SECTION-IV PERFORMANCE ASSESSMENT

(To be filled-in by the reporting officer. The reviewing officer may fill-in the columns in this section only in case where he/she does not agree with the grading done by the reporting officer)

- 1. A Pen-picture (a *short description of the personal and professional characteristics*) of the officer reported upon indicating the overall qualities of the officer including areas of strengths and lesser strengths and his attitude towards weaker sections. (*May also indicate the skill up gradation needs of the officer*.)
- 2. Comment on the integrity of the Officer (*Please read Para 3of Section IV of the Guidelines in filling-up APAR*).

Integrity	Reporting Officer	Reviewing Officer
(Tick whatever is applicable)		
Impeccable		
To be monitored		
Please provide remarks if any		

3. Assessment of Work Output (This assessment should rate the officer vis-à-vis his peers in the similar cadre. Grades should be assigned on a scale of 1-10, in whole numbers, with 1 referring to the lowest grade and 10 to the best grade. Any grading of 1 or 2 would be adequately justified in the pen-picture by way of specific failures and similarly, any grade of 9 or 10 would be justified with respect to specific accomplishments. Grades of 1-2 or 9-10 are expected to be rare occurrences and hence the need to justify them. A weightage of 40% may be assigned to the Assessment of Work Output)

Sl.	Criteria	Grade			
No.		Reporting Reviewing			
		Officer	Officer		
1.	Accomplishment of assigned work				
2.	Accomplishment of distinguished work				
3.	Quality of wok output				
4.	Timeliness of wok output				
	Overall grade on wok Output				

4. Assessment of Personal Attributes (This assessment should rate the officer vis-à-vis his peers in the similar cadre. Grades should be assigned on a scale of 1-10, in whole numbers, with 1 referring to the lowest grade and 10 to the best grade. Any grading of 1 or 2 would be adequately justified in the pen-picture by way of specific failures and similarly, any grade of 9 or 10 would be justified with respect to specific accomplishments. Grades of 1-2 or 9-10 are expected to be rare occurrences and hence the need to justify them. A weightage of 30% may be assigned to the Assessment of Personal Attributes.)

Personal Attributes Attitude to work Sense of responsibility Maintenance of discipline	Reporting Officer	Reviewing Officer
Sense of responsibility Maintenance of discipline	Officer	Officer
Sense of responsibility Maintenance of discipline		
Maintenance of discipline		
Capacity to work in team spirit		
Interpersonal relations		
Honesty and commitment		
Communication skills		
Reliability		
Punctuality		
Respect and courtesy		
	Honesty and commitment Communication skills Reliability Punctuality	Honesty and commitment Communication skills Reliability Punctuality Respect and courtesy

5. Assessment of Functional Competency which describes the knowledge, skill, and/or abilities required to fulfil required job tasks, duties or responsibilities.

(The functional competencies are specific to a specific department or type of job. Hence, all the criteria listed below for assessing the functional competency may not be applicable to all the categories of employees.

This assessment should rate the officer vis-à-vis his peers in the similar cadre. Grades should be assigned on a scale of 1-10, in whole numbers, with 1 referring to the lowest grade and 10 to the best grade. Any grading of 1 or 2 would be adequately justified in the pen-picture by way of specific failures and similarly, any grade of 9 or 10 would be justified with respect to specific accomplishments. Grades of 1-2 or 9-10 are expected to be rare occurrences and hence the need to justify them. A weightage of 30% may be assigned to the Assessment of Functional Competency.)

Sl.		Grade			
No.	Functional Competency	Reporting Reviewing			
		Officer	Officer		
1.	Theoretical subject knowledge				
2.	Practical subject knowledge				
3.	Ability to utilize job specific equipments/ machines and software				
4.	Knowledge of rules, regulations and procedures				
5.	Strategic planning ability				
6.	Teaching ability				
7.	Clinical/practical skills				
8.	Ability to organize professional events				
9.	Decision making ability				
10.	Human resources management ability				
11.	Ability to design, develop, and/or deliver training programs				
	Overall grade on functional competency				

6. Overall Performance Assessment Grade. (*The overall grade may be calculated based on the addition of the mean value of grades obtained for the work output, personal attributes and functional competency in proportion to weightage assigned to each.*)

Overall Grading on Performance Assessment	Reporting Officer	Reviewing Officer

Signature of the Reporting Officer

SECTION-V PERFORMANCE REVIEW

(To be filled-in by the Reviewing Officer)

1.	Do you	agree	with	the	pen	picture	of	the	Officer	Reported	Upon	given	by	the
	Reportin	g Offic	cer in	Sect	ion-l	IV?								

Yes	No

2. Do you agree with the assessment made by the Reporting Officer with respect to the work output and other attributes? (In case you do not agree with any of the numerical assessments of attributes, please record your assessments in the columns provided for you in that section and initial your entries).

Yes	No

3. In case of difference of opinion details and reasons for the same may be given.