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## INTRODUCTION

Man is bestowed with five senses - vision, audition, smell, touch and taste. All these senses enable us to under stand and tackle with our environment. Audition is known to be a distant sense and plays a very important role in man's life. It is a wonderful sense that gives us an entry into the world of communication - cmmunication without hearing is also possible, but most of the communication is carried-out using this sense. Hearing makes us aware of the unlimited capacity to communicate via. speech. Loss of hearing - partial or total can prove to be a very big obstacle in. the present competitive world. $A$ silent existence in this melodious world can prove to be very despairing.

Both adults and children can have hearing loss. Hearing loss in children is more handicapping than in adults because it disrupts language acquisition. Even a wild loss during these years ie. upto nine years of age have been known to disrupt language acquisition. If onset occurs later in life the effects seen are less devastating.

Incidence and prevalence of hearing loss in children end geriatrics has been extensively studied. Where as that during middle ages is not so.

In adults ie. in the middle ages hearing loss can be caused due to number of reasons ranging from infection to trama. certain well known causes include ototoxicity, otosclerosis, secondary infection following illness noise exposure etc..

Hearing loss among adult female in India generally goes undetected because of number of reasons whicn include:

1) Number of females who depend a lot on hearing to earn their livelihood are very few ie. number of females employed are few, but are now in an increasing trend, ii) Preferential treatment is given to Males because they are the bread winners. 80 the problems they encounter are handled first,
iii) Illiteracy and lack of awareness among females is more.

Evenif the hearing toss is detected the number of eases who report for treatment are few and ones who follow$u p$ the recommondations are fewer still.

The present study alas at finding factors related to Hie cause and follow-up.

Justification for the study:

If the factors affecting cause and follow-up in females is know, then these factors can be sufficiantly manipulated so as to enable better mangement of the cause.

## REVIEW OF LITERATURE

Surveys of speech and hearing problems in India show wide discrepancies. Palmer (1962) estimated that $6 \%$ of general population ahs communication disorders. Vishwanath et al. (1971) examined 410 children between the ages 5 and 16 and reported that $1.3 \%$ of girls had speech problem and $18.49 \%$ had hearing problem, Manohar and Jayaram (1973) tested children between ages of 3-16 years. Out of a total of 1454 children tested 747 were females and they found that incidence of speech problem in famale is $15.79 \%$. Aithal (1989 )examined a total of 6211 individuals during the various camps carriad-out in Karnataka. He reported that 2443 females had speech and hearing problems. He further carriad-out an age-wise classification and found that of the total 256 females above the age of 17 years, 63 had speech problems and 193 had hearing problems. A further classification of cases with hearing loss revealed that 122 had aensorineural loss, 38 had mixed loss and 33 had conductive loss.

## -5-

METHODOLOGY

The methodology used for the study is along the following lines:

1. Data: The data collected was from female subjects between the ages of .25 and 40 years who reported to Ail India Institute of Speech and Hearing during the odd months of 1990 and even months of 1991 with speech and hearing problems. They were divided into three groups based on the ages $25-30$ years; $30-35$ years; $35-4 \mathrm{O}$ years.
2. Data collected : The information was collected under following headings:

Case No.
Date of reporting
Referral from
Ago
Place
Education
Occupation

Religion

Mother tongue
Informant
Family history
consanguinity
Prior investigation
Complaint or history
Audiological,evaluation and ENT evaluation
Speech evaluation
Follow-up.

## -6- <br> Results and Disscussion

The data collected are hand scored and converted into parcentages and results are presented in tables and discussed.

Table-l: Showing distribution of subjects according to theircomplaint.

|  | parcentages of ages |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $25-30$ yrs. | $30-35$ yrs. $35-4 \mathrm{O}$ yrs. |  |
| Speech | 16.98 | 22.45 | 13.70 |
| Hearing | 75.47 | 65.31 | 71.23 |
| Speech \& Hearing | 1.89 | 10.20 | 5.48 |
| Miscellaneous | 5.66 | 2.04 | 9.59 |

Frow Table-1, it can be seen that majority of the subjects come with a complaint of hearing problems followed by speeh problems. The percetaage of subjects who came with speech and hearing problems was minimum..


Distribution of Cases 30 to 35 years


## Distribution of Cases 35 to 40 years



Table-2: Showing distribution of hearing loss.

| Hearing loss | Percentage of cases |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: |
|  | $25-30 \mathrm{yrs}$. |  |
| $\mathrm{OO}-35 \mathrm{yrs} .35-4 \mathrm{O}$ yrs. |  |  |


| Unilateral |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Only right | 2.5 | 9.38 | 9.62 |
| Only left | 7.5 | 9.38 | 11.53 |
| Bilateral | 55 | 56.25 | 44.23 |
| Asysmetrical | 22.5 | 18.75 | 25 |
| Normal | 2.5 | 6.24 | 9.62 |
| (With complaint of hearing loss) |  |  |  |

This table shows that a majority of the subjects had a bilateral symmtetrical hearing loss. This could be because of compensation by the normal ear in cases with unilateral loss, so the problem does not receive much attention.

Among those subjects who had a unilateral loss, in a Majority of them the deficit was found to be in the left ear.

## Distribution of Hearing Loss 25 to 30 years



## Distribution of Hearing Loss 30 to 35 years



Distribution of Hearing Loss 36 to 40 years


Table3: Showing distributation of type of hearing loss.
Percentage of cases.
Type of loss $\quad 25-30$ yrs. $30-35$ yrs. 35-0 yrs.

Conductive
43.59
40.63
26.92

Sensori-neural
17.95
18.75
25.01

Mixed
25.64
31.24
32.69

Not specified
12.82
9.36
15. 38

This table shows that the majority of subjects in the first two groups ie. 25-30 years and 30-35 years had a conductive loss. In the last age group a majority of the cases had a mixed loss. This could be because the presbycusic component comes into play in this age group. The subjects who had a sensori-neural loss were the least in all the three age groups.

# Distribution of Type of Hg . 1088 25 to 30 yeare 
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Table 4: Showing Associated History.

| Associated history | $25-30 \mathrm{yrs}$ | $3 \mathrm{O}-35 \mathrm{yrs}$ | $35-4 \mathrm{O}$ yrs. |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Tinnitus | 55 | 65.62 | 67.3 O |
| vertigo | 25 | 34.37 | 36.54 |
| Pain | 20 | 34.37 | 30.77 |
| Headache | 22.5 | 15.62 | 3.85 |
| Post-partum | 12.5 | 12.5 | 1.92 |
| Discrimination problem | 10 | 15.62 | 3.85 |
| Ear discharge | 15 | 25 | 15.38 |
| Blockage | 7.5 | 3.12 | 15.38 |
| Trauma | 5 | 9.37 | 1.92 |
| Nausea | 5 | 3.12 | 9.61 |
| Medication | 0 | 3.12 | 0 |
| Congenital | 0 | 34.37 | 3.85 |
| Illness | 0 | 6.24 | 7.69 |

From this table it can be seen that the most frequent associated history is all the age groups was that of tinnitus followed by vertigo.

In the 25-30 year age group, this is followed by headache, pain (in the ear), ear discharge, post-partum.

## LIST OF ABBRIVIATION

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{T} & =\text { Tinnitus } \\
\mathbf{V} & =\text { Vertigo } \\
\mathbf{P} & =\text { Pain } \\
\mathbf{H A} & =\text { Headache } \\
\mathbf{P}_{\text {pm }} & =\text { Post-partum } \\
\text { DP } & =\text { Discrimination problem } \\
\text { Ed } & =\text { Ear discharge } \\
\text { B } & =\text { Blockage } \\
\mathrm{Tr} & =\text { Trauma } \\
\mathrm{N} & =\text { Nausea } \\
\mathrm{M} & =\text { Following medication } \\
\mathbf{C} & =\text { Congenital } \\
\mathrm{Il} & =\text { Following Illness }
\end{aligned}
$$




onset, discrimination problem, blockage in the ear, trams to the ear and nausea. History of congenital onset and onset following medication and illness was nil in this age group.

In the 30-35 years age group history of tinnitus and that of vertigo is followed by that of congenital onset, ear discharge, headache, discrimination problem, postpartum onset, trauma, blockage, nausea and onset following medication in a decreasing order.

In the $35-40$ year age group history of tinnitus and vertigo is followed by that of pain, ear discharge, blockage, nausea, onset following illness, discrimination problem, headache, congenital onset, post-partum onset and trauma in a decreasing order. History of onset following medication la nil.

Table 5:Showing distribution of the hearing aids prescribed
---------------------------------------------------------------------------
Percentage of cases
$25-30$ yrs. $30-35$ yrs. $35-40$ yrs.

[^0]H.A. Prescribed




Distribution of Speech Problems


This table shows that maximum hearing aids were prescribed to the subjects in the $30-35$ year e;roup.

Table-6: Showing distribution of follow-up.
Percentage of cases
$25-30$ yrs. $30-35$ yrs $35-40 \mathrm{yrs}$.

| Follow-up | 10 | 21.87 | 26.92 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

This shows that with increasing age the number of subjects who came for a follow-up also increases. A majority of the subjects who cone for the follow-up had ear discharge. Very few of them cane with other complaints such as increased hearing loss, tinnitus etc.No one came with problems related to the working of the aid.

Table-7: Showing distribution of speech problems according to complaint.

|  | Percentage of cases |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $25-30$ | yrs. | $30-35$ |
| yrs. | $35-4 \mathrm{O}$ | yrs. |  |
| Voice change | 33.33 | 72.72 | 90 |
| Pain | 11.11 | 18.18 | 40 |
| Aphenia | 22.22 | 18.18 | 10 |
| Week voice | 22.22 | 9.09 | 10 |
| Vocal fatigue | 0.0 | 27.27 | 10 |
| Misarticulation | 11.11 | 9.09 | 0 |
| Stuttering | 11.11 | 0 | 0 |
| Following medication | 0.0 | 27.27 | 10 |
| Odynophagia | 0 | 0 | 0 |

From this table it can be seen that a majority of the subjects in all the three age groups came with complaint of voice change.

In the first age group ie. 25-30 years, it was followed by aphonia, weak voice, pain, misarticulation and stuttering complaint of vocal fatigue, edynophagia and onset following Medication was all.

In the second age groop 30-35 years complaint of voice change is followed by vocal fatigue, onset following medication, pain (in throat), aphonia, weak voice and misartlculation. Complaint of stuttering and odynophagia were nil.

In the third age group 35-40 year complaint of voice change is followed by that of pain, aphonia, weak voice, vocal fatigue, onset following medication and odynophagia, conplaint of misarticulation or stuttering was nil.
Table-8: Showing distribution of speech problems based on diagnosis.

|  | Percentage |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | of- | cases |  |
|  | $25-30$ | yrs. | $30-35$ |
| yrs. | $35-4 \mathrm{O}$ | yrs. |  |
| Mass on vocal cords | 33.33 | 54.54 | 30 |
| Laryngitis | 22.22 | 9.09 | 40 |
| Vocal cord palsy | 22.22 | 18.18 | 10 |
| Cleft Palate | 11.11 | 9.09 | o |

## Distribution of Speech Problems

 Based on Compleint

Distribution of Speech Problems Based on Compleint


## Distribution of Speech Problems

 Follow up

It can be seen that a majority of cases in the first two age group. is 25-30 years and 30-35 years had a mass on vocal cords. This can be attributed to increased vocal abuse in the younger ages. This is followed by laryngitis, vocal cord palsy and cleft palate in the youngest ago group and vocal palsy, laryngitis and cleft palate in the second.In the third group ie. 35-4O years the most presenting finding was that of laryngitis followed by mass on vocal cord and vocal palsy. None of tho subjects in this group had cleft palate.

Table-9: Showing distribution according to follow-up.

|  | Percentage of cases |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $25-30$ yrs. | $3 \mathrm{O}-35 \mathrm{yrs}$. | $35-4 \mathrm{O}$ yrs. |
| Follow-up | $22 \mathrm{-} ., 22$ | 18.18 | 30 |

Follow-up has generally been bettor among subjects with speech problems than those with hearing problems except in the second age group where the reverse is true.

Table -10: showing distribution according to occupation.
Percentage of cases $25-30$ yrs. $30-35$ yrs. $35-40$ yrs.

| Housewives | 26.42 | 46.94 | 56.16 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | :--- |
| working | 9.43 | 24.49 | 23.29 |
| Not specified | 64.15 | 28.17 | 20.55 |

From this table, it can be seen that a majority of the subjects were housewives who sought professional help. Table--11 Showing distribution according to education.

|  | Percentage of cases |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $25-30$ | yrs. | $30-35$ | yrs | $35-40$ |
| Educated' | 58.49 | 46.94 | 56.16 |  |  |
| Not educated | 41. | 5 I | 53.04 | 43.84 |  |

This shows that majority of the subjects in the first and last group had, had some kind of education, whereas the reverse was true in the middle age group.

## Distribution ;Occupation

 25 to 30 years

## Distribution ;Occupation

 $\mathbf{3 0}$ to $\mathbf{3 6}$ years

Distribution; Occupation 35 to 40 yeare



## Distribution ;Education 30 to 36 yeare



## Distribution ;Education

 36 to 40 years

Table-12: Showing distribution of subjects according to informant.

|  | Percentage of cases |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $25-30$ yrs. | $30-35 \mathrm{yrs}$. | $35-40 \mathrm{yrs}$ |
| self | 79.24 | 81.63 | 82.19 |
| Relative | 7.58 | 16.33 | 6.35 |
| Parent | 7.55 | 0 | 2.74 |
| Spouse | 5.66 | 0.0 | 2.74 |
| Others | 0 | 2.04 | 5.48 |

This table shows that a majority of the subjects in all the 3 age groups a self report was given regarding the problem, this percentage also increases with age.

Table-13: Showing distribution according to referral

|  | Percentage of cases |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :--- |
|  | $25-30 \mathrm{yrs}$. | $30-35 \mathrm{yrs}$. | $35-40 \mathrm{yrs}$. |
| Referral | 69.81 | 53.06 | 36.99 |
| Notspecified | 30.19 | 46.94 | 63.01 |

Among the subjects who came with a referral a major rity had been referred to the Institute from the Government Hospital. This throws light on the poor awareness regarding the facilities available at the Institute.


Distribution ; Informant
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Table-14: Showing distribution according to place.

|  | Percentage of cases |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 25-30 yrs | $30-35$ yrs. | 35-40 yrs |
| Karnataka | 96.22 | 87.76 | 95.42 |
| Andhar Pradesh | 1.89 | 6.12 | 2.74 |
| Tamil Nadu | 1.89 | 0 | 0 |
| Kerala | 0 | 4.08 | 2.74 |
| Miscellaneous | 0 | 2.04 | 0 |

This table shows that most of the subjects hailed fron Karnataka followed by Andhra Pradesh, Kerala and Tamil Nadu. A very negligible number hailed fron the other states in India. This was true tor all the three age groups.

Table-15: Showing distribution of cases according to religion.

|  | Percentage of cases |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $25-30$ | yrs. | $3 \mathrm{O}-35 \mathrm{yrs}$. |
| $35-40 \mathrm{yrs}$. |  |  |  |
| Hindu | 88.02 | 77.55 | 76,71 |
| Muslim | 15.09 | 6.12 | 15.07 |
| Christian | 1.89 | 4.08 | 6.85 |
| Not specified | 0 | 12.25 | 1.37 |



Distribution : Place 35 to 40 yeers


Distribution ;Religion 26 to 30 years
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This table shows that a majority of the cases were Hindus followed by Muslims and Christians.

Table-16: Showing distribution according to positive family history.

|  | Percentage of cases |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $25-30$ yrs | $30-35 \mathrm{yrs}$. | $35-40 \mathrm{yrs}$ |
| Positive history | 24.53 | 14.28 | 13.70 |

This table shows that a positive family history decreases with age.

Table-17: Showing distribution showing a positive History of consangunous marriage.

|  | Percentage of cases |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $25-3 \mathrm{y}$ yrs. | $30-35$ | yrs, | $35-4 \mathrm{O}$ yrs. |
| Positive history | 5.66 | 6.12 | 5.98 |  |

## Distribution : Family History According to age



## Distribution : Consanguinity According to age



## Summary and Conclusion

The purpose of the present study was to know the factors affecting the cause of hearing lose and follow up among females between ages of 25 and 40 years.

The subjects chosen for me study were those registered and examined at the All India Institute of Speech and Hearing, during the odd months of 1990 and even months of the year 1991. The required information was draw from the case files. The data collected was presented in a tabular column.

On the basis of the results obtained the following conclusions were drawn:

1. A majority of the subjects came with a complaint of hearing loss.
2. A major proportion of the subjects with hearing loss had bilateral symmetrical loss.
3. A majority of the subjects had a conductive loss.
4. Tinnitus and vertigo were the major associated complaint in all the three groups.
5. Hearing aids were prescribed to a few subjects the maximum being to subjects in the age range of $30-35$ years.
6. The percentage of cases who came for follow-up increased with age.
7. Among the subjects who came with speech problems, voice change was the most frequent complaint and mass on the vocal cord was the most frequent associated finding..
8. Among subjects in whom occuation was specified, majority were house wives the perc entage of whom increased with age.
9. . A majority of the subjects in the first and last age groups had, had some kind of formal education.
10. Self information was provided by a Majority of the cases in all the three ago groups.
11. A Majority of cases had been referred to the Institute and were usually referred from the local Government hospital.
12. A Majority of the subjects who sought help were Hindus and hailed from Kantataka.
13. Incidence of a positive family history decreased with age.
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