INFANT TESTINGS FOR AUDIOLOGICAL PURPOSES: A REVIEW OF LITERATURE - 1985-1989

Register NO.M8915

AN INDEPENDENT PROJECT WORK SUBMITTED IN PART FULFILMENT FOR FIRST YEAR M.SC. (SPEECH AND HEARING) TO THE UNIVERSITY OF MYSORE.

ALL INDIA INSTITUTE OF SEEECH AND HEARINGS MYSORE-570 006.

MAY, 1990

MY BELOVED

AMMA AND APPA

CERTIFICATE

This is to certify that the Independent

Project entitled: INFANT TESTINGS FOR AUDIO
LOGICAL PURPOSES: A REVIEW OF LITERATURE
1985-1989 is the bonafide work, done in part
fulfilment for First Year M.sc, (Speech and
Hearing) of the student with Register No.M8915.

Mysore

May, 1990

Director
All India Institute of

speech and Hearing Mysore-6

CERTIFICATE

This is to certify that the Independent Project entitled: **INFANT TESTINGS FOR AUDIO- LOGICAL PURPOSES: A REVIEW OF LITERATURE -**1985-1989 has been prepared under my supervision and guidance,

Mysore

May 1990

DECLARATION

This Independent Project Entitled: INFANT

TESTINGS FOR AUDIOLOGICAL PURPOSES: A REVIEW OF

LITERATURE - 1985-1989 is the result of my own study
undertaken under the guidance of Dr.(Miss) S.Nikam,

Prof, and Head of the Department of Audiology,

All India Institute of Speech and Hearing, Mysore,
and has not been submitted earlier at any University
for any other Diploma or Degree.

Mysore

May 1990

Register No.M8915

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I am extremely, grateful to Dr.(Miss) S.Nikam, Prof, and Head of the Department of Audiology, All India Institute of Speech and Hearing, Mysore for her valuable guidance and inspiration throughout the project.

I thank the Director, AIISH, Mysore for allowing me to take up this project.

My thanks are due to ray friends Suresh, Sanjay, Sharma, Ramkishan, Jyothi, Lakshmi, Kiran, for their timely encouragement and criticism.

My special thanks to Shyam, Venugopal, Ravanan, for their help and concern.

Last but not least I thank Ms.Rajalakshmi (Akka) for all the effort she has taken in typing this project neatly and in time.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

CHAPTER	Page No
I. INTRODUCTION	1-4
II. PURPOSE	5
III. REVIEW OF LITERATURE	6-16
IV. ANALYSIS	17-18
V. RESULTS	19
VI, CONCLUSIONS	20-22
VII. BIBLIOGRAPHY	23-26

INTRODUCTION

An audiologieal evaluation primarily provides information which helps in identifying an auditory disorder, to assess the degree of handicap and provide a plan for the management of the same. For evaluating the auditory mechanism, there are various tests but most of than are standardized primarily on an adult population. There is a great need to develop newer techniques and testing procedures to assess the hearing system of infants.

In the past, the hearing-impaired infants could not be tested emsily by psychophysiological techniques or would have to wait many years before their auditory system could be assessed, consequently the infant would lose the critical years for speech and language development, and now in the field of infant testing newer. Evaluating techniques and testing procedures have come upon to make the clinician's job relatively easy and by making use of these techniques that are available the auditory system of the infant may be evaluated accurately and systematically in a short period of time.

Infancy is the early stages of development where the infant is still learning new things, it would therefore be difficult to assess auditory system of the infant.

The audiological evaluation of children from birth to five years of age is often difficult sometimes frustrating and it takes a competent audiologist to evaluate the infant in relation to his audition. Therefore, a few handicaps more serious in the development of a young child than impairment of hearing. A hearing loss in a child which goes undetected can impair the intellectual development and creat poor speech and language development, therefore the child with a hearing loss will have a serious communication handicap.

The identification of hearing loss in infants is not an easy task and the hearing impaired child often presents a confusing clinical picture. Delays in the identification of infants with hearing loss is not uncommon and everytime a wrong diagnosis of hearing loss is made an irretrievable loss of time for habilitation of the child* s hearing problem occurs. While evaluating children we should remember that no child is too young for hearing testing and the earlier and more accurate the identification of hearing impairment the better the prognosis for alleviating the hearing handicap.

As stated earlier an improper diagnosis in a child or any impairment in hearing in a child impedes the attainment of his best potential language function; constricts the personality development gives raise to deviant emotional, behaviours and culminates educational achievement. Even a minimal loss in the early years of life have been reported to have a profound effect upon speech and language development. This is because there exists critical periods for the development of language function and a deprivation of the auditory impact will impede the acquisition of almost all aspects of language.

The above information clearly indicates the importance of hearing in a child. Therefore, unless the hearing loss is recognised early their attainment of future success will be in jeopardy, to give every possible benefit to them an accurate diagnosis of the problem is imperative.

In the recent past a number of tests have been developed for the diagnosis of hearing loss. Initially only gross measures were employed which did not give information regarding differential diagnosis of the hearing impaired children from other disabled children. In order to choose an appropriate remedial program differential diagnosis in children is a must. Therefore to know about the tests for infants will undoubtedly help in diagnosing the hearing impairment, if any, in an infant thereby aiding in apt management.

This project has aimed mainly at reviewing the different auditory assessing techniques that are available and to analyze

which are the tests that are best suitable in either infant hearing screening or in diagnosing the infant and what types of these tests serves the purposes best and that are most widely used by audiologists and researchers.

PURPOSE OF THE PROJECT

- 1. To know the advancement of different types of testings in infant auditory assessment in the recent five years.
- To understand the different variables viz, subject variable, stimulus variables and administration variables which are used in this project.
- To know the type of testings which are most widely used in infant hearing assessment,
- 4. To understand merits and demerits of two or more tests that are used widely in infant testing.
- 5. To know about the effect of variables such as age, sex, normality, abnormality on auditory system of the infant.
- 6. To know the purpose served by majority of the testings i.e. screening or diagnostic.

Γ	25704			əsionəbiw
L	asioN	0		White noise WBM
	zqi4 ənoT			
ILE	Clicks			
VARIABLE	Eredneucy	3 y		Frequency Modulated Tones
	Intensity			
STIMULIE	s 1 9 4 1 0	Y		
SI	Respiratory Audiometry	>		
	Ecoch G			
	BSERA	>		
T	Impedence	>		
NG	Pure tone Audiometry			
TESTING	A R A	TROCA & VRA		
OF T	Crib-0-Gram			
TYPE (Behavioural Audiometry	>		>
	High risk Registers	> .		
POSE	Screening	>	>	>
PUR	Diagnostic			1.0
BLE	No. of Subjects	WN	892	81
VARIABLE PURPOSE	IsmiondA\lsmioN	Normal	N	Abnormal Preterm infants)
ECT	x a s	ИN	4108	Восћ
SUBJECT	ə <i>Б</i> у	Paediatric nottslugog	Infants 36 to 41 weeks	Meeks 26 to 36
17	Case Study			
LE	Experiment		>	
ARTICLE	Review	36 Kegis		>
	X e a F	1985	1985	1985
	S L	and E.R.	S. T. 8 S. L. 8	. E.
	Authors		er G nd S	F. 5
	¥	Liden G, Harford,	Mencher Mencher Rohland	Gerber, S.E
	No No	H	7	m

TYPE OF TESTING STIMULIE VARIABLE	Audiometry Respiratory Audiometry Audiometry Pure tone Audiometry Audiometry Audiometry Audiometry			>	bat to find based to find
PURPOSE	Diagnostic Screening High risk Registers	>	, >	>	>
SUBJECT VARIABLE	A g e X S - Normal/Abnormal	31 to 37 wks. Both Abn. (Preterm babies)	N W . SOM 6 07 7	1 уеаг Вось У	10 to 43 WKS,
ARTICLE	Kear Experiment Experiment	1988	1.985	1985	7
	SI. Authors	4 Smith A.D. Picton T.etal	5 Taylor I.G.	6 Thompson G & Folsom R.C.	7 Edwards C.G. Smith A.D. & Picton T.W.

Ī	Seion		Speech & Noise		
-	Tone pips		3 2		
	CIIcks	Clicks used			
	Fredneucy	7	Z KHZ		2 2
	Intensity	v	1H BP08'09'07		
	Others				
	Respiratory				
	Ecoch G				
	V H B S H	3 - S. 1		Probetone	>
	Імреченсе	8		Impedence done at	SES.
	Pure tone Audiometry	6			
	N H V	>			
	Скір-О-Скаш				
	Behavioural Audiometry	`	1		
1	High risk Registers	>	•		>
Love	Screening	>	. >		>
	Diagnostic			``	= 10
1	No. of subjects	zτ	***	94045 20	••
VAKIABLE	Normal/ Abnormal	५३० ६	(Both) Normals & multi handicapped compared		во€ћ
	x ə s	1.26	E 999		• • • • • •
SUBJECT	а <i>Б</i> у	leab insini and slemion ii	. som h ot S	Neonates 24-130 Hrs. old	**
b	Case Study				>
CLE	Experiment	>	>	>	3
ARTICLE	петтем				3
	л в э х	1985	1985	1985	1985
	Authors	Oller, K Eillers, R.E. etal	Flexore, C & Gans, D.P.	Sprague, B.H. etal	Riko, K Hyde, M.L. etal
	S11.	12	13	14	15

-					
	astoN			W 14	
	rone pips				Al .
	Clicks	1	> >es/0€	11.1/sec	
-	Eredneucy		150 Hz to SKHz		2H 000E 8 00ST'2H 00S
	Intensity		TAS AP SII	1745 BP 02 03 BP0E	145 BP 001
	Others		s	SMC - AR compositions of the supposition of the sup	
	Respiratory Audiometry				
	g yoog				
	BSERV	ap os ap oz	ABR estimation by means of Baye sian inference	ABR measured	
	Impedence	. *			
	Pure tone Audiometry				
-	A H V	>		2	` .
	Crib-0-Gram	· •			
ľ	Behavioural Audiometry	Done at			
	High risk Registers	>	×		
	Screening	``		`	Screened for seasonses
	Diagnostic				- E
1	No. of subjects	061		:#S	998
VARLABLE	Normal/ Abnormal	N	N	1	infants . infants
80 T	z a s	1	Вотр	प३०स	207
SUBJECT	a 6 y	stasial	sinsini	lo stab & of I	Neonates
-	Vbud2 ses				
CLE	Experiment	>	>	`	```
ARTICLE	REVIEW				
	Y e a r	1985	1985	1985	1986
	Authors	Shimizu, H Walters, R.J etal	Elberhinge Wahlgreen, O	Rotteveel, J.J. Colon, E.J. etal	Plotnick, C.H.& Leppler, J.G.
-	No.	7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7	17	18	19

T			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
	astoN				
	zdrd əuor		1		W
	Clicks				. 592/7.4
TONTHA	Fredneucy		HZ tone		256 to 512 Hz
ા	Intensity	35 dB nH1			95 db SPL to
21180715	Others				
777	Respiratory Audiometry				
	Ecoch G				
	вгвич	> '			ABR measured
	Tmpedence	ti .			
NG	Pure tone Audiometry			7	
TESTING	A R A				
OF I	Crip-0-Gram				
TYPE	Behavioural Audiometry		Done at 1-3 mos range and 4 mos 2 yrs.	>	=
4	High risk Registers		,		
OSE	Screening	>	, >	. >	>
PURPOSE	Diagnostic				24
ILE	No. of subjects	581	52	01 + 01.	
VARIABLE	Normal/ Abnormal	fi	preterm babies	Normals & multi-	Healthy newborns
0.50	x a s				
SUBJECT	а Б у	Иеопатея	to Mks.	27.25-32.72 3.111.2.75-ndA editiom	36 to 41 wks.
	Case Study			>	
TE	Experiment	>	>		, ,
ARTICLE	матлац	ř.		(A)	
	X e a r	1986	1986	1986	1986
H			93	98	7.J.
	I.S	.6	ა ფ	٠. ن	Rotteveel, J.J. Colon, E,J.etal
	Authors	, s.	, K ira, b, R	cor,	teve on,
-	₹.	Peters, J.G.	Knga, K Hushira, Marsh, R	Flexor, C Guns, D.P.	Rot
-	No.	20	21	22	23

	эгіои				NBN & Speech
-	sqiq anoT				
-	CIICKS				
	Frednency	1			eug ebeecp 200 H ^s SK H ^s
	Intensity				20,40,60,80, ABHL for 2 sec.
-	Others		1		
	Respiratory Audiometry			E I	
	Ecoch G	((((((((
	BSERA	besu seureem AAA memegenem edusse ni tultai alesid 16			
	Tmpedence				
2	Pure tone Audiometry				
12011	A R V			18	
T I	mera-0-dira		>	>	
7711	Behavioural Audiometry		\$ 1	`	`
1	High risk Registers		>	>	>
USE	Screening		. >	>	`
PURPUSE	Diagnostic	``			70
TE	No. of subjects		926	926	28
VARIABLE	Normal/ Abnormal	430B	Воть	нэов	. ndA
87 1	x ə s				Воећ
SUBJECT	э Б Ұ	stiubA & stneinl	i to 3 yrs.		.som 291 od
_	Case Study		5	>	
CLE	Experiment	>			,
ARTICLE	метием			Š.	
	х е в х	1986	1987	1987	1987
	Authors	Hall, J.W. & Tucker, D.A.	Dunn, H.H. Johnson, S. etal	Halpern, J. Dunn, H.H. & Malachowski, N	Hans, D.P.
_	SI.	24	25	56	22

T	əstoN				
-	200.0			Speech noise	
-	Tone pips				
47	Clicks				30/26
VAKIABLE	Frequency			125 Hz to 4K Hz	ZH 0001 8 005
	Intensity			TAS EP 07	18,25,35,dB
21100115	Ofhers	47			
211	Respiratory Audiometry				
	Ecoch G		Cochbear echo test was used		
1	вгеву	ABR compared with			DevelopmentalBC- ABR is measured
	lmpedence				
NG	Pure tone Audiometry				
TESTING	V U A				
OF I	Сгір-0-6гат	>			
TYPE	Behavioural Audiometry				`
-	Negisters Registers		· ·		
OSE	Screening	>	, ,	>	
PURPOSE	Diagnostic				>
IABLE	No. of subjects	1360	SS	A 9	
VARIA.	Normal/ IsmrondA	35 2	N		N
	x ə ç				
SUBJECT	ə <i>Б</i> ү	Infants	g weeks to	.som 71	I year neonates
	Case Study				
CLE	Experiment	7	`	>	>
ARTICLE	мэтлэн	`		5 5 08	
	T 6 9 Y	1987	1987	1987	1987
Ħ	17				
-	· s	i	**	Primus, M.A.	Yang E.Y.Rupert 8 Moushegian, G
	Authors	r, D.A	90	9	E.Y egi
	Au	Prager, Stone, Rose, D	Bray, Kemp,	ri mu	Yang E.Y.Ru Roushegian,
	y 10	Pr. St.			
-	S1.	28	29	30	31

1					-
	astoN	*		ssioN NAN	
	sdid anoT				
(1)	Clicks	oas/07	. sec.		
VARIABLE	- Eredneucy	7K גס לא Hz	30 Hz to 3K Hz	H S and 4K Hz	
100	Intensity	30 to 40 qB NHL	9P OZ		28
STIMULIE	Огрега				
STI	Respiratory				
	Б босћ б		47		
	BSEKV	Done to detect hearing	ABR was recorded	Screened for ABR	
	Impedence	**			
NG	Pure tone Audiometry				Conditioned pure tone thresold obtained
TESTING	A E V				`
OF I	Crib-0-Gram		81		
TYPE	Behavioural Audiometry			>	,
I	High risk Registers		a	`	
OSE	Screening		, ,	>	
PURPOSE	Diagnostic	`	16		\$
LE	No. of subjects			751	21
VARIABLE	Normal/ Abnormal	High risk babies	-ni mreterm in- sinsi	High risk infants	Hg. impaired infants
	x a s	Азов			
SUBJECT	ə <i>Б</i> ү	within 24 hours	SS to SS weeks	8 .zom £ ,411i8 .zom 6	
	Case Study				>
ARTICLE	Experiment	>	>	. >	
ARTI	Review				
	т в э Ү	1987	1987	1987	1987
	. Authors	Abramarich, S.J. Hyde, M.L. etal	Rotteveel, J.J. Colon, E.J. etal	Swigonsk, N Shallop, J etal	Talbott, C.B.
	SI.	32	8	34	35

-	S1.	39	37	88	6 8
	Authors	George, M.P. Kuminsk, J.R. & Beauchaine, K.A	Dahle, A.J. 8 Nc Collister FP	Primus, M.A.	Robinson, D.O. Allen, D.V. Root, L
	Y e a r	1988	1988	1988	1988
ARTICLE	Review			``	
CLE	Experiment	. >	>		
	Case Study				>
SUBJECT	а Б у	stanial	saneani som 27 od s	. som Si ot ii	
VARIABLE	\lemioN LemionA		Neonatal Herper (HSV -infection)		N 4108
BLE	No. of subjects	68	20	91	281
PURPOSE	Diagnostic		>	>	14
DSE,	Screening High risk	>	. ,		
TYPE	Registers		(4.)		
PE OF	Audiometry Crib-O-Gram		> 1		
	A A V			-iboM sv ARV	
TESTING	Pure tone Audiometry			AAV beil	
	Impedence		>	8	nob gninesizs.qml
	взеку	anob A8A	>		
	э чэоэд		1)		
STIR	Respiratory Audiometry				
STIMULIE	Others				
	Intensity	7Hu 8b 02 01 08			
VARIABLE	Freguency	343,[13 363 11 00]		2004% IK' SK	zH 922
-	Loue bibs	100 H sec clicks			
-				Broad Band noise	
	эгри				

ANALYSIS

<u>Table-1:</u> Showing the purpose served by the articles.

Sl .No.	Articles served	No.	Percentage
1.	Total number of articles	42	_
2.	Number of articles served the purpose of screening.	33	78.5
3.	Number of articles served the purpose of diagnosis	9	21.4

Table-2: Showing the type of testing.

Sl.No.	Type of testing	NO.	Percentage
1.	Total number of articles	42	-
2.	Visual reinforced audiometry	4	9.5
3.	High risk registers	3	19.0
4.	Behavioural audiometry	13	30.9
5.	Crib-o-gram	6	14.2
6.	Pure tone audiometry	3	7.1
7.	Impedance audioraetry	5	11.9
8.	Brain stem evoked responses	25	59.5
9.	Electrocochleography	1	2.3
10.	Respiratory audiometry	1	2.3

<u>Tabie-3:</u> Showing subject variables.

Sl.No.	Subject variables	No.	Percentage
1.	Total number of articles	42	
2.	Total number of articles which used normal infants	14	33,3
3.	Total number of articles which used abnormal infants.	10	23,8
4.	Number of articles which have used both normals and abnormals	9	21.4
5.	Number of articles which have not mentioned.	9	21.4

<u>Table-4:</u> Showing the article variables

Sl.No.	Article variables	NO.	Percentage	
1.	Total number of articles have	42		
2.	Number of articles which/used experiment	26	61.9	
3.	Number of articles/have used case studies.	9	21.4	
4.	Number of articles which have used review.	7	16.7	

RESULTS

The following results can be drawn from the above data: Total number of articles included in the study 42 Out of this 33 articles have undertaken screening 78.5% and 9 articles have undertaken diagnosisi 21.4% The type of audiometry done in different articles are listed in the table-II. This shows that majority have utilized brain stem evoked responses -59.5% and behavioural audiometry (59.5% and 30.9% 30.9% respectively). The subject variable are listed in table III. 14 articles have used normal Infants. 33.3% 10 articles have used abnormal infants 23.8% 9 articles have used both normals and abnormals infants. 21.4% 9 articles have not mentioned the subjects considered for the study 21.4% Around 26 of the articles are of experimental in nature. 61.9% 9 articles have undertaken case study 21.4% 7 articles basically review in nature 16.7%

CONCLUSIONS

Majority of the articles used auditory brain stem evoked responses which is the mostly preferred method of infant testing.

- Behavioural audiometry seems to be the second major method used in the study which shows that the contribution of the behavioural audiometry in infant screening is still considered as valid and essential.
- High rish registers have also been used as a valuable resources in the hearing assessment.
- Screening, behavioura/Audiometry and auditory brain stem evoked responses are more often used in when comparing between normals and abnormals.
- In the field of diagnostic audiology abundant research are being carried out in the area of infant testing, these are mainly oriented to find out the best applicable way of testing and to know the differences between normals and multihandicapped in terms of auditory response, and also to know is there any difference between updated and prematured infants.
- The brain stem evoked response audiometry studies have shown that they are reliable, sensitive method of hearing screening and plays a major role in early assessment,
- The automated computer program to analyze auditory brain stem responses has broad application in the field of neurology and audiology.

- The cost effectiveness of auditory brain stem responses is found to be better than crib-o-gram.
- Crib-o-gram failed in screening 2/3rd of infants and auditory brain stem responses measurements failed in only more than half of infants.
- Even though high risk registers are valuable but they are imperfect when compared to, auditory brain stem responses.

 the Auditory brain stem responses plays major role in/early assessment. Because all the infants who passed auditory brain stem responses screening have also passed on subsequent follow up hearing screening so the auditory brain stem evoked responses found to be reliable in infant screening.
- Visual reinforced audiometry can be valuable screening method when the child is very young.
- Modified visual reinforce audiometry revealed to have an average 5.5 dB improvement in thresholds.
- Racial difference: There is only one study available on this aspect. The authors reported of significant difference between whits and blacks regarding impedance screening.

Normal infants compared with multiply handicapped infants:

- In one study no difference found regarding the responsiveness to stimuli between normals and multihandicaps.

- In another study normal infants showed attentive type of behavioural responses whereas multiply handicapped infants exhibited more of reflexive type of behavioural responses.

Full term babies compared with preterm babies:-

- Prematurity does not affect auditory brain stem responses and behavioural responses to auditory stimuli,
- Prematurity does not cause a different rate of maturation for auditory brain stem responses,
- Peripheral auditory maturity is not affected by prematurity.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

- Abramorich, S.J., Hyde, M.L., Rik, K., and Alberti, P.W. (1987): Early detection of hearing loss in high risk children using brain stem electrical response audiometry,, Journal of Laryngology and Otology, 101(2), 120-129.
- Bray, P., and Kemp, D. (1987): An advanced cochlear echotechnique suitable for infant screening.

 British Journal of Audiology, 21, 191-204.
- Dahle, A,J. and McCollister, F.P. (1988): Audiological findings in children with neonatal herpes. Ear and Hearing, 9(5), 256-258.
- Dunn, H.H., Johnson, S., Simmons, B.F., Malachowski, M. and low, K.(1987): Infant hearing screening program implementation and validation. Ear and Hearing, 8. 21-25.
- Edwards, C.G., Smith, A.D., and Picton, T.w. (1985): Neonatal auditory brain stem responses from ipsilateral and contralateral recording montages. Ear and Hearing, 6(4), 175-178.
- Eggermont, J.J. and Salamy, A. (I988)s Development of ABR parameters in a preterm and a term born population. Ear and Hearing, 9, 283-289.
- Elberhing, C., Wahlgreen, O. (1985) s Estimation of auditory brain stem responses by means of Bayesian inference. Scandinavian Audiology, 14(2), 89-96.
- Ferullo, R.J. (198S): Early "detection of hearing impairment: It remains critical. The Hearing Journal, 38(7), 22-27.
- Flexor, C. and Gans, D.P. (1985): Comparitive evaluation of the auditory responsiveness of normal infants and profoundly multihandicapped children.

 Journal of Speech and Hearing Research, 28, 163-168.
- Flexor, C. and Gans, D.P. (1986): Distribution of auditory response behaviours in normal infants and profoundly muttihandicapped children. Journal of Speech and Hearing Research, 29, 425-429.

- George, M.P., Kuminski, J.R., and Beauchaine, K.A. (1988):

 ABR from graduates of an intensive care nursery using an insert ear phone. Ear and Hearing, 9, 144-147,
- Gerber, S.E. (1985): Stimulus response and state variable in the testing of neonates. Ear and Hearing 6(1), 15-19.
- Hall, J.W. and Tucker, D,A. (1986): Sensory evoked response in the intensive care unit. Ear and Hearing, 7, 220-232.
- Halpern, J., Dun, H.H. and Malachowski, N. (1987): Pour factors that accurately predict hearing loss in high risk neonates. Ear and Hearing. 8, 21-25.
- Kaga, K,, Hashira, S. and Marsh, R.R. (1986): Auditory brain stem responses and behavioural responses in preterm infants. British Journal of Audiology, 20, 121-128.
- Liden, G,, and Harford, E.R. (1985): Key note-address: the paediatric audiologist: from magician to clinician. Ear and Hearing 6-9.
- McMillan, P.M., Benett, M.J., Marchant, C.D. and Shurin, P.A. (1985): Ipsilateral and contralateral acoustic reflexes in neonates. Ear and Hearing, 6(6), 320-324.
- Mencher, G.T., Mencher, L.S., and Rohland, S.L. (1985): Maturation of behavioural response. Ear and Hearing, 6, 10-14.
- Oiler, K., Eilers, R.E., Dale, H. and Carney, A.E. (1985): Pre speech vocalizations of a deaf infant: A comparison with normal metaphonological development.

 Journal of Speech and Hearing Research, 28, 47-62.
- Peters, J.G. (1986): An automated infant screener using advanced evoked response technology. The Hearing Journal. 39(9), 25-30.
- Plotnick, C.H. and Leppler, J.G. (1986): Infant hearing assessment: A programme for identification and habilitation within four months of age. The Hearing Journal, 39(5), 23-25.

- Pool, K.D., and Finitzo, T. (1989): Evaluation of a computer automated program for clinical assessment of the auditory brain stem responses. Ear and Hearing, 10(5), 304-310.
- Prager, D.A., Stone, D.A., and Rose, D.M. (1987): Hearing loss screening in the neonatal intensive cure unit, auditory brain stem responses versus crib-ogram: A cost effective analysis. Ear and Hearing, 8, 213-216.
- Primus, M.A. (1987) s Response and reinforcement in operant audiometry. Journal of Speech and Hearing Disorders, 52, 294-298.
- Primus, M.A. (1988)s Infant thresholds with enhanced attention to the signal in visual reinforcement audiometry. Journal of Speech and ^earing Research, 31, 480-484.
- Riko, K., Hyde, M.L., Albert, P.W., Ont, T. (1985): Hearing loss in early infancy: Incidence detection and assessment. Laryngoscupe, 95(2), 137-145,
- Robinson, D.L., Allen, D.V. Root, L. (1988): Infant tympanometry: Differential results by race. Journal of Speech and Hearing Disorders, 53(3),341-346.
- Rotteveel, J.J., Colon, E.J., Notormans, s. Stoelinga, G.B., Visco, Y., and Degraaf, R. (1986)% The central auditory conduction at terra date and three months after birth. Scandinavian Audiology, 15(2), 11-19,
- Rotteveel, J.J., Colon, E.J., Stegeraan, D.F., and Visco, YM (1987): The maturation of the centralauditory conduction in pre term infants until three months post terra IV composite group averages of the cortical auditory evoked response (ACRs). Hearing Research, 27(1), 85-93.
- Rotteveel, J.J., Colon, E.J., Notecnans, S.S., Stoelinga, G,B, and Visco, Y.M. (1985)s The central auditory conduction at term date and three months after birth. Scandinavian Audiology, 17(4), 179-186.
- Roush, J., and Tait, C.A., (1985): Pure tone and acoustic immittance screening of preschool aged children:

 An examination of referral criteria. Ear and Hearing, 6(5), 245-250.

- Ruth, R.A., Dey-Sigman, S., and Mills, J.A., (1985): Neonatal ABR hearing screening. The Hearing Journal, 38(11), 39-46.
- Schwartz, D.M., Pratt, R.E., Schwartz, J.A., (1989): Auditory brain stem responses in pre term infants evidence of peripheral maturity. Ear and Hearing, 10, 14-22.
- Shimizu, H., Walters, R.J., Kennedy, D.W., Allen, M.C., Markoaritz, R.K., and Luebkert, F.L. (1985): Crib-o-gram vs.auditory brain stem responses for infant hearing screening. Laryngoscope, 95(7), 806-810.
- Smith, A.D., Picton, T., Edwards, C. Goodman, J.T. and Macmurry, B. (1985): The crib-o-gram in the NICU: An evaluation based on brain stem electric response audiometry. Ear and Hearing, 6(1), 20-24.
- Sprague, B.H., Wiley, T.L., and Goldstein, R. (1985): fympanometric and acoustic reflex studies in neonates.

 Journal of Speech and Hearing Research, 28: 265.
- Swigonski, N., shallop, J., Ball, M.J., and Lemons, J.A. (1987): Hearing screening of high risk new borns. Ear and Hearing, 8, 26-30.
- Talbott, C.B. (1987): Clinical notes in audiology: A longitudinal study comparing responses of hearing impaired infants to pure tone using visual reinforcement and play audiometry. Ear and Hearing, 8. 175-179.
- Taylor, I.G., (1985): Hearing impaired babies and methods of communication. Ear and Hearing, 6(1), 20-24.
- Thomppon, G., and Folsom, R.C. (1985): Reinforced and non-reinforced head turn responses of infants as a function of stimulus band width. Ear and Hearing, 6(3), 125-129.
- Yang, E.Y., Rupert, A.A., and Monshegian, G. (1987)\$ Electrophysiologic techniques in audiology and otology: A developmental study of bone conduction ABR responses in infants. Ear and Hearing, 8, 244-251.