

# Three Decades of Citation Classics: The Most Cited Articles in the Field of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation

Aaron J. Powell, MD, Erin M. Conlee, MD, Douglas G. Chang, MD, PhD

---

With the American Academy of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation recently celebrating its 75th anniversary, it is an opportune time to assess the impact and influence that physiatric articles and research have had on the field, as well as the greater scientific community. One useful metric of scientific impact is citation count, which is the most common method for analyzing the magnitude of scientific recognition of an individual article. This study presents 2 reading lists of influential physiatric academic journal articles drawn from the Web of Science index based on citation count. The first list contains the top 25 most-cited articles during the last 3 decades from the *American Journal of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation*, the *Archives of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation*, and *PM&R*. The second list contains the top 10 articles in 20 different physiatric topical areas. This topical list was generated via an expanded search without limitation of time span or journal. This allowed for the identification of influential physiatric articles not found in the field's 3 major publications from the United States. Although citation index is not a direct measure of quality or importance, it offers one form of quantitative assessment of scientific impact. This assessment contributes to the identification of trends, which illustrate the evolution of scope and focus of physiatry research. The lists of most-cited articles presented in this review can be used to provide historical context to physiatry's existing body of research, direct future evidence-based research efforts, and help guide educators as they select resident reading lists or journal club materials.

PM R 2014;6:828-840

---

As the Academy of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation reaches its 75th year, it is important to assess the impact and influence that physiatric articles and research have had on the field, as well as the greater scientific community. Bibliometrics (methods for quantitatively analyzing scientific literature) provide techniques for such analysis. One useful metric is citation count, which is the most common method for analyzing the magnitude of scientific recognition of an individual article [1]. This should not be confused with slightly more complex algorithms that are also based on citation count—such as the impact factor and H-index—but used to analyze individual journals or authors, respectively.

In 1961, one of the founders of bibliometrics, Dr. Eugene Garfield, in an attempt to “track citations and understand trends in context,” created the Science Citation Index [1]. This index grew into the Web of Science, which provides online access to the Science Citation Index as well as indices for other fields of Arts, Humanities, and Social Sciences via 7 online databases: Expanded, Social Sciences Citation Index, Arts & Humanities Citation Index, Index Chemicus, Current Chemical Reactions, Conference Proceedings Citation Index, and Book Citation Index. The Web of Science encompasses open-access journals and covers 10,000 of the highest-impact journals, with indexing coverage from the year 1900 to the present [2].

Two additional indices were released in the early 2000s: Google Scholar, a product of Google Inc., and Scopus, a product of Elsevier B.V. publishing groups. Google Scholar has yet to reveal the source content, indexing, relevance algorithms, or time period through which Scholar searches. It is a subset of the larger Google search index and includes

**A.J.P.** UC Davis Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation, Sacramento, CA. Address correspondence to: A.J.P., UC Davis Department of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation, Lawrence J. Ellison Ambulatory Care Center, 4860 Y Street, Sacramento, CA 95817; e-mail: [aaron.powell@ucdmc.ucdavis.edu](mailto:aaron.powell@ucdmc.ucdavis.edu)  
Disclosure: nothing to disclose

**E.M.C.** Mayo Clinic Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation, Rochester, MN  
Disclosure: nothing to disclose

**D.G.C.** UC San Diego Orthopedics, San Diego, CA  
Disclosure: nothing to disclose

Submitted for publication July 29, 2013; accepted May 31, 2014.

websites, print publications, foreign translations, and books. The search also includes access to “gray literature,” such as preprint archives, conference proceedings, institutional repositories, student handbooks, administrative notes, and links to the online collections of some academic libraries. Google Scholar is free, fast, and easy to access and lends itself to unconventional search topics not easily retrieved from more traditionally academic resources [3]. Scopus is available by subscription. It includes books, conference proceedings, web sites, reports, and patents from the US, European, and Japanese Patent Offices. Scopus is easy to navigate and comprehensive, including more journals but over fewer years [4].

All 3 indices have been compared in detail, with mixed results reported [5-7]. One well-described limitation to the Web of Science, compared with these other indices, is that it does not include citations from as many publication sources, which results in a substantial underestimation of citation counts. Regardless, the Web of Science remains the standard database for generating article lists by citation count analysis, likely because of historical reasons as well as its wide acceptance and recognition in the scientific community [8-11].

This article seeks to objectively identify the most influential and impactful articles from the field of physical medicine and rehabilitation. It is the authors' intention that the resulting list will prove useful for physiatrists and trainees by reminding us of our past, highlighting our contributions to the scientific community, and informing directions for future research. This article presents the 25 most-cited articles dating back 3 decades (1984-2013), from the 3 major physiatric journals from the United States (*Archives of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation* [published since 1953], *American Journal of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation* [published since 1922], and *PM&R* [published since 2009]). In addition, because many influential articles to the field of physiatry are not necessarily published in these 3 major publications, we also generated a list of articles within certain key physiatric topic areas ranked by citation count.

## METHODS

Within the Web of Science, the 3 major physiatric journals were queried individually with time parameters of 1984 through 2013 for articles ranked by citation count. The years 1984 to 2013 were chosen because they span the last 3 decades of work and because the time frame also overlaps with the most recent compilation of top physiatric articles, which will be described in the Discussion section [12]. These 3 lists were then merged into a single list of the overall top 25 cited articles. This ranking list will be referred to as the Top 25 List. Similar lists were generated with Google Scholar and Elsevier Scopus.

Finally, 20 physiatric topic areas were chosen by a board-certified physiatrist (D.G.C.), based on review of the

American Academy of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation website on conditions and treatment [13], textbooks [14,15], and handbooks [16,17]. This list of topical keywords was presented to the various American Academy of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation Membership Council representatives to ensure the keywords provided adequate representation of the major scopes of practice within the field. These topics were then searched via the Web of Science by title without parameters of time or journal and the top 10 cited articles in each category were compiled. This ranking list will be referred to as Top 200 List.

## RESULTS

The 25 most cited articles from the last 30 years of publications for the *Archives of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation*, *American Journal of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation*, and *PM&R* (Top 25 List) are shown in Table 1. Twenty topical keywords were generated and are displayed in Table 2. The top 10 articles within these 20 topical keywords (Top 200 List) also were compiled and are shown in Table 3.

We highlight the following observations concerning the Top 25 List.

1. Investigators from the United States, United Kingdom, Canada, Denmark, and Taiwan were represented in the top 25 list.
2. Of the Top 25, 8 articles were published in the 1980s, 11 in the 1990s, and 6 in the 2000s.
3. There were several authors with multiple articles in the Top 25. Granger, Linacre, Wright, Jørgensen, Nakayama, Raaschou, and Olsen all had 3 articles; and Hamilton, and Heinemann, Cicerone, Dahlber, and Ottenbacher had 2 articles.
4. Among the authors, the following professional degrees were represented (and percentage at which they were represented): PhD (38%), MD (27%), OTR (11%), MD/PhD (6%), MS (5%), MA (5%), PT (3%), OTS (3%), and PhD/PT (2%).
5. Not one of the current Top 25 articles was written by an individual author.
6. The oldest article was published in 1984 and the most recent in 2005.

The following are interesting points regarding the Top 200 articles in 20 topical areas:

1. The oldest article was published in 1950, and the 2 most recent articles were printed in 2010.
2. Only 12 articles were from 1 of the 3 major physiatric journals.
3. Only 22 articles were printed before 1984.
4. Only one article from our Top 25 List from the 3 major physiatric journals was represented (Lum et al) [18].

**Table 1.** Top 25 List: The most cited articles in the 3 major physical medicine and rehabilitation journals between 1984 and 2013

Citation Rank	Number of Citations	Year Published	Title	Authors	Journal
1	739	1993	Technique to improve chronic motor deficit after stroke (19)	Taub E, Miller NE, Novack TA, et al.	<i>Arch</i>
2	675	1985	Grip and pinch strength: normative data for adults (20)	Mathiowetz V, Kashman N, Volland G, Weber K, Dowe M, Rogers S.	<i>Arch</i>
3	489	2001	Gait variability and fall risk in community-living older adults: a 1-year prospective study (21)	Hausdorff JM, Rios DA, Edelberg HK.	<i>Arch</i>
4	466	1986	Balance in elderly patients: the "get-up and go" test (22)	Mathias S, Nayak US, Isaacs B.	<i>Arch</i>
5	442	1994	The structure and stability of the Functional Independence Measure (23)	Linacre JM, Heinemann AW, Wright BD, Granger CV, Hamilton BB.	<i>Arch</i>
6	425	1992	Quantifying handicap: a new measure of long-term rehabilitation outcomes (24)	Whiteneck GG, Charlifue SW, Gerhart KA, Overholser JD, Richardson GN.	<i>Arch</i>
7	402	1993	A validation of the functional independence measurement and its performance among rehabilitation inpatients (25)	Dodds TA, Martin DP, Stolov WC, Deyo RA.	<i>Arch</i>
8	392	1992	Clinical and laboratory measures of postural balance in an elderly population (26)	Berg KO, Maki BE, Williams JL, Holliday PJ, Wood-Dauphinee SL.	<i>Arch</i>
9	382	2000	Evidence-based cognitive rehabilitation: Recommendations for clinical practice (27)	Cicerone KD, Dahlberg C, Kalmar K, et al.	<i>Arch</i>
10	365	2005	Evidence-based cognitive rehabilitation: Updated review of the literature from 1998 through 2002 (28)	Cicerone KD, Dahlberg C, Malec JF, et al.	<i>Arch</i>
11	332	1996	The reliability of the functional independence measure: A quantitative review (29)	Ottenbacher KJ, Hsu Y, Granger CV, Fiedler RC.	<i>Arch</i>
12	329	2002	Robot-assisted movement training compared with conventional therapy techniques for the rehabilitation of upper-limb motor function after stroke (30)	Lum PS, Burgar CG, Shor PC, Majmundar M, Van der Loos M.	<i>Arch</i>
13	320	1995	Outcome and time course of recovery in stroke. Part II: Time course of recovery. The Copenhagen Stroke Study (31)	Jørgensen HS, Nakayama H, Raaschou HO, Vive-Larsen J, Støier M, Olsen TS.	<i>Arch</i>
14	302	1999	Recent trends in mortality and causes of death among persons with spinal cord injury (32)	DeVivo MJ, Krause JS, Lammertse DP.	<i>Arch</i>
15	299	1989	Observations are always ordinal; measurements, however, must be interval (33)	Wright BD, Linacre JM.	<i>Arch</i>
16	288	2002	Exercise-induced muscle damage in humans (34)	Clarkson PM, Hubal MJ.	<i>Am</i>
17	283	1994	Recovery of upper extremity function in stroke patients: the Copenhagen Stroke Study (35)	Nakayama H, Jørgensen HS, Raaschou HO, Olsen TS.	<i>Arch</i>
18	274	2004	The effects of exercise training on elderly persons with cognitive impairment and dementia: a meta-analysis (36).	Heyn P, Abreu BC, Ottenbacher KJ.	<i>Arch</i>
19	274	1989	Spastic hypertonia: mechanisms and measurement (37)	Katz RT, Rymer WZ.	<i>Arch</i>
20	272	1984	Symptomatic fatigue in multiple sclerosis (38)	Freal JE, Kraft GH, Coryell JK.	<i>Arch</i>
21	260	1987	Development of a behavioral test of visuospatial neglect (39)	Wilson B, Cockburn J, Halligan P.	<i>Arch</i>
22	256	1993	Relationships between impairment and physical disability as measured by the functional independence measure (40)	Heinemann AW, Linacre JM, Wright BD, Hamilton BB, Granger C.	<i>Arch</i>

**Table 1.** *Continued*

Citation Rank	Number of Citations	Year Published	Title	Authors	Journal
23	254	1995	Recovery of walking function in stroke patients: the Copenhagen Stroke Study (41)	Jørgensen HS, Nakayama H, Raaschou HO, Olsen TS.	<i>Arch</i>
24	251	1989	Ordinal scales and foundations of misinference (42)	Merbitz C, Morris J, Grip JC.	<i>Arch</i>
25	244	1987	The Pain Disability Index: psychometric and validity data (43)	Tait RC, Pollard CA, Margolis RB, Duckro PN, Krause SJ.	<i>Arch</i>

Arch = Archives of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation; Am = American Journal of Physical Medicine & Rehabilitation; PM&R = PM&R Journal.

- Other journals with the most articles (with number of articles represented) were *Pain* (10), *Spine* (9), *Journal of the American Medical Association* (8), *New England Journal of Medicine* (7), *Arthritis & Rheumatism* (7), and *Neurology* (6).
- The article with the highest citation count was “The American College of Rheumatology 1990 Criteria for the Classification of Fibromyalgia—Report of the Multi-center Criteria Committee” by Wolfe et al, with 4026 citations [19].

**Table 2.** *Major physical medicine and rehabilitation topics and keywords*

Topic	Keyword(s) for Article Title Search
Physical medicine and rehabilitation	Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation
Amputation	Amput*
Arthritis pain	Arthritis pain
Back pain	Back pain or lumbar pain
Cancer rehabilitation	Cancer rehab*
Cardiac rehabilitation	Cardiac rehab*
Electrodiagnosis	Electrodiagnos* or Electromyography or Nerve Conduction Stud*
Fibromyalgia	Fibromyalgia
Geriatric rehabilitation	Geriatric rehab*
Neck pain	Neck pain or cervical pain
Osteoporosis rehabilitation	Osteoporosis rehab*
Post-polio syndrome	Post-polio* syndrome
Pediatric rehabilitation	Pedia* rehab*
Pressure ulcers	Pressure ulcer* or pressure sore or pressure wound
Spinal injury	Spin* injury
Sports injury	Sport* injury
Stroke rehabilitation	Stroke Rehab*
Traumatic brain injury	Traumatic Brain injury
Wheelchairs	Wheelchair*
Work injury	Work injury or Job injury or occupational injury

\*Multicharacter wild card used to replace characters in a word to broaden search results.

## DISCUSSION

With this research, our goal was to identify the most influential or impactful research articles of the last 3 decades. Ascribing significance to an individual article within a large body of work is inherently difficult. We chose to generate a list of articles by using quantitative, bibliometric data. A citation index was used as the proxy for important research.

The result was 2 lists of article rankings. The first list was the top 25 most-cited articles published in the 3 major physiatric journals from US journals during the last 30 years. Knowing that many key physiatric articles are published in journals other than the 3 major physiatric journals, a second list of the top 200 most-cited articles from all journals within 20 physiatric topical areas was generated.

The Top 25 List revealed interesting trends. Each decade, apart from the present, was well represented in the list. We observed a progression from validation of measures and scales to descriptive studies in the first third of the 30-year period; more validation and emergence of large prospective trials in the middle third; and prospective studies, reviews, and meta-analyses in the latter third. These findings suggest that the field is concentrating less on validating measures and more on novel interventions and the establishment of best practices. Given the mounting pressures on all providers to prove efficacy of treatment for reimbursement in today's health care environment, as a field, we may need to re-emphasize validation of measures and scales.

From the Top 200 List, we see a large representation of journals (99 different journals in total). In addition, only one article from the Top 25 List, “Robot-assisted movement training compared with conventional therapy techniques for the rehabilitation of upper-limb motor function after stroke” by Lum et al [18], is represented in the Top 200 list. This finding confirms our observation that many influential articles on physiatric topics are published outside of the 3 major physiatric journals and likely indicates that many authors prefer to submit for publication to subspecialty physiatry journals first.

In addition, there were several articles from one of the 3 major physiatric journals that were included in the Top

**Table 3.** Top 200 List: The most-cited references in major physical medicine and rehabilitation topical areas

Physical medicine and rehabilitation	<p>England JD, Gronseth GS, Franklin G, et al. Distal symmetric polyneuropathy: A definition for clinical research - Report of the American Academy of Neurology, the American Association of Electrodiagnostic Medicine, and the American Academy of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation. <i>Neurology</i> 2005;64:199-207.</p> <p>Jablecki CK, Andary MT, Floeter MK, et al. Practice parameter: Electrodiagnostic studies in carpal tunnel syndrome—Report of the American Association of Electrodiagnostic Medicine, American Academy of Neurology, and the American Academy of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation. <i>Neurology</i> 2002;58:1589-1592.</p> <p>Steiner WA, Ryser L, Huber E, Uebelhart D, Aeschlimann A, Stucki G. Use of the ICF model as a clinical problem-solving tool in physical therapy and rehabilitation medicine. <i>Phys Ther</i> 2002;82:1098-1107.</p> <p>England JD, Gronseth GS, Franklin G, et al. Practice Parameter: Evaluation of distal symmetric polyneuropathy: Role of autonomic testing, nerve biopsy, and skin biopsy (an evidence-based review) Report of the American Academy of Neurology, American Association of Neuromuscular and Electrodiagnostic Medicine, and American Academy of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation. <i>Neurology</i> 2009;72:177-184.</p> <p>Stucki G, Melvin J. The international classification of functioning, disability and health: A unifying model for the conceptual description of physical and rehabilitation medicine. <i>J Rehabil Med</i> 2007;39:286-292.</p> <p>Haigh R, Tennant A, Biering-Sorensen F, et al. The use of outcome measures in physical medicine and rehabilitation within Europe. <i>J Rehabil Med</i> 2001;33:273-278.</p> <p>Needham DM, Korupolu R, Zanni JM, et al. Early physical medicine and rehabilitation for patients with acute respiratory failure: A quality improvement project. <i>Arch Phys Med Rehabil</i> 2010;91:536-542.</p> <p>Kucukdeveci AA, Yavuzer G, Tennant A, Suldur N, Sonel B, Arasil T. Adaptation of the modified Barthel Index for use in physical medicine and rehabilitation in Turkey. <i>Scand J Rehabil Med</i> 2000;32:87-92.</p> <p>England JD, Gronsethd GS, Franklin G, et al. Practice Parameter: Evaluation of distal symmetric polyneuropathy: Role of laboratory and genetic testing (an evidence-based review) Report of the American Academy of Neurology, American Association of Neuromuscular and Electrodiagnostic Medicine, and American Academy of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation. <i>Neurology</i> 2009;72:185-192.</p> <p>Williams JA, Imamura M, Fregni F. Updates on the use of non-invasive brain stimulation in physical and rehabilitation medicine. <i>J Rehabil Med</i> 2009;41:305-311.</p>
Amputation prosthetics	<p>Flor H, Elbert T, Knecht S, et al. Phantom-limb pain as a perceptual correlate of cortical reorganization following arm amputation. <i>Nature</i> 1995;375:482-484.</p> <p>Merzenich M, Nelson R, Stryker M, Cynader M, Schoppmann A, Zook J. Somatosensory cortical map changes following digit amputation in adult monkeys. <i>J Comp Neurol</i> 1984;224:591-605.</p> <p>Pecoraro R, Reiber G, Burgess E. Pathways to diabetic limb amputation - basis for prevention. <i>Diabetes Care</i> 1990;13:513-521.</p> <p>Rosenberg S, Tepper J, Glatstein E, et al. The treatment of soft-tissue sarcomas of the extremities—prospective randomized evaluations of (1) limb-sparing surgery plus radiation-therapy compared with amputation and (2) the role of adjuvant chemotherapy. <i>Ann Surg</i> 1982;196:305-315.</p> <p>Waters R, Perry J, Antonelli D, Hislop H. Energy cost of walking of amputees—influence of level of amputation. <i>J Bone Joint Surg-Am Vol</i> 1976;58:42-46.</p> <p>Most R, Sinnock P. The epidemiology of lower-extremity amputations in diabetic individuals. <i>Diabetes Care</i> 1983;6:87-91.</p> <p>Cohen L, Bandinelli S, Findley T, Hallett M. Motor reorganization after upper limb amputation in man—a study with focal magnetic stimulation. <i>Brain</i> 1991;114:615-627.</p> <p>Bach S, Noreng M, Tjellden N. Phantom limb pain in amputees during the 1st 12 months following limb amputation, after preoperative lumbar epidural blockade. <i>Pain</i> 1988;33:297-301.</p> <p>Cortes E, Holland J, Wang J, et al. Amputation and adriamycin in primary osteosarcoma. <i>N Engl J Med</i> 1974;291:998-1000.</p> <p>Bild D, Selby J, Sinnock P, Browner W, Braveman P, Showstack J. Lower-extremity amputation in people with diabetes—epidemiology and prevention. <i>Diabetes Care</i> 1989;12:24-31.</p>
Arthritis pain	<p>Keefe F, Brown G, Wallston K, Caldwell D. Coping with rheumatoid-arthritis pain—catastrophizing as a maladaptive strategy. <i>Pain</i> 1989;37:51-56.</p> <p>Varni J, Thompson K, Hanson V. The Varni Thompson Pediatric Pain Questionnaire .1. Chronic Musculoskeletal Pain in Juvenile Rheumatoid-Arthritis. <i>Pain</i> 1987;28:27-38.</p> <p>Lin EHB, Katon W, Von Korff M, et al. Effect of improving depression care on pain and functional outcomes among older adults with arthritis—A randomized controlled trial. <i>JAMA</i> 2003;290:2428-2434.</p> <p>Summers M, Haley W, Reveille J, Alarcon G. Radiographic assessment and psychologic variables as predictors of pain and functional impairment in osteo-arthritis of the knee or hip. <i>Arthritis Rheum.</i> 1988;31:204-209.</p> <p>Ferraz M, Quaresma M, Aquino L, Atra E, Tugwell P, Goldsmith C. Reliability of pain scales in the assessment of literate and illiterate patients with rheumatoid-arthritis. <i>J Rheumatol</i> 1990;17:1022-1024.</p> <p>Brown G, Nicassio P, Wallston K. Pain coping strategies and depression in rheumatoid arthritis. <i>J Consult Clin Psychol</i> 1989;57:652-657.</p> <p>Flor H, Turk D. Chronic back pain and rheumatoid-arthritis—predicting pain and disability from cognitive variables. <i>J Behav Med</i> 1988;11:251-265.</p>

Table 3. Continued

	Clemett D, Goa KL. Celecoxib—A review of its use in osteoarthritis, rheumatoid arthritis and acute pain. <i>Drugs</i> 2000;59:957-980.
	Bradley L, Young L, Anderson K, et al. Effects of psychological therapy on pain behavior of rheumatoid-arthritis patients—treatment outcome and 6-month follow-up. <i>Arthritis Rheum</i> 1987;30:1105-1114.
	Affleck G, Tennen H, Urrows S, Higgins P. Neuroticism and the pain mood relation in rheumatoid-arthritis—insights from a prospective daily study. <i>J Consult Clin Psychol</i> 1992;60:119-126.
Back pain	Roland M, Morris R. A study of the natural-history of back pain .1. Development of a reliable and sensitive measure of disability in low-back-pain. <i>Spine</i> 1983;8:141-144.
	Rosenstiel A, Keefe F. The use of coping strategies in chronic low-back-pain patients—relationship to patient characteristics and current adjustment. <i>Pain</i> 1983;17:33-44.
	Waddell G, Newton M, Henderson I, Somerville D, Main C. A fear-avoidance beliefs questionnaire (FABQ) and the role of fear-avoidance beliefs in chronic low-back-pain and disability. <i>Pain</i> 1993;52:157-168.
	Jensen M, Brantzawadzki M, Obuchowski N, Modic M, Malkasian D, Ross J. Magnetic-resonance-imaging of the lumbar spine in people without back pain. <i>N Engl J Med</i> 1994;331:69-73.
	Vlaeyen J, Kolesnijders A, Boeren R, Vaneek H. Fear of movement (re)injury in chronic low-back-pain and its relation to behavioral performance. <i>Pain</i> 1995;62:363-372.
	Andersson GBJ. Epidemiological features of chronic low-back pain. <i>Lancet</i> 1999;354:581-585.
	Waddell G. A new clinical model for the treatment of low-back-pain. <i>Spine</i> 1987;12:632-644.
	Linton SJ. A review of psychological risk factors in back and neck pain. <i>Spine</i> 2000;25:1148-1156.
	Crombez G, Vlaeyen JWS, Heuts P, Lysens R. Pain-related fear is more disabling than pain itself: Evidence on the role of pain-related fear in chronic back pain disability. <i>Pain</i> 1999;80:329-339.
	Deyo RA, Battie M, Beurskens A, et al. Outcome measures for low back pain research—A proposal for standardized use. <i>Spine</i> 1998;23:2003-2013.
Electrodiagnosis	De Luca CJ. The use of surface electromyography in biomechanics. <i>J Appl Biomech</i> 1997;13:135-163.
	Day B, Dressler D, Denoordhout A, et al. Electric and magnetic stimulation of human motor cortex—Surface EMG and single motor unit responses. <i>J Physiol</i> 1989;412:449-473.
	Basmajian J, Stecko G. A new bipolar electrode for electromyography. <i>J Appl Physiol</i> 1962;17:849–849.
	Farina D, Merletti R, Enoka RM. The extraction of neural strategies from the surface EMG. <i>J Appl Physiol</i> 2004;96:1486-1495.
	Magladery J, McDougal D. Electrophysiological studies of nerve and reflex activity in normal man .1. Identification of certain reflexes in the electromyogram and the conduction velocity of peripheral nerve fibres. <i>Bull Johns Hopkins Hosp</i> 1950;86:265-290.
	Biglandritchie B, Johansson R, Lippold O, Woods J. Contractile speed and EMG changes during fatigue of sustained maximal voluntary contractions. <i>J Neurophysiol</i> 1983;50:313-324.
	Jablecki C, Andary M, So Y, et al. Literature-review of the usefulness of nerve-conduction studies and electromyography for the evaluation of patients with carpal-tunnel syndrome. <i>Muscle Nerve</i> 1993;16:1392-1414.
	Hodges PW, Bui BH. A comparison of computer-based methods for the determination of onset of muscle contraction using electromyography. <i>Electromyogr Mot Control-Electroencephalogr Clin Neurophysiol</i> 1996;101:511-519.
	Hallett M, Shahani B, Young R. EMG analysis of stereotyped voluntary movements in man. <i>J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry</i> 1975;38:1154–1162.
	Hakkinen K, Kallinen M, Izquierdo M, et al. Changes in agonist-antagonist EMG, muscle CSA, and force during strength training in middle-aged and older people. <i>J Appl Physiol</i> 1998;84:1341–1349.
Cancer rehabilitation	Schag C, Ganz P, Heinrich R. Cancer Rehabilitation Evaluation System - Short Form (CARES-SF)—a cancer-specific rehabilitation and quality-of-life instrument. <i>Cancer</i> 1991;68:1406-1413.
	Dimeo FC, Tilmann MHM, Bertz H, Kanz L, Mertelsmann R, Keul J. Aerobic exercise in the rehabilitation of cancer patients after high dose chemotherapy and autologous peripheral stem cell transplantation. <i>Cancer</i> 1997;79:1717-1722.
	Shimozuma K, Ganz PA, Petersen L, Hirji K. Quality of life in the first year after breast cancer surgery: Rehabilitation needs and patterns of recovery. <i>Breast Cancer Res Treat</i> 1999;56:45-57.
	Pinto BM, Maruyama NC. Exercise in the rehabilitation of breast cancer survivors. <i>Psycho-Oncol</i> 1999;8:191-206.
	Mittal BB, Pauloski BR, Haraf DJ, et al. Swallowing dysfunction-preventative and rehabilitation strategies in patients with head-and-neck cancers treated with surgery, radiotherapy, and chemotherapy: A critical review. <i>Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys</i> 2003;57:1219-1230.
	Lehmann J, Delisa J, Warren C, Delateur B, Sandbryant P, Nicholson C. Cancer rehabilitation—assessment of need, development, and evaluation. <i>Arch Phys Med Rehabil</i> 1978;59:410-419.
	Deboer M, Pruy J, Vandenborne B, Knegt P, Ryckman R, Verwoerd C. Rehabilitation outcomes of long-term survivors treated for head and neck-cancer. <i>Head Neck-J Sci Spec Head Neck</i> 1995;17:503-515.
	Berglund G, Bolund C, Gustafsson U, Sjoden P. One-year follow-up of the starting-again group rehabilitation program for cancer patients. <i>Eur J Cancer</i> 1994;30A:1744–1751.

Table 3. Continued

Cardiac rehabilitation	<p>Oldervoll LM, Kaasa S, Hjermsstad M, Lund JA, Loge JH. Physical exercise results in the improved subjective well-being of a few or is effective rehabilitation for all cancer patients? <i>Eur J Cancer</i> 2004;40:951-962.</p> <p>Harter M, Reuter K, Aschenbrenner A, et al. Psychiatric disorders and associated factors in cancer: Results of an interview study with patients in inpatient, rehabilitation and outpatient treatment. <i>Eur J Cancer</i> 2001;37:1385-1393.</p> <p>Oldridge N, Guyatt G, Fischer M, Rimm A. Cardiac rehabilitation after myocardial-infarction—combined experience. <i>JAMA</i> 1988;260:945-950.</p> <p>Fletcher GF, Balady G, Blair SN, et al. Statement on exercise: Benefits and recommendations for physical activity programs for all Americans—A Statement for Health Professionals by the Committee on Exercise and Cardiac Rehabilitation of the Council on Clinical Cardiology, American Heart Association. <i>Circulation</i> 1996;94:857-862.</p> <p>Corrado D, Pelliccia A, Bjornstad HH, et al. Cardiovascular pre-participation screening of young competitive athletes for prevention of sudden death: proposal for a common European protocol—Consensus statement of the Study Group of Sport Cardiology of the Working Group of Cardiac Rehabilitation and Exercise Physiology and the Working Group of Myocardial and Pericardial Diseases of the European Society of Cardiology. <i>Eur Heart J</i> 2005;26:516-524.</p> <p>Fletcher G, Blair S, Blumenthal J, et al. Statement on Exercise - Benefits and Recommendations for Physical Activity Programs for All Americans—A Statement for Health-Professionals by the Committee on Exercise and Cardiac Rehabilitation of the Council on Clinical Cardiology, American-Heart-Association. <i>Circulation</i> 1992;86:340-344.</p> <p>Ades PA. Medical progress: Cardiac rehabilitation and secondary prevention of coronary heart disease. <i>N Engl J Med</i> 2001;345:892–902.</p> <p>Leon AS, Franklin BA, Costa F, et al. Cardiac rehabilitation and secondary prevention of coronary heart disease - An American Heart Association Scientific Statement from the Council on Clinical Cardiology (Subcommittee on Exercise, Cardiac Rehabilitation, and Prevention) and the Council on Nutrition, Physical Activity, and Metabolism (Subcommittee on Physical Activity), in collaboration with the American Association of Cardiovascular and Pulmonary Rehabilitation. <i>Circulation</i> 2005;111:369-376.</p> <p>Pelliccia A, Fagard R, Bjornstad HH, et al. Recommendations for competitive sports participation in athletes with cardiovascular disease—A consensus document from the Study Group of Sports Cardiology of the Working Group of Cardiac Rehabilitation and Exercise Physiology and the Working Group of Myocardial and Pericardial Diseases of the European Society of Cardiology. <i>Eur Heart J</i> 2005;26:1422-1445.</p> <p>Balady GJ, Williams MA, Ades PA, et al. Core components of cardiac rehabilitation/secondary prevention programs: 2007 update - A scientific statement from the American Heart Association Exercise, Cardiac Rehabilitation, and Prevention Committee, the Council on Clinical Cardiology; the Councils on Cardiovascular Nursing, Epidemiology and Prevention, and Nutrition, Physical Activity, and Metabolism; and the American Association of Cardiovascular and Pulmonary Rehabilitation. <i>Circulation</i> 2007;115:2675-2682.</p> <p>Pennock J, Oyer P, Reitz B, et al. Cardiac transplantation in perspective for the future—survival, complications, rehabilitation, and cost. <i>J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg</i> 1982;83:168-177.</p> <p>Ades P, Waldmann M, McCann W, Weaver S. Predictors of cardiac rehabilitation participation in older coronary patients. <i>Arch Intern Med</i> 1992;152:1033-1035.</p>
Fibromyalgia	<p>Wolfe F, Smythe H, Yunus M, et al. The American-College-of-Rheumatology 1990 Criteria for the Classification of Fibromyalgia—Report of the Multicenter Criteria Committee. <i>Arthritis Rheum</i> 1990;33:160–172.</p> <p>Wolfe F, Ross K, Anderson J, Russell I, Hebert L. The prevalence and characteristics of fibromyalgia in the general population. <i>Arthritis Rheum</i> 1995;38:19-28.</p> <p>Burckhardt C, Clark S, Bennett R. The Fibromyalgia Impact Questionnaire—development and validation. <i>J Rheumatol</i> 1991;18:728-733.</p> <p>Yunus M, Masi A, Calabro J, Miller K, Feigenbaum S. Primary Fibromyalgia (fibrositis)—Clinical study of 50 patients with matched normal controls. <i>Semin Arthritis Rheum</i> 1981;11:151-171.</p> <p>Gracely RH, Petzke F, Wolf JM, Clauw DJ. Functional magnetic resonance imaging evidence of augmented pain processing in fibromyalgia. <i>Arthritis Rheum</i> 2002;46:1333-1343.</p> <p>Crofford L, Pillemer S, Kalogeras K, et al. Hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal axis perturbations in patients with fibromyalgia. <i>Arthritis Rheum</i> 1994;37:1583-1592.</p> <p>Russell I, Orr M, Littman B, et al. Elevated cerebrospinal fluid levels of substance p in patients with the fibromyalgia syndrome. <i>Arthritis Rheum</i> 1994;37:1593-1601.</p> <p>Goldenberg DL, Burckhardt C, Crofford L. Management of fibromyalgia syndrome. <i>JAMA</i> 2004;292:2388-2395.</p> <p>Staud R, Vierck CJ, Cannon RL, Mauderli AP, Price DD. Abnormal sensitization and temporal summation of second pain (wind-up) in patients with fibromyalgia syndrome. <i>Pain</i> 2001;91:165-175.</p> <p>Hudson J, Goldenberg D, Pope H, Keck P, Schlesinger L. Comorbidity of fibromyalgia with medical and psychiatric disorders. <i>Am J Med</i> 1992;92:363-367.</p>
Geriatric rehabilitation	<p>Applegate W, Miller S, Graney M, Elam J, Burns R, Akins D. A randomized, controlled trial of a geriatric assessment unit in a community rehabilitation hospital. <i>N Engl J Med</i>. 1990;322:1572-1578.</p> <p>Sullivan D, Patch G, Walls R, Lipschitz D. Impact of nutrition status on morbidity and mortality in a select population of geriatric rehabilitation patients. <i>Am J Clin Nutr</i> 1990;51:749-758.</p>

**Table 3.** *Continued*

	<p>Kennie D, Reid J, Richardson I, Kiamari A, Kelf C. Effectiveness of geriatric rehabilitative care after fractures of the proximal femur in elderly women - a randomized clinical-trial. <i>Br Med J</i> 1988;297:1083-1086.</p> <p>Hauer K, Rost B, Rutschle K, et al. Exercise training for rehabilitation and secondary prevention of falls in geriatric patients with a history of injurious falls. <i>J Am Geriatr Soc</i> 2001;49:10-20.</p> <p>Sullivan D, Walls R. Impact of nutritional status on morbidity in a population of geriatric rehabilitation patients. <i>J Am Geriatr Soc</i> 1994;42:471-477.</p> <p>Huusko TM, Karppi P, Avikainen V, Kautiainen H, Sulkava R. Randomised, clinically controlled trial of intensive geriatric rehabilitation in patients with hip fracture: Subgroup analysis of patients with dementia. <i>Br Med J</i> 2000;321:1107-1111.</p> <p>Sullivan D, Walls R, Lipschitz D. Protein-energy undernutrition and the risk of mortality within 1 y of hospital discharge in a select population of geriatric rehabilitation patients. <i>Am J Clin Nutr</i> 1991;53:599-605.</p> <p>Goldstein FC, Strasser DC, Woodard JL, Roberts VJ. Functional outcome of cognitively impaired hip fracture patients on a geriatric rehabilitation unit. <i>J Am Geriatr Soc</i> 1997;45:35-42.</p> <p>Ifudu O, Mayers J, Matthew J, Tan C, Cambridge A, Friedman E. Dismal rehabilitation in geriatric inner-city hemodialysis patients. <i>JAMA</i> 1994;271:29-33.</p> <p>Wells JL, Seabrook JA, Stolee P, Borrie MJ, Knoefel F. State of the art in geriatric rehabilitation. Part I: Review of frailty and comprehensive geriatric assessment. <i>Arch Phys Med Rehabil</i> 2003;84:890-897.</p>
Neck pain	<p>Linton SJ. A review of psychological risk factors in back and neck pain. <i>Spine</i> 2000;25:1148-1156.</p> <p>Cote P, Cassidy JD, Carroll L. The Saskatchewan Health and Back Pain Survey—The prevalence of neck pain and related disability in Saskatchewan adults. <i>Spine</i> 1998;23:1689-1698.</p> <p>Bovim G, Schrader H, Sand T. Neck pain in the general population. <i>Spine</i> 1994;19:1307-1309.</p> <p>Makela M, Heliövaara M, Sievers K, Impivaara O, Knekt P, Aromaa A. Prevalence, determinants, and consequences of chronic neck pain in Finland. <i>Am J Epidemiol</i> 1991;134:1356-1367.</p> <p>Sessle B, Hu J, Amano N, Zhong G. Convergence of cutaneous, tooth-pulp, visceral, neck and muscle afferents onto nociceptive and nonnociceptive neurons in trigeminal subnucleus caudalis (medullary Dorsal Horn) and its implications for referred pain. <i>Pain</i> 1986;27:219-235.</p> <p>Borghouts JA, Koes BW, Bouter LM. The clinical course and prognostic factors of non-specific neck pain: A systematic review. <i>Pain</i>. 1998;77:1-13.</p> <p>Ariens GA, van Mechelen W, Bongers PM, Bouter LM, van der Wal G. Physical risk factors for neck pain. <i>Scand J Work Environ Health</i> 2000;26:7-19.</p> <p>Silbert P, Mokri B, Schievink W. Headache and neck pain in spontaneous internal carotid and vertebral artery dissections. <i>Neurology</i> 1995;45:1517-1522.</p> <p>Koes B, Assendelft W, Vanderheijden G, Bouter L, Knipschild P. Spinal manipulation and mobilization for back and neck pain—a blinded review. <i>Br Med J</i> 1991;303:1298-1303.</p> <p>Hogg-Johnson S, van der Velde G, Carroll LJ, et al. The burden and determinants of neck pain in the general population—Results of the bone and joint decade 2000-2010 task force on neck pain and its associated disorders. <i>Spine</i> 2008;33:S39-S51.</p>
Osteoporosis rehabilitation	<p>Pfeifer M, Sinaki M, Geusens P, Boonen S, Preisinger E, Minne HW. Musculoskeletal rehabilitation in osteoporosis: A review. <i>J Bone Miner Res</i> 2004;19:1208-1214.</p> <p>Sinaki M. Post-menopausal spinal osteoporosis - physical therapy and rehabilitation principles. <i>Mayo Clin Proc</i> 1982;57:699-703.</p> <p>Bonner FJ, Sinaki M, Grabis M, et al. Health professional's guide to rehabilitation of the patient with osteoporosis. <i>Osteoporosis Int</i> 2003;14:S1-S22.</p> <p>Chow R, Harrison J, Dornan J. Prevention and rehabilitation of osteoporosis program—exercise and osteoporosis. <i>Int J Rehabil Res</i> 1989;12:49-56.</p> <p>Al-Allaf AW, Pal B, Reid N. An audit of post fracture rehabilitation with special emphasis on osteoporosis assessment and treatment. <i>Clin Exp Rheumatol</i> 1998;16:451-453.</p> <p>Shinchuk LM, Morse L, Huancahuari N, Arum S, Chen TC, Holick MF. Vitamin D deficiency and osteoporosis in rehabilitation inpatients. <i>Arch Phys Med Rehabil</i> 2006;87:904-908.</p> <p>Valtonen KM, Goksoz LA, Jonsson O, Mellstrom D, Alaranta HT, Viikari-Juntura ER. Osteoporosis in adults with meningomyelocele: An unrecognized problem at rehabilitation clinics. <i>Arch Phys Med Rehabil</i> 2006;87:376-382.</p> <p>Watanabe Y. An assessment of osteoporosis in stroke patients on rehabilitation admission. <i>Int J Rehabil Res</i> 2004;027:163-166.</p> <p>Bautmans I, Van Arken J, Van Mackelenberg M, Mets T. Rehabilitation using manual mobilization for thoracic kyphosis in elderly postmenopausal patients with osteoporosis. <i>J Rehabil Med</i> 2010;42:129-135.</p> <p>Vaughan C. Rehabilitation in postmenopausal osteoporosis. <i>Isr J Med Sci</i> 1976;12:652-657.</p>
Pediatric rehabilitation	<p>Ylvisaker M, Adelson PD, Braga LW, et al. Rehabilitation and ongoing support after pediatric TBI—Twenty years of progress. <i>J Head Trauma Rehabil</i> 2005;20:95-109.</p> <p>Iyer LV, Haley SM, Watkins MP, Dumas HM. Establishing minimal clinically important differences for scores on the Pediatric Evaluation of Disability Inventory for inpatient rehabilitation. <i>Phys Ther</i> 2003;83:888-898.</p>



Table 3. Continued

	Steenbeek D, Ketelaar M, Galama K, Gorter JW. Goal attainment scaling in paediatric rehabilitation: A critical review of the literature. <i>Dev Med Child Neurol</i> 2007;49:550-556.
	Damiano DL, DeJong SL. A systematic review of the effectiveness of treadmill training and body weight support in pediatric rehabilitation. <i>J Neurol Phys Ther</i> 2009;33:27-44.
	King G, Cathers T, King S, Rosenbaum P. Major elements of parents' satisfaction and dissatisfaction with pediatric rehabilitation services. <i>Child Health Care</i> 2001;30:111-134.
	Whitman N, West D, Brough F, Welch M. A Study of a Self-Care Rehabilitation Program in Pediatric Asthma. <i>Health Educ Q</i> 1985;12:333-342.
	Nucci A, Burns RC, Armah T, et al. Interdisciplinary management of pediatric intestinal failure: A 10-year review of rehabilitation and transplantation. <i>J Gastrointest Surg</i> 2008;12:429-435.
	Beaulieu CL. Rehabilitation and outcome following pediatric traumatic brain injury. <i>Surg Clin-North Am</i> 2002;82(2):393.
	Lo W, Zamel K, Ponnappa K, et al. The cost of pediatric stroke care and rehabilitation. <i>Stroke</i> 2008;39:161-165.
	Yasukawa A, Patel P, Sisung C. Pilot study: Investigating the effects of Kinesio Taping (R) in an acute pediatric rehabilitation setting. <i>Am J Occup Ther</i> 2006;60:104-110.
Post-polio syndrome	Jubelt B, Cashman N. Neurological manifestations of the post-polio syndrome. <i>Crit Rev Neurobiol</i> 1987;3:199-220.
	Trojan DA, Cashman NR. Post-poliomyelitis syndrome. <i>Muscle Nerve</i> 2005;31:6-19.
	Farbu E, Gilhus NE, Barnes MP, et al. EFNS guideline on diagnosis and management of post-polio syndrome. Report of an EFNS task force. <i>Eur J Neurol</i> 2006;13:795-801.
	Gonzalez H, Sunnerhagen KS, Sjoberg I, Kaponides G, Olsson T, Borg K. Intravenous immunoglobulin for post-polio syndrome: A randomised controlled trial. <i>Lancet Neurol</i> 2006;5(6):493-500.
	Farbu E, Rekand T, Vik-Mo E, Lygren H, Gilhus NE, Aarli JA. Post-polio syndrome patients treated with intravenous immunoglobulin: A double-blinded randomized controlled pilot study. <i>Eur J Neurol</i> 2007;14:60-65.
	Halstead LS. Post-polio syndrome. <i>SciAm</i> 1998;278:42-47.
	Burger H, Marincek C. The influence of post-polio syndrome on independence and life satisfaction. <i>Disabil Rehabil</i> 2000;22:318-322.
	Stuifbergen AK, Seraphine A, Harrison T, Adachi E. An explanatory model of health promotion and quality of life for persons with post-polio syndrome. <i>Soc Sci Med</i> 2005;60:383-393.
	Farbu E, Rekand T, Gilhus NE. Post-polio syndrome and total health status in a prospective hospital study. <i>Eur J Neurol</i> 2003;10:407-413.
	On AY, Oncu J, Uludag B, Ertekin C. Effects of lamotrigine on the symptoms and life qualities of patients with post polio syndrome: A randomized, controlled study. <i>Neurorehabilitation</i> 2005;20:245-251.
Pressure ulcer	Bergstrom N, Braden B. A prospective-study of pressure sore risk among institutionalized elderly. <i>J Am Geriatr Soc</i> 1992;40:747-758.
	Veves A, Murray H, Young M, Boulton A. The risk of foot ulceration in diabetic-patients with high foot pressure—a prospective study. <i>Diabetologia</i> 1992;35:660-663.
	Armstrong DG, Lavery LA. Negative pressure wound therapy after partial diabetic foot amputation: a multicentre, randomised controlled trial. <i>Lancet</i> 2005;366:1704-1710.
	Allman R, Laprade C, Noel L, et al. Pressure sores among hospitalized patients. <i>Ann Intern Med</i> 1986;105:337-342.
	Robson M, Phillips L, Thomason A, Robson L, Pierce G. Platelet-derived growth factor-bb for the treatment of chronic pressure ulcers. <i>Lancet</i> 1992;339:23-25.
	Daniel R, Priest D, Wheatley D. Etiologic factors in pressure sores - an experimental model. <i>Arch Phys Med Rehabil</i> 1981;62:492-498.
	Dinsdale S. Decubitus ulcers - role of pressure and friction in causation. <i>Arch Phys Med Rehabil</i> 1974;55:147-152.
	Bergstrom N, Braden B, Laguzza A, Holman V. The Braden Scale for Predicting Pressure Sore Risk. <i>Nurs Res</i> 1987;36:205-210.
	Reddy M, Gill SS, Rochon PA. Preventing pressure ulcers: A systematic review. <i>JAMA</i> 2006;296:974-984.
	Shull K, Nicolaides A, Fernandesfernandes J, et al. Significance of popliteal reflux in relation to ambulatory venous pressure and ulceration. <i>Arch Surg</i> 1979;114:1304-1306.
Spinal cord injury	Bracken M, Shepard M, Collins W, et al. A randomized, controlled trial of methylprednisolone or naloxone in the treatment of acute spinal-cord injury—Results of the 2nd National Acute Spinal Cord Injury Study. <i>N Engl J Med</i> 1990;322:1405-1411.
	Bradbury EJ, Moon LDF, Popat RJ, et al. Chondroitinase ABC promotes functional recovery after spinal cord injury. <i>Nature</i> 2002;416:636-640.
	Maynard FM, Bracken MB, Creasey G, et al. International standards for neurological and functional classification of spinal cord injury. <i>Spinal Cord</i> 1997;35:266-274.
	Tator C, Fehlings M. Review of the secondary injury theory of acute spinal-cord trauma with emphasis on vascular mechanisms. <i>J Neurosurg</i> 1991;75:15-26.
	Bracken MB, Shepard MJ, Holford TR, et al. Administration of methylprednisolone for 24 or 48 hours or tirilazad mesylate for 48 hours in the treatment of acute spinal cord injury—Results of the Third National Acute Spinal Cord Injury Randomized Controlled Trial. <i>JAMA</i> 1997;277:1597-1604.

**Table 3.** *Continued*

	<p>Crowe MJ, Bresnahan JC, Shuman SL, Masters JN, Beattie MS. Apoptosis and delayed degeneration after spinal cord injury in rats and monkeys. <i>Nat Med</i> 1997;3:73-76.</p> <p>Schallert T, Fleming SM, Leasure JL, Tillerson JL, Bland ST. CNS plasticity and assessment of forelimb sensorimotor outcome in unilateral rat models of stroke, cortical ablation, parkinsonism and spinal cord injury. <i>Neuropharmacology</i> 2000;39:777-787.</p> <p>Bregman B, Kunkelbagden E, Schnell L, Dai H, Gao D, Schwab M. Recovery from spinal cord injury mediated by antibodies to neurite growth-inhibitors. <i>Nature</i> 1995;378:498-501.</p> <p>Liu XZ, Xu XM, Hu R, et al. Neuronal and glial apoptosis after traumatic spinal cord injury. <i>J Neurosci</i> 1997;17:5395-5406.</p> <p>Keirstead HS, Nistor G, Bernal G, et al. Human embryonic stem cell-derived oligodendrocyte progenitor cell transplants remyelinate and restore locomotion after spinal cord injury. <i>J Neurosci</i> 2005;25:4694-4705.</p>
Sports injury	<p>Vanmechelen W, Hlobil H, Kemper H. Incidence, severity, etiology and prevention of sports injuries - a review of concepts. <i>Sports Med</i> 1992;14:82-99.</p> <p>Hootman JM, Dick R, Agel J. Epidemiology of collegiate injuries for 15 sports: Summary and recommendations for injury prevention initiatives. <i>J Athl Train</i> 2007;42:311-319.</p> <p>Dehaven K, Lintner D. Athletic injuries - comparison by age, sport, and gender. <i>Am J Sports Med</i> 1986;14:218-224.</p> <p>Shellock F, Prentice W. Warming-up and stretching for improved physical performance and prevention of sports-related injuries. <i>Sports Med</i> 1985;2:267-278.</p> <p>Olsen OE, Myklebust G, Engebretsen L, Holme I, Bahr R. Exercises to prevent lower limb injuries in youth sports: Cluster randomised controlled trial. <i>Br Med J</i> 2005;330:449-452.</p> <p>Echemendia RJ, Putukian M, Mackin RS, Julian L, Shoss N. Neuropsychological test performance prior to and following sports-related mild traumatic brain injury. <i>Clin J Sport Med</i>. 2001;11:23-31.</p> <p>Thacker SB, Gilchrist J, Stroup DF, Kimsey CD. The impact of stretching on sports injury risk: A systematic review of the literature. <i>Med Sci Sports Exerc</i> 2004;36:371-378.</p> <p>Powell JW, Barber-Foss KD. Injury patterns in selected high school sports: A review of the 1995-1997 seasons. <i>J Athl Train</i> 1999;34:277-284.</p> <p>Garrick J, Requa R. Injuries in High-School Sports. <i>Pediatrics</i> 1978;61:465-469.</p> <p>Conn JM, Annest JL, Gilchrist J. Sports and recreation related injury episodes in the US population, 1997-99. <i>Inj Prev</i> 2003;9:117-123.</p>
Stroke rehabilitation	<p>Shah S, Vanclay F, Cooper B. Improving the Sensitivity of the Barthel Index for Stroke Rehabilitation. <i>J Clin Epidemiol</i> 1989;42:703-709.</p> <p>Lum PS, Burgar CG, Shor PC, Majmundar M, Van der Loos M. Robot-assisted movement training compared with conventional therapy techniques for the rehabilitation of upper-limb motor function after stroke. <i>Arch Phys Med Rehabil</i>. 2002;83:952-959.</p> <p>Granger C, Lewis L, Peters N, Sherwood C, Barrett J. Stroke rehabilitation—analysis of repeated Barthel Index Measures. <i>Arch Phys Med Rehabil</i> 1979;60:14-17.</p> <p>Volpe BT, Krebs HI, Hogan N, Edelman L, Diels C, Aisen M. A novel approach to stroke rehabilitation—Robot-aided sensorimotor stimulation. <i>Neurology</i> 2000;54:1938-1944.</p> <p>Kwakkel G, Wagenaar RC, Koelman TW, Lankhorst GJ, Koetsier JC. Effects of intensity of rehabilitation after stroke—A research synthesis. <i>Stroke</i> 1997;28:1550-1556.</p> <p>Johansson BB. Brain plasticity and stroke rehabilitation—The Willis Lecture. <i>Stroke</i> 2000;31:223-230.</p> <p>Aisen ML, Krebs HI, Hogan N, McDowell F, Volpe BT. The effect of robot-assisted therapy and rehabilitative training on motor recovery following stroke. <i>Arch Neurol</i> 1997;54:443-446.</p> <p>Kramer AM, Steiner JF, Schlenker RE, et al. Outcomes and costs after hip fracture and stroke —A comparison of rehabilitation settings. <i>JAMA</i> 1997;277:396-404.</p> <p>Indredavik B, Bakke F, Slordahl SA, Rokseth R, Haheim LL. Treatment in a combined acute and rehabilitation stroke unit—Which aspects are most important? <i>Stroke</i> 1999;30:917-923.</p> <p>Jack D, Boian R, Merians AS, et al. Virtual reality-enhanced stroke rehabilitation. <i>IEEE Trans Neural Syst Rehabil Eng</i> 2001;9:308-318.</p>
Traumatic brain injury	<p>Faden A, Demediuk P, Panter S, Vink R. The role of excitatory amino acids and Nmda receptors in traumatic brain injury. <i>Science</i> 1989;244:798-800.</p> <p>Marion DW, Penrod LE, Kelsey SF, et al. Treatment of traumatic brain injury with moderate hypothermia. <i>N Engl J Med</i> 1997;336:540-546.</p> <p>Mcintosh T, Vink R, Noble L, et al. Traumatic brain injury in the rat—characterization of a lateral fluid-percussion model. <i>Neuroscience</i> 1989;28:233-244.</p> <p>Hoge CW, McGurk D, Thomas JL, Cox AL, Engel CC, Castro CA. Mild traumatic brain injury in US soldiers returning from Iraq. <i>N Engl J Med</i> 2008;358:453-463.</p> <p>Dixon C, Clifton G, Lighthall J, Yaghmai A, Hayes R. A controlled cortical impact model of traumatic brain injury in the rat. <i>J Neurosci Methods</i> 1991;39:253-262.</p> <p>Thurman DJ, Alverson C, Dunn KA, Guerrero J, Sniezek JE. Traumatic brain injury in the United States: A public health perspective. <i>J Head Trauma Rehabil</i> 1999;14:602-615.</p>

Table 3. Continued

	Langlois JA, Rutland-Brown W, Wald MM. The epidemiology and impact of traumatic brain injury—A brief overview. <i>J Head Trauma Rehabil</i> 2006;21:375-378.
	Yakovlev AG, Knoblach SM, Fan L, Fox GB, Goodnight R, Faden AI. Activation of CPP32-Like caspases contributes to neuronal apoptosis and neurological dysfunction after traumatic brain injury. <i>J Neurosci</i> 1997;17(19):7415-7424.
	Giulian D, Chen J, Ingeman J, George J, Noponen M. The role of mononuclear phagocytes in wound-healing after traumatic injury to adult mammalian brain. <i>J Neurosci</i> 1989;9:4416-4429.
	Bouma G, Muizelaar J, Choi S, Newlon P, Young H. Cerebral-circulation and metabolism after severe traumatic brain injury—the elusive role of ischemia. <i>J Neurosurg</i> 1991;75:685-693.
Wheelchair	Nichols P, Norman P, Ennis J. Wheelchair users shoulder - shoulder pain in patients with spinal cord lesions. <i>Scand J Rehabil Med</i> 1979;11:29-32.
	Curtis KA, Drysdale GA, Lanza D, Kolber M, Vitolo RS, West R. Shoulder pain in wheelchair users with tetraplegia and paraplegia. <i>Arch Phys Med Rehabil</i> 1999;80:453-457.
	Boninger ML, Cooper RA, Baldwin MA, Shimada SD, Koontz A. Wheelchair Pushrim kinetics: Body weight and median nerve function. <i>Arch Phys Med Rehabil</i> 1999;80:910-915.
	Lunt P, Jardine P, Koch M, et al. Correlation between fragment size at D4f104s1 and age at onset or at wheelchair use, with a possible generational-effect, accounts for much phenotypic variation in 4q35-facioscapulohumeral muscular-dystrophy (FSHD). <i>Hum Mol Genet</i> 1995;4:951-958.
	Galan F, Nuttin M, Lew E, et al. A brain-actuated wheelchair: Asynchronous and non-invasive brain-computer interfaces for continuous control of robots. <i>Clin Neurophysiol</i> 2008;119:2159-2169.
	Burnham R, May L, Nelson E, Steadward R, Reid D. Shoulder pain in wheelchair athletes—the role of muscle imbalance. <i>Am J Sports Med</i> 1993;21:238-242.
	Vanderwoude L, Degroot G, Hollander A, Schenau G, Rozendal R. Wheelchair ergonomics and physiological testing of prototypes. <i>Ergonomics</i> 1986;29:1561-1573.
	Glaser R, Sawka M, Brune M, Wilde S. Physiological-responses to maximal effort wheelchair and arm crank ergometry. <i>J Appl Physiol</i> 1980;48:1060-1064.
	Garg A, Owen B, Beller D, Banaag J. A biomechanical and ergonomic evaluation of patient transferring tasks—bed to wheelchair and wheelchair to bed. <i>Ergonomics</i> 1991;34:289-312.
	Mulroy SJ, Gronley JK, Newsam CJ, Perry J. Electromyographic activity of shoulder muscles during wheelchair propulsion by paraplegic persons. <i>Arch Phys Med Rehabil</i> 1996;77:187-193.
Work injury	Leigh JP, Markowitz SB, Fahs M, Shin C, Landrigan PJ. Occupational injury and illness in the United States—Estimates of costs, morbidity, and mortality. <i>Arch Intern Med</i> 1997;157:1557-1568.
	Krause N, Frank JW, Dasinger LK, Sullivan TJ, Sinclair SJ. Determinants of duration of disability and return-to-work after work-related injury and illness: Challenges for future research. <i>Am J Ind Med</i> 2001;40:464-484.
	Azaroff LS, Levenstein C, Wegman DH. Occupational injury and illness surveillance: Conceptual filters explain underreporting. <i>Am J Public Health</i> . 2002;92:1421-1429.
	Van der Naalt J, van Zomeren AH, Sluiter WJ, Minderhoud JM. One year outcome in mild to moderate head injury: The predictive value of acute injury characteristics related to complaints and return to work. <i>J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry</i> 1999;66:207-213.
	MacKenzie EJ, Morris JA, Jurkovich GJ, et al. Return to work following injury: The role of economic, social, and job-related factors. <i>Am J Public Health</i> 1998;88:1630-1637.
	Hazard RG, Haugh LD, Reid S, Preble JB, MacDonald L. Early prediction of chronic disability after occupational low back injury. <i>Spine</i> 1996;21:945-951.
	Dembe AE, Erickson JB, Delbos RG, Banks SM. The impact of overtime and long work hours on occupational injuries and illnesses: New evidence from the United States. <i>Occup Environ Med</i> 2005;62:588-597.
	Bell C, Stout N, Bender T, Conroy C, Crouse W, Myers J. Fatal occupational injuries in the United-States, 1980 through 1985. <i>JAMA</i> 1990;263:3047-3050.
	Crook J, Milner R, Schultz IZ, Stringer B. Determinants of occupational disability following a low back injury: A critical review of the literature. <i>J Occup Rehabil</i> 2002;12:277-295.
	Krause N, Dasinger LK, Deegan LJ, Rudolph L, Brand RJ. Psychosocial job factors and return-to-work after compensated low back injury: A disability phase-specific analysis. <i>Am J Ind Med</i> 2001;40:374-392.

200 list but not represented in the Top 25 list. This was because division of subspecialties by topical keyword search allowed some articles with lower citation counts to be represented. Finally, only 22 articles in the list of 200 Major psychiatric topic areas were published earlier than 30 years ago, which represents the time restriction we placed on the Top 25 List. This finding suggests an emergence of more recognized and influential articles on psychiatric topics and

possibly a trend toward more emphasis on conducting evidence-based research in psychiatric scholarship. All and all, the Top 200 List reveals the diverse topical scope of practice of psychiatry.

It cannot be overstated that citation counts are not a direct measure of quality or importance; rather, citation analysis provides a quantitative measure of scientific recognition [1,10]. One potential bias to this metric is that older

articles have the advantage of time to accumulate citations, whereas younger articles may not have a citation count that adequately reflects their potential impact. This is likely the reason why our lists do not contain any articles published after 2010. Citation analysis can also falsely elevate the importance of review articles or studies that describe new techniques. A high citation count can also occur when articles make extensive claims or broach a highly controversial topic [8].

The use of citation index is not a new approach to evaluating physiatric literature. Almost 30 years ago, Jack D. Key used this strategy to publish "Citation Classics," where he identified 14 of the most-cited articles exclusively from one journal [12]. Interestingly enough, when Dr. Key performed his initial citation count analysis, every single article had been from US investigators. The current Top 25 List represents authors from 5 different countries. In addition, many of the articles in the Top 25 List from 30 years ago had single authors. Our Top 25 List does not have a single article with only one author. Finally, not one of the articles from Dr. Key's original list is currently in the Top 25 List, which is yet another indication that physiatric research is accelerating and that the quantity of impactful studies produced has increased.

Additional studies that attempt to compile particularly impactful physiatric literature exist. More recently, Furlan and Fehlings [11] used citation counts to compile a list of influential articles, although their search scope was limited exclusively to the area of traumatic spinal cord injury. Other lists of physiatric articles have been compiled using subjective methodologies. Francisco et al and more recently, Moroz et al used expert panel consensus to generate the "Essential Articles in Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation Training" (1980-2003 and 2004-2010 update, respectively) [20,21]. These lists have been very valuable, particularly in rehabilitation education.

## LIMITATIONS

Although some might argue that the use of a keyword search to identify articles is less precise and dependent on search engine algorithms, this method has been the standard for many citation count compilations [9-11,22]. It also allows researchers to create lists of top articles without introducing subjective analytic techniques. Slight alterations in keywords and the use of wild-card characters did not appreciably change search results among the top articles in each subject area.

Another critique of keyword searches is that they can be broad or vague, particularly for searches in topical areas with large scopes of practice. For example, pediatric rehabilitation may have generated a more pointed list of articles if it were further subdivided by using search terms such as "cerebral palsy" or "spasticity." However, the purpose of this article was not to delve into an exhaustive list of articles within all

facets of physiatry but rather to provide a general overview of key topic areas.

Another methodologic limitation with regard to keyword searching was the shortcomings within the Web of Science search engine. We were required to search key terms under title, not under topic, because queries under topic often generated lists of articles that were unrelated to the search term. This invariably excluded some worthy articles that did not contain the topical keyword in the title.

The 20 topic areas represented core subjects that a physiatrist might reasonably be expected to recognize. Despite our best efforts to provide a completely objective analysis, it was difficult to develop a methodology without some element of subjectivity to determine these 20 topical areas. To limit the amount of bias within this subjective influence, we attempted to provide a subanalysis by soliciting input from the Academy of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation Membership Councils. Of note, these topics were largely a product of textbooks and the Web of Science, which as discussed previously does not index citations from book sources. This may represent a limitation because the topics might not be equally represented in the published journal literature. The method seems reasonable, however, and the end result useful.

The goal was to identify further articles of interest more specific to the core subjects that were excluded from the initial Top 25 List. For instance, articles about "electrodiagnosis" or "postpolio syndrome," which most physiatrists would consider important areas of core competency, did not appear in the Top 25 List. The use of time parameters for selecting published works had a major influence on the articles that were selected. The years 1984 to 2013 were chosen because they span the last 3 decades of work. This time frame also overlaps with Dr. Key's search time span parameters in his Citation Classics from the 1980s and thus represents a continuum from his work [12]. An attempt was made to strike a balance between time parameters resulting in a list of historic articles that had gained large citation counts by attrition but lacked relevancy to modern practice and time parameters that would result in a list with no historical context. By using a 30-year time span parameter, we were able to achieve a finalized list that has a balanced representation of works from the 1980s, 1990s, and 2000s and demonstrates the progress of physiatric literature over three decades. As mentioned previously, although no such time parameters were enforced on the Top 200 Topical list, the fact that only 10% of the articles are more than 30 years old demonstrates the burgeoning of new impactful literature within the field.

In general, Google Scholar and Scopus provide slightly greater citation counts than the Web of Science. Despite differences in the absolute value of citations per article, however, our research demonstrated very little change to the overall ranking of articles. Also, we chose the Web of Science because it has been established as the predominate index

used in citation analysis studies, likely because it was the first index and remains the most prominent.

## CONCLUSION

By examining psychiatry's top cited articles, it becomes apparent how scientific discovery over the years has shaped the field and how new topics have emerged. The progression of psychiatric literature from studies of validation of measures and scales to randomized trials testing novel therapeutic approaches is evident. There has clearly been an increased emphasis and interest in evidence-based research in the field as older articles, which would be expected to have accumulated more citations than newer articles, represent a much smaller portion of our rank lists than might be expected. It is also clear that there has been a shift to multiauthor collaborative projects and more representation from international investigators. These trends illustrate the evolution of scope and focus of psychiatric research. As the Academy passes its 75th anniversary this compilation of 3 decades of citation classics serves as a reminder of past achievements, a tool for training current psychiatrists, and a guide for future research.

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors thank Dr. Stuart M. Weinstein and Dr. Michael Fredericson for their early guidance and encouragement as well as the 2009-2011 Member Council Leaders Dr. Samuel Bierner, Dr. Venu Akuthota, and Dr. Brian Krabak, MD.

## REFERENCES

1. Pendlebury DA. White Paper: Using Bibliometrics in Evaluating Research. Available at: [http://wokinfo.com/media/mtrp/UsingBibliometricsinEval\\_WP.pdf](http://wokinfo.com/media/mtrp/UsingBibliometricsinEval_WP.pdf). Accessed November 22, 2013.
2. Thomson Reuters. Web of Science Core Collection. Available at: <http://thomsonreuters.com/web-of-science/>. Accessed November 22, 2013.
3. Vine R. Google Scholar. *J Med Libr Assoc* 2006;94:97-99.
4. Burnham JF. Scopus database: A review. *Biomed Digit Libr* 2006;3:1.
5. Adriaanse LS, Rensleigh C. Web of Science, Scopus and Google Scholar: A content comprehensiveness comparison. *Electron Libr* 2013; 31:727-744.
6. Bakkalbasi N, Bauer K, Glover J, Wang L. Three options for citation tracking: Google Scholar, Scopus and Web of Science. *Biomed Digit Libr* 2006;3:7.
7. Falagas ME, Pitsouni EI, Malietzis GA, Pappas G. Comparison of PubMed, Scopus, Web of Science, and Google Scholar: Strengths and weaknesses. *FASEB J* 2008;22:338-342.
8. Garfield E. 100 citation classics from the Journal of the American Medical Association. *JAMA* 1987;257:52-59.
9. Paladugu R, Schein M, Gardezi S, Wise L. One hundred citation classics in general surgical journals. *World J Surg* 2002;26:1099-1105.
10. Fenton JE, Roy D, Hughes JP, Jones AS. A century of citation classics in otolaryngology-head and neck surgery journals. *J Laryngol Otol* 2002; 116:494-498.
11. Furlan JC, Fehlings MG. A Web-based systematic review on traumatic spinal cord injury comparing the "citation classics" with the consumers' perspectives. *J Neurotrauma* 2006;23:156-169.
12. Key JD. Citation classics: most-cited articles from archives of PM&R. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil* 1988;69:1058-1059.
13. American Academy of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation. Conditions & Treatments. Available at: <https://www.aapmr.org/patients/conditions/Pages/default.aspx>. Accessed November 21, 2013.
14. Braddom RL. *Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation*. Philadelphia, PA: WB Saunders Inc; 2010.
15. Frontera WR. DeLisa's *Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation: Principles and Practice*, Two Volume Set. Philadelphia, PA: Lippincott Williams & Wilkins; 2012.
16. Cuccurullo S. *Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation Board Review*. New York, NY: Demos Medical Publishing; 2004.
17. Choi H. *Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation Pocketpedia*. Philadelphia, PA: Lippincott Williams & Wilkins; 2003.
18. Lum PS, Burgar CG, Shor PC, Majmundar M, Van der Loos M. Robot-assisted movement training compared with conventional therapy techniques for the rehabilitation of upper-limb motor function after stroke. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil* 2002;83:952-959.
19. Wolfe F, Smythe H, Yunus M, et al. The American College of Rheumatology 1990 Criteria for the Classification of Fibromyalgia—Report of the Multicenter Criteria Committee. *Arthritis Rheum* 1990;33: 160-172.
20. PM&R Alliance, Baylor College of Medicine/University of Texas-Houston. Essential Articles in Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation Training: 1980-2003. Available at: [http://c.ymcdn.com/sites/www.physiatry.org/resource/resmgr/essential\\_articles.pdf](http://c.ymcdn.com/sites/www.physiatry.org/resource/resmgr/essential_articles.pdf). Accessed November 21, 2013.
21. PM&R Alliance, Baylor College of Medicine/University of Texas-Houston. Essential Articles in Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation Training: 2004-2010. Available at: [http://c.ymcdn.com/sites/www.physiatry.org/resource/resmgr/essential\\_articles\\_update.pdf](http://c.ymcdn.com/sites/www.physiatry.org/resource/resmgr/essential_articles_update.pdf). Accessed November 22, 2013.
22. Garfield E. Citation indexes for science; a new dimension in documentation through association of ideas. *Science* 1955;122: 108-111.