2000, 3000, 4000, 6000 k 8000Hz prior to k 4 times following each exposure.                    | on the degree of hearing loss.<br><br>TTS was greater for the continuous exposure, recovery occurred more rapidly. TTS was greatest in the frequency range from 3000-6000Hz the largest shift occurred at 4000Hz. Continuous music resulted in greater shifts in threshold than intermittent music. Recovery time was similar under both conditions. | tion in the chinchilla is consistent with those observed in other massals.<br><br>When comparing the present with previous studies concerning rock-and-roll music, the following two observations are made. While the amount of TTS is quite variable among individual subjects, the mean TTS appears fairly constant. When coaparing TTS resulting from intermittent exposure, the mean levels are consistently below 25dB. |   |   |
| Tates, T.J., to compare the damage risk of 85 and 90dBA of white noise for equivalent full day exposures,                     | 12 nortal (6 males, & females) coll- age age subjects.                               | Group 1-)3males 4 3 females exposed to 90dBA of white noise- Group 2->3males & 3 females exposed to 85dBA of white noise- Exposed to white noise for equivalent half t full day.         | Pure tone audiometry (Bekesy type) using Grason-Stadler, Type E-800 audiometer. Frequencies tested- 1, 2, 3, 4, 6 t 8kHz. | Potential daiage risk, that is, hazardous effect of 90dBA is greater than 85dBA of noise for equivalent full day exposures. Damage risk of a full day exposure to 8SdBA is equivalent to that of a half day exposure to S0dBA of noise.  | A comparison between the statistical results of TTSt (TTS measured after the various time intervals, t) & TTS: data indicated virtually no difference between the two measures for determining the damage risk of noise exposure. Therefore TTSt was as effective as TTS: for estimating   |   |   |

| 1  | 2  | 3  | 4  | 5   | 6  | 7   | 8  |
|--|--|--|--|---|--|---|--|
|  |  |  |  |   |  | the damage risk of noise exposure.  |  |
| Ylikoski.J. (1987)                           | To analyse the relationship between puretone audiometry findings and etiological factors in a large group of Finnish conscripts who had suffered acute hearing loss induced by firearm shooting. | 361 subjects with the average age of 20 yrs.   | Suffered acute acoustic trauma during their military service.                                      | Pure tone audiometry using clinical audiometer calibrated according to ISO 389. Frequencies tested were 0.2S-8kHz at octave and mid octave intervals. | In more than 751 of the ears the hearing loss was found in the high frequency region (above 2kHz). In 25%, the speech frequency range was also affected. The main threshold shift started at 1kHz in at, at 2kHz in 49%, at 4kHz in 19% & at 6kHz in 6% of the ears. Impulse noise from large calibre weapons & explosions caused low frequency hearing loss slightly more often than small arms fire. | The great majority of the audiometric shapes of the present conscripts (abrupt threshold shift from 2kHz) were similar to the audiograms seen commonly in advanced NIHL. Thus, in these cases, a single exposure to shot impulses had often caused NIHL of a degree which in connection with steady state noise usually takes years to develop. | Pre-exposure audiograms or the medical details should have been taken of those ears who had hearing loss even at low frequencies to check for other causes of loss. The duration of exposure is not mentioned. |
| Tokoyasa, T. Osako, S., Taaatoto, I. (1974). | To investigate the separate effects of noise and vibration, and their combined effect, on human hearing.   | 8 normal subjects with the age range 27-35yrs. | Exposed to vibration of 300CPM (5Bz) k 1000 CFM (16.7HI), to broad band noise of 82dBSL t to both. | Bekesy audioaetry at 4kHz.  | There was no significant change in threshold sensitivity after exposure to vibration alone. Exposure to vibration and noise simultaneously caused greater TTS & longer recovery time than exposure to noise alone. TTS produced by exposure to noise alone was a mean rise in threshold of 5dB whereas, the rise in  | Effects of combined noise & vibration might be the results of physiological homeostasis or possible mechanical interactions with its blood supply.  | Effects of vibration on the auditory organ vary with the experimental condition. Hence many more studies need to be done before the effects can be elucidated.   |

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

threshold us 12dB  
for simultaneous  
exposure to vibration  
and noise.

|   |                       |  |   |   |  |
|---|-----------------------|--|---|---|--|
| <p>Zurek, P.M. The study was done to<br/>Clark, 1,1. search for acoustic<br/>(1981) emissions in the ears<br/>of chinchillas, motivated<br/>by the opportunities that<br/>would be afforded by<br/>instances of OAE's in<br/>animals and encouraged by<br/>high incidence of OAE's<br/>in humans.</p> | <p>23 chinchillas</p> | <p>17 out of 23 chinchillas were exposed to intense noise.</p> | <p>Narrow band otoacoustic emissions at frequencies 0.5 - 8.5kHz.</p> | <p>Acoustic search in 21 ears of 17 chinchillas exposed to a variety of high intensity sounds revealed two instances of a spontaneous &amp; continuous narrow band acoustic signal emanating from the ear. These signals, found in the 4-7kHz region, after exposure to an octave hand of noise centered at 0.5kHz, could be suppressed by external tones. The frequency selectivity &amp; time course of the suppression effect suggest that these OAE's originate from a vibratory source in the cochlea.</p> | <p>The hypothesis of active mechanical assistance in normal cochlear functioning &amp; another hypothesis of OAE's caused by particular damage to the organ of corti are not mutually exclusive, the very existence of OAE's should not be considered proof of the former proposition.</p> |
|---|-----------------------|--|---|---|--|

# *SUMMARY*

**SUMMARY**

The journal articles reviewed in this project attempted to provide a concise report about the literature available on audiological evaluation of NIHL. The articles reviewed are summarized and tabulated in the following manner.

Table I: Number of studies in different journals reviewed.

---

| Journal                   | Number |
|---------------------------|--------|
| Acta Otolaryngology       | 17     |
| Scand. Audiology          | 17     |
| Audiology                 | 10     |
| Ear and Hearing           | 10     |
| Archives Otolaryngology   | 6      |
| J.A.R                     | 5      |
| B.J.A.                    | 4      |
| Hearing Research          | 3      |
| J.S.H.R.                  | 3      |
| J.S.H.D.                  | 2      |
| Hearing Journal           | 2      |
| Audiology and Neurotology | 1      |

---

the least number (0.8%). More number of journal articles could have been reviewed through internet.

Table II: Different types of subjects in the articles reviewed:

| <b>A.</b> | <b>ON HUMANS</b>                          | <b>NUMBER OF ARTICLES</b> |
|-----------|---|---------------------------|
|           | Total number                              | 73                        |
|           | Normal humans                             | 63                        |
|           | Humans with NIHL                          | 5                         |
|           | Normals and NIHL                          | 4                         |
|           | Head injury and other diseases of the ear | 1                         |
| <b>B.</b> | <b>On Animals</b>                         | <b>Number of Articles</b> |
|           | Total Number                              | 52                        |
|           | Chinchillas: Normal                       | 15                        |
|           | Monoaural                                 | 13                        |
|           | Rats                                      | 6                         |
|           | Guinea Figs                               | 5                         |
|           | Monkeys: Normal                           | 5                         |
|           | Monoaural                                 | 1                         |
|           | Cats                                      | 2                         |
|           | Mongolian Gerbils                         | 2                         |
|           | Rabbits                                   | 1                         |
|           | Albino + Pigmented pigs                   | 1                         |
|           | Chickens                                  | 1                         |

- (a) **More** number of humans **were tested compared** to animals. 58.4% of the studies used human subjects and 41.6% of the studies used animals as the subjects.
- (b) Most of the studies were done by inducing hearing loss by exposing normal hearing subjects to noise rather than those who were already exposed to noise.
- (c) Among humans, maximum number of studies were conducted on normal hearing subjects (86.30%) when compared to those with NIHL and lead injury and other diseases of the ear (1.37%).
- (d) Among animals, maximum number of studies were conducted on chinchillas (53.84%) when compared to other animals. As seen in the table, 13 of the 28 chinchillas were surgically made monoaural by destroying one of their cochleae.

Table III: Audiological tests administered in the articles reviewed.

| <b>HUMANS</b>              |         | <b>ANIMALS</b>                |         |
|----------------------------|---------|-------------------------------|---------|
| Tests administered         | Numbers | Tests administered            | Numbers |
| PTA                        | 32      | Behavioural Measurement       | 15      |
| Bekey Audiometry           | 16      | ABR                           | 15      |
| PTA + Impedance audiometry | 3       | Cochlear Microphonics         | 4       |
| ABR                        | 2       | ABR + Behavioural Measurement | 3       |



|   |   |  |   |
|---|---|--|---|
| PTA + Loudness Balance test   | 2 | Electrocochleography                               | 3 |
| PTA + Impedance + Speech audiometry   | 2 | OAE  | 3 |
| OAE   | 1 | Action Potential                                   | 3 |
| OAE + PTA   | 1 | OAE + evoked potentials                            | 2 |
| PTA + STAT + ART + BERA   | 1 | ABR + Impedance audiometry                         | 1 |
| Bekeky audiometry + ART   | 1 | ART  | 1 |
| PTA + Speech audiometry + TDT+ SISI + Bekeky audiometry   | 1 | Auditory evoked response audiometry + Tympanometry | 1 |
|   |   | Electrophysiological measurement                   | 1 |
| PTA + LDL + Bekeky audiometry   | 1 |  |   |
| Bekeky audiometry + TOM   | 1 |  |   |
| Bekeky audiometry + SISI + Speech audiometry  | 1 |  |   |
| PTA + TOM   | 1 |  |   |
| Electrocochleography  | 1 |  |   |
| PTA + Balance test  | 1 |  |   |
| PTA + Intelligibility test + Bekeky audiometry  | 1 |  |   |
| Bekeky audiometry + Evoked response audiometry  | 1 |  |   |
| Frequency discrimination  | 1 |  |   |
| PTA + ABR + OAE + Impedance   | 1 |  |   |
| Tonal audiometry + Speech audiometry + TI + Impedance audiometry + Frequency selectivity + Interaural signal phase relationship + OAE | 1 |  |   |

---

- (a) The most commonly used audiological test was pure tone audiometry (43.24%) and Bekesy audiometry (21.62%) for human<sup>3</sup>, and behavioural measurement (29.41%) and ABR (29.41%) for animals.
- (b) In 1960's the audiological tests used were PTA and Bekesy audiometry. In 1970s along with PTA and Bekesy audiometry, other tests such as speech audiometry, Impedance audiometry, ABR, Special tests, Behavioural measurement, Cochleogram and cochlear microphonics were also used. It was later in 1980s that OAE and balance test was brought into picture in the evaluation of NIHL. Measurement of TLS, Effective mas-Ring, Temporal integration, Frequency selectivity, Interaural phase relationship was later used in 1990s.
- (c) In 1960s, studies were conducted on humans only and the authors studied TTS and recovery from TTS. From 1970s experiments were conducted on animals also. In 70s, TTS, recovery from TTS, PTS, type of recovery, effects of rock-and-roll music, snow-mobile engines, effects of noise and vibration, noise and other agents, adequacy of ABR in detecting NIHL, changes in acoustic reflex after exposure to noise, anatomical effects in inner ear were also studied. Comparison studies such as comparison of recovery in animals and humans, TTS and TLS were carried out. The purposes or main objectives of the studies done in 1980s were to establish risk limits for impulse noise,

to search for OAE's in noise exposed ears, to compare the effects of steady state noise and impulse noise, to investigate the phenomenon of temporal integration, influence of age on NIHL, to study TTS, relationship between TTS and PTS, interaction of noise and vibration, interaction of noise and other solvents and also to study the inner ear pathology.

Finally, in 1990s more advanced studies were done where the purpose of study were as follows:

The authors studied, Age and TTS, Right-left correlation, TTS, PTS, Effects of noise and other agents on hearing, Histological changes, Application of frequency and time domain kurtosis, A comparison study of impact noise and continuous noise on hearing. Changes in TEOAE, DPOAE, TTS and TLS, Noise induced changes in central acoustic pathways, recovery functions in avian auditory system and conditioning and NIHL.

# *BIBLIOGRAPHY*

## BIBLIOGRAPHY

- Ahaus, W.H., Ward, W.D. (1975). Temporary threshold shift from short-duration noise bursts. In Karlovich, R.S., Osier, H.A., Gutnick, H.N., Ivey, R.G., Wolf, K., (1977). The acoustic reflex and temporary threshold shift: Temporal characteristics. JSHR, 20, 565-573.
- Akira, O., Hirotsugu, M., Kotaro, Y., Masayasu, M. (1971). Temporary hearing loss induced by noise and vibration. JASA, 51(4), 1240-1248.
- Al-Hua, S., Xia, O.S-Z., Li, Z-J., Lin, D-Y., Liang, Z-F., Hu, Z-Y., Wang, G-Y., Ye, X-T. (1991). Noise induced hearing loss in iron deficient rats. Acta otolaryngol. (Stockh.), 111, 684-690.
- Allen, R., Robert, C.B. (1978). Noise induced threshold shift and cochlear pathology in the mongolian gerbils. JASA, 63(4), 1145-1151.
- Annette, R., Peppard., Sean, B.P. (1992). Noise-Induced hearing loss: A study of children at risk. Hearing Journal, 45(3), 33-35.
- Anttonen, H., Hassi, J., Riihikangas, P., Sorri, M. (1980). Impulse noise exposure during military service. In Pekkarinen, J., Iki, M., Starck, J., Pyykko, I. (1993). Hearing loss risk exposure to shooting impulses in workers exposed to occupational noise. BJA, 27, 175-182.

- Axellson. A., Jerson, T., Lindberg, U., Lindgren, F. (1981).  
Early NIHL in teenage boys. Scand. Audiol., 10, 91-96.
- Axelsson, A., Lindgren, F. (1978). Hearing in pop musicians.  
Acta otolaryngol, 85, 225-231.
- Baughn, W. (1966). Noise control-percent of population  
protected. In Ulf, R., Kai, P., Alvar, S. (1990).  
Presbycusis and noise induced hearing loss. Ear and  
Hearing, 11(4), 257-263.
- Bell, D.W., Fairbanks, G. (1963). TTS produced by low level  
tones and the effects of testing recovery. In Botte,  
M.C., Monikheim, S. (1994). New data on the short term  
effects of tone exposure. JASA, 95(5), 2598-2605.
- Benitz, L.D., Eldredge, D.H., Templer, V.W. (1972). Temporary  
threshold shifts in chinchilla: electrophysiological  
correlates. In Sohmer, H., Pratt, H. (1975).  
Electrocochleography during noise induced temporary  
threshold shifts. Audiology, 14, 130-134.
- Bergstrom, B., Nystrom, B. (1986). Development of hearing  
loss during long term exposure to occupational noise.  
Scand. Audiol, 15, 227-234.
- Blakeslee, E.A., Hynson, K., Hamernik, R.P., Henderson, D.  
(1973). Asymptotic threshold shift in chinchillas  
exposed to impulse noise. JASA, 63(3), 876-882.

- Borg, E., Nilsson, R., Engstrom, B. (1983). Effect of the acoustic reflex on inner ear damage induced by industrial noise. Acta otolaryngol, 96, 361-369.
- Botte, M.C., Monikheim, S. (1994). New data on the short term effects of tone exposure. JASA, 95(5), 2598-2605.
- Cervellera, G., Quaranta, A., Mininni, F. (1983). Test audiometrici soyraliminari e tecnopatie da rumore. In Sallustio, V., Portalatini, P., Soleo, L., Cassano, F., Pesola, G., Lasorsa, G., Quaranta, N., Saloma, I. (1998). Auditory dysfunction in occupational noise exposed workers. Scand. Audiol. (Suppl. 48), 27, 95-110.
- Charles, K.B., James, H.P., Ben, T.M., Robert, T.C. (1978). Threshold shifts in chinchillas exposed to octave bands of noise centered at 63 and 1000Hz for three days. JASA, 64(2), 458-466.
- Charles, W. (1977). Asymptotic noise-induced temporary threshold shift in chinchilla measured by auditory evoked response. Audiology, 16, 11-20.
- Charron, S., Botte, M.C. (1988). Frequency selectivity in loudness adaptation and auditory fatigue. In Botte, M.C., Monikheim, S. (1994). New data on the short term effects of tone exposure. JASA, 95(5), 2598-2605.
- Chung, D.Y. (1982). Temporal Integration. Its relationship with NIHL. Scand. Audiol., 11, 153-157.

- Chung, D.Y., Smith, F. (1980). Quiet and Masked Brief tone audiometry in subjects with normal hearing and with NIHL. Scand. Audiol., 9, 43-49.
- Cianfrone, G., Ingrosso, A., Altissimi., Ralli, G., Turchetta, R. (1998). DPOAE modifications induced by pure tone overstimulation in guinea pigs. Scand. Audiol, (Suppl 48), 27, 37-43.
- Clarke, W.W., Bohne, B.A. (1987). Effect of periodic rest on hearing loss and cochlear damage following" exposure to noise. JASA, 82(4), 1253-1264.
- Claudia, D.V., William, L.K. (1990). Hearing loss in recreational shooters. Hearing Journal, 43, 22-24.
- Cooper, J.C., Owen, H.J. (1976). Audiologic profile of noise-induced hearing loss. Arch.of otolaryngol., 102,148-150.
- Corso, J.F. (1963). Age and Sex differences in pure tone thresholds. In Rackl, J., Newell, T.D. (1979). Effect of firetruck noise on firefighters hearing. JAR, 19, 271-275.
- Cousillas, H., Rebillard, G. (1985). Age-dependent effects of a pure tone trauma in the chick basilar papilla: Evidence for a development of the tonotopic organization. In Salvi, R.J., Chen, L., Trautwein, P., Power, N., Shero, M, (1998). Hair cell regeneration



and recovery of function in the avian auditory system.  
Scand Audiol. (Suppl 48), 27, 7-14.

Daniel, L. , Johnson.,. Carol, R. (1982). Effects of gunfire on hearing level for selected individuals of the Inter-Industry noise study. JASA, 72(IV), 1311-1314.

Dancer, A., Grateau, P., Cabanis, A., Vaillant, T., Lafont, D. (1991). Delayed Temporary Threshold Shift induced by impulsive noises (Weapon noises) in men. Audiology, 30, 345-356.

David, W.C., John, L.F. (1983). Hearing levels in U.S. army engineers. JAR, 23, 23-32.

David, R.C., Michael, L.N. (1982). Eye colour and Noise-induced hearing loss: A population study. Ear and Hearing, 3, 211-214.

David, Y.C., Glenn, N.W. , Patrick, G.R. (1983). Lateral differences in susceptibility to noise damage. Audiology, 22, 199-205.

Dennis, G.D. (1976). Effect of temperature on cochlear responses during and after exposure to noise. JASA, 59(2), 401-407.

Dixon, W.W., Marion, E.C., Edward, M.B. (1976). TTS from neighborhood aircraft noise. JASA, 60(1), 182-185.

- Dolan, T.R., Ades, H.W., Bredberg, G, Neff, W.D. (1975). Inner ear damage and hearing loss after exposure to tones of high intensity. Acta otolaryngol, 80, 343-352.
- Donald, H., Roger, P.H. , Ronald, W.S. (1974). Audiometric and histological correlates of exposure to 1-msec noise impulses in the chinchilla. JASA, 56(4), 1210-1221.
- Donald, H., Roger, P.H., Richard, J.S., William, A.A. (1983). Comparison of Auditory-Evoked potentials and Behavioural thresholds in the normal and noise-exposed chinchillas. Audiology, 22, 172-180.
- Donald, H., Roger, P.H., Syracuse., Ronald, S., Geneseo. (1974). Audiometric and anatomical correlates of impulse noise exposure. Arch of otolaryngol., 99, 62-66.
- Donald, H.E., (1976). The problems of criteria for noise exposure. In Henderson, D., Hamernik, R.P., Dosanjh, D.S., Mills, J.H. (1976). Effects of noise on hearing, 3-20, Raven Press, New York.
- Donald, W.N., Jane, B., Carol, T. (1978). Squirrel monkey temporary threshold shift from 48-h. exposures to low frequency noise. JASA, 64(2), 478-484.
- Douglas, P.N., Tom, W.T. (1970). Post-exposure responsiveness in the auditory system. I. Immediate sensitization. JASA, 47(2), 546-551.

- Dunn, E.D., Davis, R.R., Merry, C.J., Franks, J.R. (1991). Hearing loss in the chinchilla from impact and continuous noise exposure. JASA, 90(4), 1979-1985.
- Emilia, K. (1974). Effect of industrial noise and ototoxic antibiotics of cochlear function. Acta otolaryngol, 77, 44-50.
- Erick, B.(1987). Loss of hair cells and threshold sensitivity during prolonged noise exposure in normotensive albino rats. Hearing Research, 30, 119-126.
- Fabiani, M., Mattioni, A., Saponara, M., Cordier, A. (1998). Auditory evoked potentials for the assessment of noise induced hearing loss. Scand. Audiol. (Suppl 48), 27, 147-153.
- Feston, J.M., Plomp, R. (1983). Relations between auditory functions in impaired hearing. In Shalini, A., Richard, J.S., Samuel, S.S., Michael, A.G. (1989). Evoked response forward masking functions in chinchillas with noise induced permanent hearing loss. Audiology, 28, 92-110.
- Findlay, R.C. (1976). Auditory dysfunctions accompanying noise induced hearing loss. In Sallustio, V., Portalatini, P., Soleo, L., Cassano, F., Pesola, G., Lasorsa, G., Quaranta, N., saloma, I. (1998). Auditory

dysfunction in occupational noise exposed workers. Scand. Audiol. (Suppl 48), 27, 95-110.

Fletcher, J.L., Loeb, M. (1965). Relationships for TTS produced by three different sources. In George, A.L., John, L.F., William, J.F. James, D.M., (1980-86). The relation"between temporary threshold shift and permanent threshold shift in Rhesus monkey exposed to impulse noise. Acta otolaryngol. (Suppl), 311-320, 5-15.

Flint, A.B., Richard, A.S. (1995). Distortion product otoacoustic emission in mongolian gerbils with resistance to NIHL. JASA. 98(6), 3215-3222.

Frank, D.M., Charles, V.A. (1971). Relation of temporary loudness shift to temporary threshold shift. JASA, 49(4), 1195-1202.

Fredrick, L., Alf, A. (1983). Temporary threshold shift after exposure to noise and music of equal energy. Ear and Hearing, 4, 197-201.

Fred, H.B., Robert, E.P., Mount, P., Mich. (1972). Snowmobile engine noise and hearing. Arch of otolaryngol, 95, 164-168.

Gail, D.C., Joan, E.D., Harold, A.D. (1984). Threshold of octave masking as a predictor of temporary threshold shift following repeated noise exposure. JSHD, 49, 303-308.

- Garber, S.R., Turner, C.W., Creel, D., Witkop, C.J. (1982). Auditory System abnormalities in human albinos. In Lynn, W.H., Donald, H., Julie, S., Malini, S., Samuel. S., Dough, O. (1995). Effects of noise exposure, race, and years of service on hearing in U.S. Army soldiers. Ear and Hearing, 16(4), 382-389.
- George, A.L., John, L.F., William, J.F., James, D.M. (1980-86). The relation between temporary threshold shift and permanent threshold shift in Rhesus monkey exposed to impulse noise. Acta otolaryngol. (Suppl), 311-320, pp.5-15.
- George, A.L., David, C.H. (1971). Recovery from impulse-noise induced temporary threshold shift in Monkeys and Men: A descriptive model. JASA, 49(6), 1770-1777.
- George, A.L., David, M.L. (1973). Susceptibility to damage from impulse noise: Chinchilla versus man or Monkey. JASA, - 54(6), 1750-1754.
- Gerhardt, K.J. (1979). Reflex threshold shift in chinchillas following a prolonged exposure to noise. JSHR, 22, 63-72.
- Gjaevenes, K., Moseng, J., Nordahl. (1974). Hearing loss in children caused by the impulsive noise of Chinese crackers. Scand. Audiol, 3, 153-156.

- Glorig, A., Nixon, J. (1960). Distribution of hearing loss in various populations. In Ulf, R., Kai, P., Alvar, S. (1990). Presbycusis and noise induced hearing loss. Ear and Hearing, 11(4), 257-263.
- Gorga, M.P., Abbas, P.J. (1981). AP measurements of short term adaptation in normal and in acoustically traumatized ears. In Shalini, A., Richard, J.S., Samuel, S., Saunders., Don, H. (1987). Evoked response "forward masking" patterns in chinchillas with temporary hearing loss. Hearing Research, 27, 193-205.
- Grenner, J., Nilsson, P., Katbamna, B. (1990). Right-Left correlation in Guinea Pig ears after noise exposure. Acta otolaryngol. (Stockh), 109, 41-48.
- Grenner, J., Nilsson, P., Katbamna, B. (1989). AP threshold elevation in the guinea pig following exposure to broadband noise. JASA, 86(6), 2223-2228.
- Hamernik, P.R., Henderson, D., Coling, D., Salvi, R. (1981). Influence of vibration on asymptotic threshold shift produced by impulse noise. Audiology, 20, 259-269.
- Hamernik, R.P., Ahroon, W.A., Davis, R.I. (1989). Noise and vibration interactions: Effects on hearing, JASA, 86(6), 2129-2137.

- Hellstrom, P.A., Axelsson, A., Costa, O. (1998). Temporary threshold shift induced by music. Scand. Audiol. (Suppl. 48), 27, 87-94.
- Henderson, D., Hamernik, R.P., Hynson, K. (1979). Hearing loss from simulated work-week exposure to impulse noise, JASA, 65(5), 1231-1237.
- Hirsh, I.J., Bilger, R.C. (1955). Auditory threshold recovery after exposures to pure tones. In Frank, D.M., Charles, V.A. (1971). Relation of temporary loudness shift to temporary threshold shift. JASA, 49(4), 1195-1202.
- Hirsh, I.J., Bilger, R.C. (1955). Auditory threshold recovery after exposure to pure tones. In Botte, M.C., Monikheim, S. (1994). New data on the short term effects of tone exposure. JASA, 95(5), 2598-2605.
- Hood, J.D. (1987). Hearing acuity and susceptibility to NIHL. BJA, 21, 175-181.
- Hotz, M.A., Probst, R., Harris, F.P., Hauser, R. (1993). Monitoring the effects of noise exposure using transiently evoked otoacoustic emissions. Acta otolaryngol. (Stockh), 113;478-482.
- Humes, E.L., Schwartz, M.D., Bess, H.F. (1977). The threshold of octave masking (TOM) test as a predictor of susceptibility to noise-induced hearing loss. JAR, 17, 5-12.

- Ivan, M.H-D., Donald, N.E. (1972). Effects of intense auditory stimulation: Hearing losses and inner ear changes in the squirrel monkey. JASA, 52(4), 1181-1192.
- Ivan, M.M-D., Donald, N.E. (1973). Effect of intense auditory stimulation: Hearing losses and inner ear changes in the squirrel monkey-II. JASA, 54(5), 1179-1183.
- James, J.D. (1985). 6000Hz as an early indicator of noise-induced hearing loss. Ear and Hearing, 6, 159-160.
- James, E.P., Milton, R.H., David, J.A., Ann, A., Mich. (1974). Cochlear electrical activity in noise-induced hearing loss. Arch. of otolaryngol, 100, 36-40.
- James, C.S., John, M.H., James, D.M. (1977). Threshold shift in the chinchilla from daily exposure to noise for six hours. JASA, 61(2), 588-570.
- Jerry, L.Y., Paul, J.A. (1982). Age effects in susceptibility to noise-induced hearing loss. JASA, 72(5), 1450-1455.
- John,F.B. (1967). Frequency distribution following exposure to noise. JASA, 41(2), 448-457.
- John, M.H., David, J.L. (1971). Temporary threshold shifts produced by pure tones and by noise in the absence of an acoustic reflex. JASA, 50(6), 1556-1558.



- John, M.H., David, J.O., Burdick, C.K., Patterson, J.H., Mozo, B. (1983). Temporary threshold shifts produced by exposure to low frequency noises. JASA, 73(3), 918-923.
- John, M.H., Roy, W.G., Charles, S.W., James, D.M. (1970). Temporary changes of the auditory system due to exposure to noise for one or two days. JASA, 48(2), 524-530.
- John, M.H., Warren, Y.A., Robert, M.G. (1981). Temporary threshold shift produced by wide band noise. JASA, 70(2), 390-396.
- John, L.F., Michel, L. (1967). The effect of pulse duration on TTS produced by impulse noise. JAR, 7, 163-167.
- John, W.C., Khader, J.A., Geary, A.M., Donnell, J.C. (1988). Effects of aging on normal hearing loss and noise induced threshold shift in Albino and Pigmented Guinea pigs. Acta otolaryngol. (Stockh.), 106, 64-70.
- John, L.F., Adrian, B.C. (1967). Recovery from impulse-noise-induced acoustic trauma. JAR, 7, 35-39.
- Johnson, A.C., Juntunen, L., Nylén, P., Borg, E., Hoglund, G. (1988). Effect of interaction between noise and toluene on auditory function in rat. Acta otolaryngol. (Stockh.), 105, 56-63.
- Sequence of exposure to noise and toluene can determine

loss of auditory sensitivity in rat. Acta otolaryngol.  
(Stockh.), 109, 34-40.

Joseph, A., Miriam, F., Vladimir, F., Idit, R., Gil, H. , Ian,  
B. (1995). Noise induced otoacoustic emission loss with  
or without hearing loss. Ear and Hearing, 16(6), 612-  
618.

Julia, D.R., Larry, H.R., Mead, C.K. (1991). Sound exposures  
and hearing thresholds of symphony orchestra musicians.  
JASA, 89(6), 2793-2803.

Karlovich, R.S., Osier, H.A., Gutnick, H.N., Ivey, R.G., Wolf,  
K. (1977). The acoustic reflex and Temporary threshold  
shift: Temporal characteristics. JSHR, 20, 565-573.

Killion, M.C., De Vilbirs, E., Stewart, J.(1988). An earplug  
with uniform 15-dB attenuation. In Julia, D.R., Larry,  
H.R., Mead, C. K. (1991). Sound exposures and hearing  
thresholds of symphony orchestra musicians. JASA, 89(6)  
2793-2803.

Knight, J. J. , Coles, R.R.A. (1966). A six year prospective  
study of the effect of jet-aircraft noise on hearing. In  
Dixon, W.W., Marion, E.G., Edward, M.B. (1976). TTS  
from neighborhood aircraft noise. JASA, 60(1), 182-185.

Kopra, L.L. (1957). Hearing Loss among air force flight-line  
personnel. In Dixon, W.W., Marion, E.C., Edward, M.B.

(1976). TTS from neighborhood aircraft noise. JASA, 60(1), 182-185.

Kryter, K.D. (1970). The effects of noise on Man. Academic press. New York.

Kryter, K.D., Garinter, G.R. (1965). Auditory effects of acoustic impulses from firearms. In Pekkarinen, J., Iki, M., Starck, J., Pyykko, I. (1993). Hearing loss risk exposure to shooting impulses in workers exposed to occupational noise. BJA, 27, 175-182.

Kryter, K.D. (1966). Hazardous exposure to intermittent and steady state noise. In Sallustio, V., Portalatini, P., Soleo, L., Cassano, F., Pesola, G., Lasorsa, G., Quaranta, N., Saloma, I. (1998). Auditory dysfunction in occupational noise exposed workers. Scand. Audiol. (Suppl 48), 27, 95-110.

Kryter, K.D., Ward, W.D., Miller, J.D., Eldredge, D. (1966). Hazardous exposure to intermittent and steady-state noise. In Donald, H., Roger, P.H., Ronald, W.S. (1974). Audiometric and histological correlates of exposure- to 1-msec noise impulses in the chinchilla. JASA, 56(4), 1210-1221.

Kryter, K.D. (1991). Hearing loss from gun and railroad noise-Relations with ISO standard 1999. JASA, 90(6), 3180-3195.

- Lei, S.F. William, A.A., Roger, P.H. (1994). The application of frequency and time domain kurtosis to the assessment of hazardous noise exposures. JASA, 96(3), 1435-1444.
- Levine, S., Hofstetter, P., Zheng, X.Y., Henderson, D. (1998), Durations and peak level as co-factors in hearing loss from exposure to impact noise. Scand. Audiol. (Suppl. 48), 27, 27-38.
- Lillemor, R.M.H., Jansson, G. (1996). Women with noise-induced hearing loss; An invisible group. BJA, 30, 340-345.
- Lindgren, P., Axelsson, A. (1983). Temporary threshold shift after exposure to noise and music of equal energy. In Swanson, S.J., Dengerink, H.A., Kondrick, P., Miller, C.L. (1987). The influence of subjective factors on temporary threshold shifts after exposure to music and noise of equal energy. Ear and Hearing, 8(5), 288-291.
- Lonsbury-Martin., Whitehead, M.L., Martin, G.K. (1991). Clinical applications of otoacoustic emissions. In Malini, S., Lynn, W.H., Vlasta, .S., Donald, H., Nicholas, L.P. (1995). Effect of high-frequency interrupted noise exposures on evoked-potential thresholds, Distortion-product otoacoustic emissions, and outer haircell loss. Ear and Hearing, 16(4), 372-381.

- Lonsbury-Martin, B.L., Martin, G.K. (1990). The clinical utility of distortion-product otoacoustic emission. In Malini, S., Lynn, W.H., Vlasta, S., Donald, H., Nicholas, L.P. (1995). Effect of high-frequency interrupted noise exposures on evoked-potential thresholds. Distortion-product otoacoustic emissions, and outer haircell loss. Ear and Hearing, 16(4), 372-381.
- Luis, D.B., Donald, H.E., Jerry, W.T. (1972). Temporary threshold shifts in chinchilla: Electrophysiological correlates. JASA, 52(4), 1115-1123.
- Lynn, W.H., Donald, H., Julie, S., Malini, S., Samuel, S., Doug, O. (1995). Effects of noise exposure, Race, and year3 of service on hearing in U.S. Army Soldiers. Ear and Hearing, 16(4), 382-389.
- Malini, S., Lynn, W.H., Vlasta, S., Donald, H., Nicholas, L.P. (1995). Effect of high-frequency interrupted noise exposures on evoked-potential thresholds, Distortion product otoacoustic emissions and outer hair cell loss. Ear and Hearing, 16(4 ), 372-381.
- Malini, S., Donald, H., Vlasta, S. (1994). The relationship among DPOAE, Evoked potential thresholds and outer hair cell following interrupted noise exposures. Ear and Hearing, 15, 299-309.

- Manfred, N., Karl, K., Alfret, R., Freidrich, S., Peter, B. (1992). Hearing Loss from industrial noise, head injury and ear disease. Audiology, 31, 45-57.
- Martin, G.K., Probst, R., Scheinin, S.A., Coats, A.C., Lonsboury-Martin, B.L. (1987). Acoustic distortion products in rabbits. II sites of origin revealed by suppression and pure-tone exposures. In Cianfrone, G., Ingrossa, A., Altissimi, G., Ralli, G., Turchetta, R. (1998). DPOAE modifications induced by pure tone overstimulation in guinea pigs. Scand. Audiol.(Suppl. 48), 27, 37-43.
- Maurice, H.M., Newton, J.C. (1989). Cerebello pontine angle epidermoid in a noise exposed patient. Ear and hearing, 10(4), 262-265.
- Martin, F.N. (1994). Introduction to Audiology. Prentice Hall, Englewood cliffs, N.J.
- McPherson, D.F., Anderson, C.V. (1971). Relation of temporary loudness shift to temporary threshold shift. In Botte, M.C., Monikheim, S. (1994). New data on the short term effects of tone exposure. JASA, 95(5), 2598-2605.
- Melnick, W. (1976). Human asymptotic threshold shift. In Dafydd, S. (Ed.); Scott-Browns otolaryngology (6th ed.) Vol. 2, 2/11/1-2/11/34, Bath Press, Great Britain.

- Michel, P., Henri, J.H., James, D.B. (1993). Clinical use of BOBCAT: Testing reliability and validity of computerized pure-tone audiometry with noise-exposed workers, children and aged. Audiology, 32, 55-67.
- Miller, J.M., Dolan, D.F., Raphael, Y., Altschuler, R.A. (1998). Interactive effects of aging with noise induced hearing loss. Scand. Audiol. (Suppl. 48), 27, 53-61.
- Miller, M.H., Doyle, T.J., Geier, S. (1981). Acoustic neurinoma in a population of noise exposed workers. In Maurice, H.M., Newton, J.C. (1989). Cerebello pontine angle epidermoid in a noise exposed patient. Ear and Hearing, 10(4), 262-265.
- Mills, J.H., Gilbert, R.M., Adkins, W.Y. (1979). Temporary threshold shifts in humans exposed to octave bands of noise for 16 to 24 hours. In John, H.M., David, J.O., Burdick, C.K., Patterson, J.H., Mozo, B. (1983). Temporary threshold shift produced by exposure to low frequency noises. JASA, 73(3), 918-923.
- Mills, J.H. (1982). Effect of noise on auditory sensitivity, psychophysical tuning curves and suppression. In Dafydd, S. (Ed.); Scott-Browns otolaryngology (6th ed.), Vol. 2, 2/11/1-2/11/34, Bath Press, Great Britain.
- Montgomery, J.K. Fujikawa, S. (1992). Hearing thresholds of students in second, eighth and twelfth grades. In Annette, R., Peppard., Sean, B.P. (1992). Noise-induced

**hearing loss: A study of children at risk.** Hearing Journal, 45(3), 33-35.

Morata, T.C., Engel, T., Durao, A. Cost, T.R.S., Krieg, E.F., Dunn, D.E., Lozano, M.A. (1997). Hearing loss from combined exposures among petroleum refinery-workers. Scand. Audiol., 26(3), 141-149.

Morata, T.C. (1989). Study of effects of simultaneous exposure to noise and carbon disulphide on workers hearing. Scand. Audiol., 18, 53-58.

Newby, H.A., Popelka, G.R. (1992). Audiology (6th ed.), Prentice Hall, Englewood Cliffs, NJ.

Nellis, R.A., Wiley, T.L. (1975). Recovery aspects of the acoustic reflex. Paper presented at the annual meeting of the American speech and hearing association, Washington, D.C. In Karlovich, R.S., Osier, H.A., Gutnick, H.N., Ivey, R.G., Wolf, K. (1977). The acoustic reflex and temporary threshold shift: Temporal characteristics. JSHR, 20, 565-573.

Nixon, J.C., Glorig, A. (1961). Noise induced permanent threshold shift at 2000 cps and 4000 cps. In Erik, B. (1987). Loss of hair cells and threshold sensitivity during prolonged noise exposure in normotensive albino rats. Hearing Research, 30, 119-126.



- Passchier-Vermeer, W. (1973). Noise induced hearing loss from exposure to intermittent and varying noise. In Sallustio, V., Portalatini, P., Soleo, L., Cassano, F., Pesola, G., Lasorsa, G., Quaranta, N., Saloma, I. (1998). Auditory dysfunction in occupational noise exposed workers. Scand. Audiol. (Suppl 48), 27, 95-110.
- Patterson, J.H., Burdick, C.K., Mozo, B.T., Camp, R.T. (1977) Temporary threshold shifts in man resulting from 4hr exposures to octave bands of noise centered at 63 and 1000Hz. In John, H.M., David, J.O., Burdick, C.K., Patterson, J.H., Mozo, B. (1983). Temporary threshold shift produced by exposure to low frequency noises, JASA, 73(3), 918-923.
- Pauli, R., Hannu, A., Juhani, H., Martti, S. (1980). Hearing loss and impulse noise during military service. Scand. Audiol. (Suppl.), 12, 292-298.
- Pekkarinen, J., Iki, M., Starck, J., Pyykko, I. (1993). Hearing loss risk exposure to shooting impulses in workers exposed occupational noise. BJA, 27, 175-182.
- Pickles, J.O. (1982). An introduction to the physiology of hearing. In Hood, J.D., (1987). Hearing acuity and susceptibility to NIHL. BJA, 21, 175-181.
- Pinter, I. (1975). Some aspects of noise induced hearing loss safety. In Szanto, C., Ionescu, M. (1983). Influence of age and sex on hearing threshold levels in workers

exposed to different intensity levels of occupational noise. Audiology, 22, 339-356.

Price, G.R., Wansack, S. (1989). Hazard from an intense midrange impulse. JASA, 86(6), 2185-2191.

Prosser, S., Tartari, M.C., Arslan, E. (1988). Hearing loss in sport hunters exposed to occupational noise. BJA, 22, 85-91.

Rackl, J., Newell, T.D. (1979). Effect of firetruck noise on firefighters hearing. JAR, 19, 271-275.

Raymond, H., Claude, T. (1977). Effects of temporal distribution of sound energy on temporary threshold shift produced by intermittent and varying noise exposure. JASA, 61(5), 1278-1287.

Riihikangas, P. Anttonen, H., Hassi, J., Sorri, M. (1980). Hearing loss and impulse noise during military service. In Pekkarinen, J., Iki, M., Starck, J., Pyykko, I. (1993). Hearing loss risk exposure to shooting impulses in workers exposed to occupational noise. BJA, 27, 175-182.

Robert, C.F. (1976). Auditory dysfunction accompanying noise induced hearing loss. JSHD, 41, 374-380.

Robert, J.K. (1969). Sensori neural hearing loss associated with firearms. Arch, of otolaryngol. 90, 581-584.

- Robinson, D.W. (1971). Estimating the risk of hearing loss due to continuous noise. In Pekkarinen, J. , Iki M., Starck, J. , Pyykko, I. (1993). Hearing loss risk exposure to shooting impulses in workers exposed to occupational noise. *BJA*, 27, 175-182.
- Roger, P.H., William, A.A., Keng, D.H., Schean-Fang, L., Robert, I.D. (1993). Audiometric and histological differences between the effects of continuous and impulsive noise exposures. *JASA*, 93, 2088-2095.
- Roger, P.H., Donald, H., Syracuse. (1974). Impulse noise trauma-A study of histological susceptibility. *Arch, of otolaryngol.*, 99, 118-121.
- Royster, L., Thomas, W., (1979). Age effect on hearing levels for white non-industrial noise exposed population and their use in evaluating industrial hearing conservation programmes. In Szanto, C. , Ionescu, M. (1983). Influence-of age and sex on hearing threshold levels in workers exposed to different intensity levels of occupational noise. *Audiology*, 22, 339-356.
- Rudin, R., Rosenhall, U., Svardsudd, K. (1988). Hearing capacity in samples of men from the general population. In Ulf, R., Kai, P., Alvar, S. (1990). Presbycusis and noise induced hearing loss. *Ear and Hearing.*, 11(4), 257-263.

- Russell, I.J., Sellick, P.M. (1978). Intra-cellular studies of haircells in mammalian cochlea. In Hood, J.D. (1987). Hearing acuity and susceptibility to NIHL. BJA, 21, 175-181.
- Sallustio, V., Portalatini, P., Soleo, L., Cassano, F., Pesola, G., Lasorsa, G., Quaranta, N., Saloma, I. (1998). Auditory dysfunction in occupational noise exposed workers. Scand. Audiol. (Suppl. 48), 27, 95-110.
- Salmivalli, A. (1967). Acoustic trauma in regular army personnel. In Pekkarinen, J., Iki, M., Starck, J., Pyykko, I. (1993). Hearing loss risk exposure to shooting impulses in workers exposed to occupational noise. BJA, 27, 175-182.
- Salvi, R.J., Chen, L., Trautwein, P., Powers, N., Shero, M. (1998). Hair cell regeneration and recovery of function in the Avian auditory system. Scand. Audiol. (Suppl. 48). 27, 7-14.
- Sandra, L.M., Donald, H., Antonio, Q. (1997). Remote masking in normal hearing and noise exposed chinchillas. Audiology and neuro-otology, 2, 128-138.
- Sataloff, J., Michael, P. (1973). Hearing Conservation. Thomas Books, Springfield.
- Schnieder, E.G. (1974). A contribution of the physiology of the perilymph. III. on the origin of noise induced

- hearing loss. In Hood, J.D. (1987). Hearing acuity and susceptibility to NIHL. BJA, 21, 175-181.
- Selters, W. (1964). Adaptation and fatigue. In Botte, M.C., Monikheim, S. (1994). New data on the short term effects of tone exposure. JASA, 95(5), 2598-2605.
- Shalini, A., Richard, J.S., Samuel, S., Saunders., Don, H., (1987). Evoke response "forward masking" pattern in chinchillas with temporary hearing loss. Hearing Research, 27, 193-205.
- Shalini, A., Richard, J.S., Samuel, S.S., Michael, A.G. (1989). Evoked-Response Forward-Masking functions in chinchillas with noise induced permanent hearing loss. Audiology, 28, 92-110.
- Shannon, S.C., Jiri, P., Stephen, H.P., Travis, A. (1989). Combined effects of Aspirin and noise in causing permanent hearing loss. Arch, of otolaryngol., 115, 1070-1075.
- Sinex, D.G., Clark, W.W., Bohne, B.A. (1987). Effects of periodic rest on physiological measures of auditory sensitivity following exposure to noise. JASA, 82(4), 1265-1273.
- Sirkka, H., Jukka, V. (1980). Hearing threshold measurements of workers exposed to impulse noise. Scand. Audiol. (Suppl.), 12, 273-281.

- Sohmer, H., Pratt, H. (1975). Electrocochleography during noise induced temporary threshold shifts.. Audiology, 14, 130-134.
- Spillmann, T., Prestele, C., Custer, P. (1990). Das Schadenrisiko des ohres in Rahmen der militarischen grundausbildung in der schweiz. In Hotz, M.A., Probst, R., Harris, F.P., Hauser, R. (1993). Monitoring the effects of noise exposure using transiently evoked otoacoustic emissions. Acta otolaryngol. (Stockh), 113, 478-482.
- Stephen, A.F., Erickson, D.A., Frey, R.H., Rappaport, B.Z., Schechter, M.A. (1981). The effects of noise upon human hearing sensitivity from 8000 to 20000 Hz. JASA, 69(5), 1343-1349.
- Subramanian, Henselman, L.W., Henderson, D. (1994). Changes in DPOAE and evoked potential thresholds following low and high frequency noise exposure. In Flint, A.B., Richard, A.S. (1995). Distortion product otoacoustic emission in Mongolian Gerbils with resistance to NIHL. JASA, 98(6), 3215-3222.
- Subramanian, Salvi, R.J., Henderson, D., Power, N.L. (1993). Changes in Distortion product otoacoustic emissions and outer hair cells following interrupted noise exposures. In Flint, A.B., Richard, A.S. (1995). Distortion

product otoacoustic emission in Mongolian Gerbils with resistance to NIHL. JASA, 98(6), 3215-3222.

Swanson, S.J., Dengernik, H.A., Kondrick, P., Miller, C.L. (1987). The influence of subjective factors on temporary threshold shifts after exposure to music and noise of equal energy. Ear and Hearing, 8(5), 288-291.

Syka, J., Popelar, J. (1980). Hearing threshold shifts from prolonged exposure to noise in Guinea pigs. Hearing Research, 3, 205-213.

Szanto, C. , Ionescu, M. (1983). Influence of age and sex on hearing threshold levels in workers exposed to different intensity levels of occupational noise. Audiology, 22, 339-356.

Tapio, P. (1991). Left-Right asymmetry in the human response to experimental noise exposure. Acta otolaryngol. (Stockh), 111, 677-683.

Tapio, P. (1991). Left-Right asymmetry in the human response to experimental noise exposure. Acta otolaryngol. (Stockh)., 111, 861-866.

Temkin, J. (1933). The effects of noise and vibration on the ear. In Hamernik, P.R., Henderson, D., Coling, D., Salvi, R. (1981). Influence of vibration on asymptotic threshold shift produced by impulse noise. Audiology, 20, 259-269.

- Tetsuo, M. , Michael, A.S., Dixon, W.W., Micheal, M.P., Jeffrey, J. (1985). Hyperlipidemia and noise in the chinchilla. Acta otolaryngol (Stockh), 99, 516-524.
- Thiery, L., Meyer-Bisch, C. (1988). Hearing loss due to partly impulsive industrial noise exposure at levels between 87 and 90 dB(A). JASA, 84, 651-659.
- Tota, G., Bocci, G. (1967). The importance of the color of the iris on the evaluation of resistance to auditory fatigue. In Lynn, W.H., Donald, H. , Julie, S., Malini, S., Samuel, S., Doug, O. (1995). Effects of noise exposure, race, and years of service on hearing in U.S. Army Soldiers. Ear and Hearing, 16(4), 382-389.
- Ulf, R., Kai, P., Alvar, S. (1990). Presbycusis and noise induced hearing loss. Ear and Hearing, 11(4), 257-261.
- Ulrich, R.F., Marilyn, L.P. (1974). Temporary hearing losses in teenagers attending repeated rock-and-roll sessions. Acta otolaryngol., 77, 51-55.
- Ward, W.D. (1957). Hearing of naval aircraft maintenance personnel. In Dixon, W.W., Marion, E.C., Edward, M.B. (1976). TTS from neighborhood aircraft noise. JASA, 60(1), 182-185.
- Ward, W.D., Duvall, A.J. (1971). Behavioural and ultrastructural correlates of acoustic trauma. In Ivan, M.H-D., Donald, N.E. (1973). Effect of intense auditory



stimulation: Hearing losses and inner ear changes in the squirrel monkey. II. JASA, 54(5), 1179-1183.

Ward, W.D. (1979). General auditory effects of noise. In Sallustio, V., Portalatini, P., Soleo, L., Cassano, F., Pesola, G., Lasorsa, G., Quaranta, N., Saloma, I. (1998). Auditory dysfunction in occupational noise exposed workers. Scand.Audiol.(Suppl 48), 27, 95-110.

William, F.R., Robert, F.L., Ellen, K.S. (1971). Temporary threshold shift and recovery patterns from two types of Rock-and-Roll music presentation. JASA, 51(4), 1249-1255.

William, W.C., Clark, S.C., David, B.M., William, C.S. (1974). Noise-induced hearing loss in the chinchilla, as determined by a positive reinforcement technique. JASA, 56(4), 1202-1209.

Yates, T.J., Ramsey, D.J., Holland, W.J. (1976). Damage risk: An evaluation of the effects of exposure to 85 versus 90dBA of noise. JSHR, 19, 216-224.

Ylikoski, J. (1987). Audiometric configuration in acute acoustic trauma caused by firearms. Scand. Audiol., 16, 115-120.

Yokoyama, T., Osaka, S., Yamamoto, K. (1974). Temporary threshold shifts produced by exposure to vibration,

noise and vibration plus noise. Acta otolaryngol., 78, 207-212.

Yoshie, N. (1968). Auditory nerve action potential responses to clicks in man. In Hood, J.D. (1987). Hearing acuity and susceptibility to NIHL. BJA, 21, 175-181.

Zakrisson, J.E. Borg, E., Liden, G., Nilsson, R. (1980). Stapedius reflex in industrial impact noise: Fatiguability and role for temporary threshold shift. In Borg, E., Nilsson, R., Engstrom, B. (1983). Effect of the acoustic reflex on inner ear damage induced by industrial noise. Acta otolaryngol, 96, 361-369.

Zurek, P.M., Clark, W.W. (1981). Narrow-band acoustic signals emitted by chinchilla ears after noise exposure. JASA, 70(2), 446-450.

Zurek, P.M., Clark, W.W., Kim, D.O. (1982). The behaviour of acoustic distortion products in the ear canals of chinchillas with normal or damaged ears. In Malini, S., Lynn, W.H., Vlasta, S., Donald, H., Nicholas, L.P. (1995). Effect of high-frequency interrupted noise exposures on evoked-potential thresholds, Distortion-Product otoacoustic emissions, and outer haircell loss. Ear and Hearing, 16(4), 372-381.

# *APPENDIX*

**APPENDIX A: Acronyms used in the project**

Acoustic reflex threshold (ART): The lowest intensity at which a stimulus can elicit the acoustic reflex.

Air Conduction (AC) threshold:

The measurement made with the air-conduction earphones of an audiometer that checks the hearing sensitivity of the entire auditory system through AC mode of hearing.

Asymptotic threshold shift (ATS):

Auditory thresholds increase upto a point following noise exposure, where further exposure to noise brings about no corresponding increase in threshold.

Auditory brainstem response (ABR):

The seven wavelets recorded by means of the electrodes that appear within 10 milliseconds after signal presentation.

Auditory evoked potentials (AEP):

The use of summing or averaging computers with EEG to measure the very small electrical responses to sound observed from the cochlea, brainstem and cortex.

Bone Conduction (BC) threshold:

The measurement made with the bone conduction vibrator of an audiometer that theoretically checks the hearing

sensitivity of the inner ear and auditory structures medial to the inner ear through bone conduction mode of hearing.

**Broad Band noise (BBN):**

A sound in which energy is present over a wide range of frequencies. The energy per cycle is equal and it is used for masking in speech audiometry.

**Damage Risk Criteria (DRC):**

Specifies the maximum level of noise to which an individual may be exposed for a given duration and length of time without the risk of acquiring hearing loss.

**Distortion product otoacoustic emissions (DPOAE):**

These are emitted by the inner ear in response to two simultaneously presented pure tones.

**Ear protective devices (EPD's):**

Devices worn by the individual that reduce the level of sound reaching the ears to protect them from damage.

**Effective Masking (EM):**

The minimum amount of noise required just to mask out a signal (under the same earphone) at a given hearing level (HL).

Example : 40dB-EM will just mask out a 40dB-HL signal.

**Evoked potential (EP):**

Electrical potential generated by brain wave activity in response to a stimulus - sound, for example.

**Hearing threshold level (HTL):**

The hearing level required to reach the threshold of an individual ear is the hearing threshold level for that ear.

**Inner Hair Cells (IHC):**

Sensory cells for hearing, arranged in a single row on the modiolar side of tunnel of corti in the inner ear.

**Just noticeable difference (Jnd):**

The smallest change in frequency or intensity which can be recognized.

**(Lepd) Equivalent exposure level perday:**

Equivalent exposure level per day values, calculated from the several noise conditions encountered during a workday.

**Loudness equivalent quotient (Leq):**

It is the level of a continuous A-weighted noise that would cause the same sound energy to be experienced in a given day as that resulting from the actual noise exposure.

**Loudness discomfort level (LDL):**

Sound pressure level at which speech becomes uncomfortably loud.

**Low Pass Filter (LPF):**

A low pass filter is a wave filter having a single transmission band extending upto some critical or cut-off frequency, not infinite.

**Noise immission level (NIL):**

NIL is the measure of total noise exposure that uniquely determines the NIPTS.

**Noise induced hearing loss (NIHL):**

It refers to slowly progressive inner ear hearing loss that results from exposure to continuous noise over a long period of time.

**Noise induced permanent threshold shift (NIPTS):**

The hearing loss produced by the effects of noise as a result of accumulation of noise exposure which are repeated on a daily basis over a period of many years.

**Noise induced temporary threshold shift (NITTS):**

Temporary sensorineural hearing loss, associated with exposure to intense noise.

**Outer hair cells (OHC):**

Sensory cells for hearing, arranged in three parallel rows on the strial side of the tunnel of Corti in the inner ear.

**Permanent threshold shift (PTS):**

It refers to the hearing loss following noise exposure from which recovery is not possible.

**Pure tone audiometry (PTA):**

A procedure for determining the thresholds of hearing, where, the pure tones at various frequencies are presented through earphones, bone vibrator and loud speakers.

**Remote masking (RM):**

Refers to the fact that a high frequency band of noise, provided, it is sufficiently intense, will elevate the audibility threshold for puretone of low frequency.

**Speech recognition threshold (SRT):**

The threshold of intelligibility of speech; the lowest hearing level at which 50 percent of the spondees presented are identified correctly.



**Temporal integration (TI):**

A time-intensity trading relationship in which intensity of a tone must be increased to obtain threshold when its duration falls below a critical minimum.

**Temporary threshold shift (TTS):**

It is a temporary elevation in the threshold of hearing following exposure to noise. The hearing functions then recover gradually.

**Temporary Loudness shift (TLS):**

Auditory fatigue measured by the reduction of loudness.  
 TTS<sub>2</sub>: TTS measured after 2 minutes of quiet period (after noise exposure).

**Threshold shift (TS):**

A threshold shift is an increase in the threshold of audibility for an ear at a specified frequency expressed in decibels.

**Threshold Octave Masking (TOM):**

A test to determine the susceptibility of the subject to NIHL.

Eg: Introduce a 2kHz tone at threshold level. Then introduce to the same ear a 1kHz tone and find the intensity required to mask the 2kHz tone. This intensity in the TOM

value for 2kHz. The 1kHz tone will be able to mask the 2kHz by producing harmonics.

**Time weighted average (TWA):**

An 8 hours time-weighted average sound level is the sound level that would produce a given noise dose if the individual were to be exposed to that sound level continuously over an 8 hour work day.

**Transiently evoked otoacoustic emissions (TEOAE):**

Those sounds, originating in the cochlea, which occur as a form of "echo" produced by a sound introduced to the ear. Also known as click evoked otoacoustic emissions (CEOAE).

**Two tone rate suppression (TTRS):**

The presence of one stimulus can affect the responsiveness of nerve fibers to other stimuli, and if the relative frequencies and intensities of two tones are arranged correctly, the second tone can inhibit, or suppress, the response to the first.