World Patent Information 35 (2013) 281–288

Contents lists available at SciVerse ScienceDirect

World Patent Information

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/worpatin

Literature listing

1. Books

1.1. Recent reports and other monographs

Towards enhanced patent valorisation for growth and jobs. European Commission, Commission staff working document, Brussels, 21.12.2012, SWD (2012) 458 final, http://ec.europa.eu/enterprise/policies/innovation/files/swd-2012-458_en.pdf.

World Intellectual Property Indicators – 2012 Edition. World Intellectual Property Organization, http://www.wipo.int/ipstats/en/wipi/index.html.

IP5Statistics Report 2011 Edition. European Patent Office, Japan Patent Office, Korean Intellectual Property Office, State Intellectual Property Office of the People's Republic of China, United States Patent and Trademark Office, Edited by USPTO, Alexandria, Virginia, December 2012, http://www.fiveipoffices.org/stats/statisticalreports.html.

Promoting Access to Medical Technologies and Innovation: Intersections between Public Health, Intellectual Property and Trade The World Health Organization (WHO), World Intellectual Property Organization (WIPO) and World Trade Organization (WTO), 5 February 2013, http://www.wto.org/english/news_e/news13_e/trip_05feb13_e.htm.

European Commission study on the value of EU GIs European Commission, October 2012, http://europa.eu/rapid/press-release_MEMO-13-163_en.htm?locale=en.

Recommendations for improving the patent system, 2012 Statement by the EPO Economic and Scientific Advisory Board European Patent Office, Munich February 2013, http://documents.epo.org/projects/babylon/eponot.nsf/0/835DA6DA218CB760C1257B2C004E809E/$FILE/ESAB_statement_en.pdf

Derwent World Patents Index – The state of global innovation. Thomson Reuters, February 2013, http://img.en25.com/Web/ThomsonReutersScience/1002126_6700.pdf.

Building bricks – Exploring the global research and innovation impact of Brazil, Russia, India, China and South Korea Jonathan Adams, David Pendlebury, Bob Stembridge, Thomson Reuters, February 2013, http://sciencewatch.com/sites/sw/files/sw-article/media/grr-brick.pdf.

Agrifoods: A brief overview of the UK agrifood patent landscape. UK Intellectual Property Office, June 2012, http://www.ipo.gov.uk/informatic-agrifood.pdf.

1.2. Reviews are available as follows

Trade Marks Law and Practice (3rd edition), Alison Firth, Gary Lea and Peter Cornford, Jordans Publishing, 2012. Reviewed by Dimaridis R., Journal of Intellectual Property Law & Practice, 2013, 8 (1), 90–91.

Practitioner's Manual for Trademark Prosecution and Litigation in the EU, Felix Hauck, Sweet & Maxwell, 2011. Reviewed by Clark B., Journal of Intellectual Property Law & Practice, 2012, 7 (12), 900–901.

Developing a Legal Paradigm for Patents, Helen Gubby, Eleven International Publishing, 2012. Reviewed by Cook T., Journal of Intellectual Property Law & Practice, 2012, 7 (12), 902–903.

Understanding patent eligibility of new technology in the United States. Reviewed by Macedo C.R., Hudak S.A., Journal of Intellectual Property Law & Practice, 2012, 7 (12), 865–871.

Relocating the Law of Geographical Indications, Dev Gangjee, Cambridge University Press, 2012. Reviewed by Hall S., Journal of Intellectual Property Law & Practice, 2013, 8 (3), 252.

2. Journals

The listing in this issue includes entries found using SciVerse Scopus™, Elsevier's abstract and indexing database which gives access to almost 18,000 peer-reviewed titles from more than 5000 international publishers.

2.1. Search techniques, databases and analysis: classification: searcher certification

2.1.1. Search techniques, databases

A co-training based method for Chinese patent semantic annotation. Chen X., Peng Z., Zeng C., ACM International Conference Proceeding Series, 2012, http://dx.doi.org/10.1145/2396761.2398645 2379-2382.

A hybrid keyword and patent class methodology for selecting relevant sets of patents for a technological field. Benson C.L., Magee C.L., Scientometrics, 2012, http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s11192-012-0930-3.

A multi-expert system for ranking patents: An approach based on fuzzy pay-off distributions and a TOPSIS-AHP framework. Collan M., Fedrizzi M., Luukka P., Expert Systems with Applications, 2013, http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.eswa.2013.02.012.

A patent intelligence system for strategic technology planning. Park H., Kim K., Choi S., Yoon J., Expert Systems with Applications, 2013, 40 (7), 2373–2390.

A scalable approach for performing proximal search for verbose patent search queries. Bhatia S., He B., He Q., Spangler S., ACM International Conference Proceeding Series, 2012, http://dx.doi.org/10.1145/2396761.2398702.

0172-2190/$ – see front matter
http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.wpi.2013.04.003

Acquiring lexical knowledge from query logs for query expansion in patent searching. Tannebaum W., Rauber A., Proceedings – IEEE 6th International Conference on Semantic Computing, ICSC 2012, 6337124.

Acquisition method for principle solution of mechanical patent. Xue C., Qiu Q., Feng P., Deng K., Nongye Jixie Xuebao/Transactions of the Chinese Society for Agricultural Machinery, 2013, 44 (1), 222–229.

An advanced search engine for patent analytics in medicinal chemistry. Pasche E., Gobeill J., Teodoro D., Gaudinat A., Vishnyakova D., Lovis C., Ruch P., Studies in Health Technology and Informatics, 2012, 180, 204–209.

An affinity based complex artificial immune system and its application on trademark retrieval. Wang W., Wei C.-H., Li Y., Wang L., Advanced Materials Research, 2013, 616–618, 2166–2170.

An empirical comparison of parsers in constraining reordering for E-J patent machine translation. Goto I., Utiyama M., Onishi T., Sumita E., Journal of Information Processing, 2012, 20 (4), 871–882.

Can't see the forest for the leaves: Similarity and distance measures for hierarchical taxonomies with a patent classification example. McNamee R.C., Research Policy, 2013, http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.respol.2013.01.006.

Constructing an intelligent patent network analysis method. Wu C.-C., Yao C.-B., Data Science Journal, 2012, 11, 110–125.

Extracting semantic information from Chinese language patent claims. Tang Y., Yang S., Chai J., Liu S., Advances in Intelligent Systems and Computing, 2013, 180 AISC, 547–556.

Extraction of effect and technology terms from a patent document. Nonaka H., Kobayashi A., Sakaji H., Suzukl Y., Sakaif H., Masuyama S., Journal of Japan Industrial Management Association, 2012, 63 (2), 105–111.

Finding nuggets in IP portfolios: Core patent mining through textual temporal analysis. Hu P., Huang M., Xu P., Li W., Usadi A.K., Zhu X., ACM International Conference Proceeding Series, 2012, http://dx.doi.org/10.1145/2396761.2398524.

Identification of university-based patents: A new large-scale approach. Dornbusch F., Schmoch U., Schulze N., Bethke N., Research Evaluation, 2013, 22 (1), 52–63.

Image search in patents: A review. Bhatti N., Hanbury A., International Journal on Document Analysis and Recognition, 2012, http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10032-012-0197-5.

Intellectual Property Rights information system with location aware capability. Mantoro T., Prihastomo Y., Proceedings of 2012 IEEE Conference on Control, Systems and Industrial Informatics, ICCSII 2012, 6470470, 41–45.

Interactive overlay maps for US patent (USPTO) data based on International Patent Classification (IPC). Leydesdorff L., Kushnir D., Rafols I., Scientometrics, 2012, http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s11192-012-0923-2.

Literalism as a virtue in patent translation. Gilboy H., Panacea, 2012, 13 (36), 285–290.

Ontology-based neural network for patent knowledge management in design collaboration. Trappey A.J.C., Trappey C.V., Chiang T.-A., Huang Y.-H., International Journal of Production Research, 2013, 51 (7), 1992–2005.

Research on a hybrid patent clustering based on SOM model. Gui J., Zhang Z., Zhu X., Li P., ICIC Express Letters, 2013, 7 (6), 1839–1846.

The effects of tabular-based content extraction on patent document clustering. Koessler D.R., Martin B.W., Kiefer B.E., Berry M.W., Algorithms, 2012, 5 (4), 490–505.

The ITC as an attractive patent litigation forum for the biotechnology and pharmaceutical industry. Burton C.A., Margonis L.E., Pharmaceutical Patent Analyst, 2013, 2 (2), 177–180.

The new cooperative patent classification system: Improving patent searching. Gange D., Online, 2013, 37 (1), 27–30.

Trademark retrieval algorithm based on combination of boundary and region features. Song R.-X., Sun H.-L., Wang X.-C., Qi D.-X., Ruan Jian Xue Bao/Journal of Software, 2012, 23 (SUPPL.2), 85–93.

Why pharmaceutical scientists need to keep an eye on Bowman v. Monsanto. Trippe A.J., Pharmaceutical Patent Analyst, 2013, 2 (1), 5–7.

A method for extracting grouping areas of good continuity parts in trademark images. Befane B., Abe K., Hayashi T., ACM International Conference Proceeding Series, 2012, http://dx.doi.org/10.1145/2425836.2425895.

2.1.2. Analysis and statistics

A bibliometric analysis of Chinese harvesting and mowing patent application for invention in 2011. Cheng N., Dong K., Geomatics and Information Science of Wuhan University, 2012, 37 (SUPPL.2), 113–116.

A case study of technology innovation approach: The construction of technology forecasting system. Wang X., Tang Y., Proceeding of 2012 International Conference on Information Management, Innovation Management and Industrial Engineering, ICIII 2012, 1, 6339754, 150–153.

A patent landscape analysis for organic photovoltaic solar cells: Identifying the technology's development phase. Lizin S., Leroy J., Delvenne C., Dijk M., De Schepper E., Van Passel S., Renewable Energy, 2013, 57, 5–11.

A study of the trends of printed OLED innovation based on patent analysis. Zhang X., Zheng J., Li Z., Gaojishu Tongxin/Chinese High Technology Letters, 2012, 22 (12), 1316–1321.

A surname-based patent-related indicator: The contribution of Jewish inventors to US patents. Kissin I., Bradley Jr. E.L., Scientometrics, 2013, http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s11192-013-1005-9.

A systemic view on knowledge-based development metrics. Titze M., Schwartz M., Brachert M., International Journal of Knowledge-Based Development, 2012, 3 (1), 35–57.

An exploratory analysis of patent fencing in pharmaceuticals: The case of PDE5 inhibitors. Sternitzke C., Research Policy, 2013, 42 (2), 542–551.

An identification of technology opportunity on dye-sensitized solar cell. Chiu T.-F., Hong C.-F., Pai C.-A., Yang S.-W., Proceedings – 3rd International Conference on Innovations in Bio-Inspired Computing and Applications, IBICA 2012, 6337688, 334–339.

An SAO-based text-mining approach for technology roadmapping using patent information. Choi S., Kim H., Yoon J., Kim K., Lee J.Y., R and D Management, 2013, 43 (1), 52–74.

Analysis of the relationship between convergence and open innovation in the secondary battery field using patent information. Jeong D.H., Kwon Y.I., Jang H.-J., International Journal of Advancements in Computing Technology, 2013, 5 (5), 118–126.

Analysis on patent level and technological competitiveness in green car area. Kwon Y.-I., Advances in Information Sciences and Service Sciences, 2012, 4 (22), 285–293.

Chinese university patents cited in the scientific research papers. Yang Z., Geomatics and Information Science of Wuhan University, 2012, 37 (SUPPL.2), 55–58.

Do firms face a trade-off between the quantity and the quality of their inventions? de Rassenfosse G., Research Policy, 2013, http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.respol.2013.02.005.

Do references in transferred patent documents signal learning opportunities for the receiving firms? Wang Y., Pan X., Chen Y., Gu X., Scientometrics, 2013, http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s11192-013-0962-3.

Emerging technology exploration using rare information retrieval and link analysis. Chiu T.-F., Hong C.-F., Chiu Y.-T., Lecture Notes in Computer Science, 2012, 7654 LNAI (PART 2), 540–549.

Employing patent data to measure automobile components suppliers' knowledge creation. Lin Y., Cao R., International Conference on Management Science and Engineering – Annual Conference Proceedings, 2012, 6414326, 1187–1192.

Exploring complexity in the relationship between entrepreneurial knowledge exploration and exploitation: Individual assigned patents in Ireland, 1976–2009. O'Connor M., Hewitt-Dundas N., Proceedings of the European Conference on Knowledge Management, ECKM, 2012, 2, 865–872.

Five year trends of ICT convergence based on KIPO issued patents. Lee H., Choi M., International Conference on ICT Convergence, 2012, 6387128, 622–626.

Global technological collaboration network: Network analysis of international co-inventions. De Prato G., Nepelski D., Journal of Technology Transfer, 2012, http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10961-012-9285-4.

Have Chinese firms learned from their prior technology in-licensing? An analysis based on patent citations. Li-Ying J., Wang Y., Salomo S., Vanhaverbeke W., Scientometrics, 2013, 95 (1), 183–195.

LED English patents analysis using term segmentation and word segmentation system approach. Lin Z.-C., Lin W.-H., Du C.-H., Journal of the Chinese Society of Mechanical Engineers, Transactions of the Chinese Institute of Engineers, Series C, 2012, 33 (1), 1–10.

Modeling patent quality: A system for large-scale patentability analysis using text mining. Hido S., Suzuki S., Nishiyama R., Imamichi T., Takahashi R., Nasukawa T., Ide T., Kanehira Y., Yohda R., Ueno T., Tajima A., Watanabe T., Journal of Information Processing, 2012, 20 (3), 655–666.

Multiple regression analysis of a patent's citation frequency and quantitative characteristics: The case of Japanese patents. Yoshikane F., Scientometrics, 2013, http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s11192-013-0953-4.

Patent analysis for fraud control technologies in global supply chain. Lim H.-S., Chang T.-W., Park H., ICIC Express Letters, 2013, 7 (5), 1623–1628.

Patent analysis in disaster-safety positioning technologies. Lee H., Kim Y., Communications in Computer and Information Science, 2012, 351 CCIS, 76–80.

Patent analysis of the Chinese electric vehicles based on scientometrics. Yan J., Miao X., Yan B., Wuhan Daxue Xuebao (Xinxi Kexue Ban)/Geomatics and Information Science of Wuhan University, 2012, 37 (SUPPL.2), 34–37.

Patent analysis on graphene materials in lithium-ion batteries. Jia L., Hui Z.M., Chao Y., Min S., Yong T.Z., Peng L., Applied Mechanics and Materials, 2013, 268 (PART 1), 568–573.

Patent Management for Technology Forecasting: A Case study of the bio-industry. Jun S., Park S.S., Jang D.S., Journal of Intellectual Property Rights, 2012, 17 (6), 539–546.

Prediction of emerging technologies based on analysis of the US patent citation network. Erdi P., Makovi K., Somogyvari Z., Strandburg K., Tobochnik J., Volf P., Zalanyi L., Scientometrics, 2013, 95 (1), 225–242.

Produce patents or journal articles? A cross-country comparison of R&D productivity change. Chen C.-P., Hu J.-L., Yang C.-H., Scientometrics, 2013, 94 (3), 833–849.

Research on technology selection for enterprises with tools of patent analysis. Wang Y.-L., International Conference on Management Science and Engineering – Annual Conference Proceedings, 2012, 6414394, 1651–1657.

Selection bias in innovation studies: A simple test. de Rassenfosse G., Schoen A., Wastyn A., Technological Forecasting and Social Change, 2013, http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.techfore.2013.02.012.

Statistical analysis and research on the authorized patent of Donghua University. Chen S.-M., Liu J.-P., Rong H.-Q., Mao L., Zhou X.-O., Shen G., Journal of Donghua University (English Edition), 2012, 29 (4), 370–372.

Technological collaboration patterns in solar cell industry based on patent inventors and assignees analysis. Lei X.-P., Zhao Z.-Y., Zhang X., Chen D.-Z., Huang M.-H., Zheng J., Liu R.-S., Zhang J., Zhao Y.-H., Scientometrics, 2012, 1–15.

Technology life cycle analysis method based on patent documents. Gao L., Porter A.L., Wang J., Fang S., Zhang X., Ma T., Wang W., Huang L., Technological Forecasting and Social Change, 2013, 80 (3), 398–407.

Technology network model using bipartite social network analysis. Jun S., Communications in Computer and Information Science, 2012, 340 CCIS, 28–35.

The bibliographic coupling approach to filter the cited and uncited patent citations: A case of electric vehicle technology. Yeh H.-Y., Sung Y.-S., Yang H.-W., Tsai W.-C., Chen D.-Z., Scientometrics, 2013, 94 (1), 75–93.

The effect of institutional proximity in non-local university-industry collaborations: An analysis based on Chinese patent data. Hong W., Su Y.-S., Research Policy, 2013, 42 (2), 454–464.

The patterns and propensity for international co-invention: The case of China. Chen J.H., Jang S.-L., Chang C.-H., Scientometrics, 2013, 94 (2), 481–495.

Transfer of knowledge through patents. Popescu N., Proceedings of the European Conference on Knowledge Management, ECKM, 2012, 2, 1557–1560.

Using patent analysis to evaluate and select matching M&A candidates for acquiring company. Guo C.-D., Huang L., Zhao Y.-H., Yang C., Journal of Beijing Institute of Technology (English Edition), 2012, 21 (SUPPL.1), 68–76.

Visualization of patents and papers in terahertz technology: A comparative study. Liu G., Scientometrics, 2013, 94 (3), 1037–1056.

What Drives the International Transfer of Climate Change Mitigation Technologies? Empirical Evidence from Patent Data. Dechezlepretre A., Glachant M., Meniere Y., Environmental and Resource Economics, 2013, 54 (2), 161–178.

2.2. Patents

2.2.1. Relating to life sciences and pharmaceuticals

Access denied: A review and critique of the Patented Medicines (Notice of Compliance) Regulations. Hashim M.R., Journal of Intellectual Property Law & Practice, 2013, 8 (1), 23–32.

Biologically relevant chemical space navigator: From patent and structure-activity relationship analysis to library acquisition and design. Rabal O., Oyarzabal J., Journal of Chemical Information and Modeling, 2012, 52 (12), 3123–3137.

Biosimilars: Impact of differences with Hatch–Waxman. Kowalchyk K., Crowley-Weber C., Pharmaceutical Patent Analyst, 2013, 2 (1), 29–37.

Construction of patent infringement litigation early warning index system for the pharmaceutical enterprises. Wang Y., Applied Mechanics and Materials, 2013, 268 (PART 1), 2045–2049.

ECJ – judgment of 18.10.2011 (Grand Chamber), Oliver Brüstle v Greenpeace Ev., C-34/10. Lopez P.N., Revista de Derecho Comunitario Europeo, 2012, 42 (16), 593–605.

Federal Circuit holds isolated DNA is patent-eligible – Association for Molecular Pathology v. United States Patent and Trademark Office (Myriad III). Yao C., American Journal of Law & Medicine, 2012, 38 (4), 755–757.

Is the USPTO playing the race card?: The inclusion of race elements in personalized medicine patents ignites debate. Brinckerhoff C.C., Genetic Engineering and Biotechnology News, 2012, 32 (1), 8–10.

Les savoirs traditionnels médicinaux pillés par le droit des brevets? Dumesnil C., Revue Internationale de Droit Economique, 2012, 26 (3), 321–343.

Measuring the welfare effects of intellectual property rights changes on the Korean pharmaceutical industry: The case of Korea-US free trade agreement. Oh K.-Y., Kim T., Asia-Pacific Journal of Accounting and Economics, 2012, 19 (3), 278–291.

Open Variety Rights: Rethinking the Commodification of Plants. Deibel E., Journal of Agrarian Change, 2013, 13 (2), 282–309.

Optimal choice of pharmaceutical patent protection strength: China and Australia (1986-2009). Yao J., Peng H., Proceedings of the 2012 2nd International Conference on Business Computing and Global Informatization, BCGIN 2012, 6382545, 377–379.

Optimal intellectual property rights protection during an international health emergency. Brown D.K., Norman G., Regional Science and Urban Economics, 2012, 42 (6), 1009–1016.

Patent exhaustion & self-replicating technologies: Supreme court considers question in seed case with broad implications for industry. Ward M.R., Krevans R., Chivvis M., Genetic Engineering and Biotechnology News, 2012, 32 (14), 10-.

Patents and market value in the U.S. pharmaceutical industry: New evidence from panel threshold regression. Chen Y.-S., Shih C.-Y., Chang C.-H., Scientometrics, 2013, http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s11192-013-0999-3.

Plant variety protection in Thailand: The need for a new coherent framework. Lertdhamtewe P., Journal of Intellectual Property Law & Practice, 2013, 8 (1), 33–42.

Practical Reasoning, Impartiality and the European Patent Office: The Legal Regulation of Biotechnology. Sideri K., European Law Journal, 2012, 18 (6), 821–843.

Prizes for Pharmaceuticals? Mitigating the social ineffectiveness of the current pharmaceutical patent arrangement. Muzaka V., Third World Quarterly, 2013, 34 (1), 151–169.

Protecting bioinformatics as intellectual property. Gaff B.M., Loren R.A., Dickson G., Computer, 2013, 46 (1), 6419706, 15–17.

Routine rejection: Is the EPO's approach to antibody and polymorph claims correct, balanced and justified? Ingham S., Smyth D., Journal of Intellectual Property Law & Practice, 2013, 8 (2), 154–164.

The CEO of Novartis on growing after a patent cliff. Jimenez J., Harvard Business Review, 2012, 90 (12), 39–42.

The changing relationship between patent owners and researchers. Holdreith J.M., Kurtz J.R., Pharmaceutical Patent Analyst, 2013, 2 (2), 173–176.

The difference between discovery and invention in biomolecules and biologicals. Engelhardt E., Intellectual Property Journal, 2012, 24 (3), 247–264.

The invention of an investment incentive for pharmaceutical innovation. Basheer S., The Journal of World Intellectual Property, 2012, 15 (5–6), 305–364.

The more you spend, the more you get? The effects of R & D and capital expenditures on the patenting activities of biotechnology firms. Piergiovanni R., Santarelli E., Scientometrics, 2013, 94 (2), 497–521.

Troubles in paradise?: Voiding patents and setting prices in India. Martorelli M.A., Contract Pharma, 2012, (9).

Uncertainty over pharmaceutical and biotech product patents in Pakistan. Sadaf S., Akhtar M.W., Iqbal Z., Nature Biotechnology, 2012, 30 (12), 1198–1200.

What AstraZeneca means for your business. Treacy P., Lawrance S., Wilson S., Managing Intellectual Property, 2013, (226), 34–38.

2.2.2. Relating to software

Of smart phone wars and software patents. Graham S., Vishnubhakat S., Journal of Economic Perspectives, 2013, 27 (1), 67–86.

Open source software paradigm and intellectual property rights. Vasudeva V.N., Journal of Intellectual Property Rights, 2012, 17 (6), 511–520.

Protection of property rights in computer software. Novakovic J., 2012 20th Telecommunications Forum, TELFOR 2012 – Proceedings, 419150, 71–74.

The development of business method patenting in the logistics industry – Insights from the case of intelligent sensor networks. Niemann H., Moehrle M.G., Walter L., International Journal of Technology Management, 2013, 61 (2), 177–197.

2.2.3. Policy and strategic issues

Appropriability, patents, and rates of innovation in complex products industries. Marengo L., Pasquali C., Valente M., Dosi G., Economics of Innovation and New Technology, 2012, 21 (8), 753–773.

Are we covered? Understand the strengths and weaknesses of a patenting policy. Heines M.H., Chemical Engineering Progress, 2012, 108 (11), 44–49.

Assessing the Economic Effects of Patents. Dressler M., Journal of the Knowledge Economy, 2012, 3 (3), 294–301.

Between Knowledge And Technology: Patenting Methods, Rethinking Materiality. Biagioli M., Anthropological Forum, 2012, 22 (3), 285–300.

Convenient Stalemates: Why International Patent Law Negotiations Continue Despite Deadlock. Eimer T.R., Schuren V., New Political Economy, 2013, http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/13563467.2013.742882.

Did patents of introduction encourage technology transfer? Long-term evidence from the Spanish innovation system. Saiz P., Cliometrica, 2013, http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s11698-013-0094-2.

Do applicant patent citations matter? Cotropia C.A., Lemley M.A., Sampat B., Research Policy, 2013, http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.respol.2013.01.003.

Enhanced cooperation in the area of unitary patent protection and European integration. Ullrich H., ERA Forum, 2013, http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s12027-013-0275-2.

Federal circuit patent precedent: An empirical study of institutional authority and intellectual property ideology. Krohn D.R.P., Tiller E.H., Wisconsin Law Review, 2012 (4), 1177–1213.

Global patent harmonization: An idea whose time has come. Barber W.G., IIC International Review of Intellectual Property and Competition Law, 2013, 44 (1), 1–3.

Has an agreement been reached on a unitary patent and a unified patent court for Europe, and if so, what is it? Cook T., Journal of Intellectual Property Rights, 2012, 17 (6), 568–572.

Patent holdup, the ITC, and the public interest. Chien C.V., Lemley M.A., Cornell Law Review, 2012, 98 (1), 1–46.

Recent research on the economics of patents. Hall B.H., Harhoff D., Annual Review of Economics, 2012, 4, 541–565.

The case against patents. Boldrin M., Levine D.K., Journal of Economic Perspectives, 2013, 27 (1), 3–22.

2.2.4. Other patent topics

'Hamlet without the prince': Can the Unitary Patent Regulation strut its stuff without Articles 6–8? Wadlow C., Journal of Intellectual Property Law & Practice, 2013, 8 (3), 207–212.

A marriage of convenience: World economy and Intellectual Property from 1990 to 2012. Straus J., AIPLA Quarterly, 2012, 40 (4), 633–684.

A practical guide to patent reform: What you need to know now to be ready for the new first-to-file system. Brinckerhoff C.C., Genetic Engineering and Biotechnology News, 2012, 32 (13), 10–11.

A reply to Etzkowitz' comments to Leydesdorff and Martin (2010): Technology transfer and the end of the Bayh-Dole effect. Leydesdorff L., Meyer M., Scientometrics, 2013, 1–8.

A study on conflicts of interest in Academia-Industry co-operation: The defence for and modifications to the Bayh-Dole Act (Part 1). Wang W-L., European Intellectual Property Review, 2012, 34 (12), 834–846.

A study on conflicts of interest in Academia-Industry co-operation: The defence for and modifications to the Bayh-Dole Act (Part 2). Wang W-L., European Intellectual Property Review, 2012, 35 (1), 34–47.

Academic patenting: The importance of industry support. Lawson C., Journal of Technology Transfer, 2012, http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10961-012-9266-7.

Amendment to claims of granted patent under Chinese patent law. Huaiwen H., Journal of Intellectual Property Rights, 2013, 18 (1), 67–71.

Avoiding the 'fiscal cliff' may result in corrections to the AIA that are more than 'technical'. Tridico A.C., Lev D.A., CIPA Journal, 2012, 41 (12), 697–698.

Challenges to the patent system. D'Agostino G., Intellectual Property Journal, 2012, 25 (1), 57–74.

EPO revokes patent in record time, relying on documents disclosed in UK. Stothers C., World Intellectual Property Report, 2012, 26 (10), 45–48.

Construction of patent troll patent evaluation index system. Song Y., Wuhan Daxue Xuebao (Xinxi Kexue Ban)/Geomatics and Information Science of Wuhan University, 2012, 37 (SUPPL.2), 11–13.

Europe has spoken: Now it is up to the judges. Westmacott P., Johnson A., Intellectual Asset Management, 2013, (58), 11–21.

Extracting the price of a patent: Enablement and written description. Fisher M., Intellectual Property Quarterly, 2012, (4), 262–288.

Government-sponsored university-industry collaboration and the production of nanotechnology patents in US universities. Ponomariov B., Journal of Technology Transfer, 2013, http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10961-013-9301-3.

Harnessing the power of patent information to accelerate innovation. Clark K.L., Kowalski S.P., Wiley Interdisciplinary Reviews: Data Mining and Knowledge Discovery, 2012, 2 (5), 427–435.

How do you read a U.S. patent? Motivation for descriptions of intellectual property and its 'metes and bounds'. Pellon I.A., Linguistic Insights, 2013, 172, 473–497.

How innovative is China? valuing patents. Economist (United Kingdom), 2013, 406 (8817).

Human capital use, innovation, patent protection, and economic growth in multiple regions. Batabyal A.A., Nijkamp P., Economics of Innovation and New Technology, 2013, 22 (2), 113–126.

Improvements in patent portfolio valuation with bibliometric indicators. Zagos A., Brad S., Quality – Access to Success, 2012, 13 (SUPPL.5), 451–454.

Influence of demographic and technical profile on success of independent inventors in Sri Lanka. Wickramsinghe C.N., Ahmad N., The Journal of World Intellectual Property, 2012, 15 (5–6), 365–378.

Innovation without patents : An introduction. Hilaire-Perez L., MacLeod C., Nuvolari A., Revue Economique, 2013, 64 (1), 5–8.

Innovation, product development and patents at universities. Kartus R., Kukrus A., Estonian Journal of Engineering, 2013, 19 (1), 4–17.

Institutional change and academic patenting: French universities and the Innovation Act of 1999. della Malva A., Lissoni F., Llerena P., Journal of Evolutionary Economics, 2013, 23 (1), 211–239.

Inter Partes Review is the new normal: What has been lost? What has been gained? Iancu A., Haber B., Iglesias E., AIPLA Quarterly, 2012, 40 (4), 539–592.

Licensing in the public interest: Limits on patent property rights in China and India. Marotta C., Journal of Intellectual Property Law & Practice, 2013, 8 (3), 213–225.

Local working of patents – Law and implementation in India. Reddy G.B., Kadri H.A., Journal of Intellectual Property Rights, 2013, 18 (1), 15–27.

March madness: Preparing for the US transition to a 'first-inventor-to-file' system. Tridico A.C., Doherty E.A., Azih E., CIPA Journal, 2012, 41 (12), 694–696.

Measuring, explaining and addressing patent quality issues in China. Prud'homme D, Intellectual Asset Management, 2013, (58), 41–47.

Method to aid product innovation by TRIZ, DSM and patent knowledge. Lin W.-G., Hou L., Lai R., Zhao N., Wu Y., Applied Mechanics and Materials, 2012, 201–202, 825–828.

Of deep-fryers and (semiconductor) chips: Why ignorance of a patent is no excuse for its indirect infringement. Kumar K., AIPLA Quarterly, 2012, 40 (4), 727–756.

Optimal patent policy, research joint ventures, and growth. Yang Y., Economics Letters, 2013, 118 (2), 381–384.

Patent enforcement strategies in the United States: An integrative framework. Cheng Lo C., Lu S.-Y., Her Hsieh W., Journal of Intellectual Property Rights, 2013, 18 (1), 56–66.

Patent enforcement: A review of the literature. Weatherall K., Webster E., Journal of Economic Surveys, 2013, http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/joes.12009.

Patent examination at the State Intellectual Property Office in China. Liegsalz J., Wagner S., Research Policy, 2013, 42 (2), 552–563.

Patent law, TRIPS, and economic growth: Evidence from China. Fleisher B.M., McGuire W.H., Smith A.N., ZHOU M., Asia-Pacific Journal of Accounting and Economics, 2013, 20 (1), 4–19.

Patent law's audience. Janis M.D., Holbrook T.R., Minnesota Law Review, 2012, 97 (1), 72–131.

Patent Protection, Southern Innovation and Welfare in a North-South Trade Model. Mukherjee A., Sinha U.B., Economica, 2013, 80 (318), 248–273.

Patent sharing by US universities: An examination of university joint patenting. Funk M., Economics of Innovation and New Technology, 2013, http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/10438599.2012.757033.

Patent term extension in Japan: Challenges still lay ahead even after the 2011 revision. Komatani T.S., Pharmaceutical Patent Analyst, 2013, 2 (1), 63–76.

Patent thickets: A paper for the European Patent Office Economic and Scientific Advisory Board meeting. Jacob R., Journal of Intellectual Property Law & Practice, 2013, 8 (3), 203–206.

Patent trolls: Legit enforcers or harrassers? Yang D., Journal of Intellectual Property Rights, 2012, 17 (6), 573–577.

Patent valuation using difference in ALEN. Karam N., Paschke A., CEUR Workshop Proceedings, 2012, 846, 454–463.

Patent-free innovation: A review of economic works including the analysis of a recent work in the field of experimental economics. Foray D., Revue Economique, 2013, 64 (1), 9–27.

Patented! problem based learning. Valenzuela-Valdes J.F., Aragon-Romero M., 2012 International Conference on Education and e-Learning Innovations, ICEELI 2012, 6360611.

Patents and innovation: Evidence from economic history. Moser P., Journal of Economic Perspectives, 2013, 27 (1), 23–44.

Patents and innovations: The experience of Brazil, Mexico and Venezuela. Morales R., Sifontes D., Espacios, 2012, 33 (9), 11.

Peripheral disclosure. Rantanen J., University of Pittsburgh Law Review, 2012, 74 (1), 1–45.

Polarization patterns in economic development and innovation. Azomahou T.T., Diene M., Structural Change and Economic Dynamics, 2012, 23 (4), 421–436.

Practical improvements of the technological idea production method using patent knowledge. Makino I., Yuizono T., Proceedings – 2012 7th International Conference on Knowledge, Information and Creativity Support Systems, KICSS 2012, 6405514, 97–103.

Professional training in the field of intellectual property. Ciobanu G., Lepadatu G., Andronachi D., Quality – Access to Success, 2013, 14 (SUPPL.1), 284–287.

Re-visiting patent re-examination under sections 48.1-48.5/279. Chau B., Intellectual Property Journal, 2012, 24 (3), 279–314.

Reconsideration of the essence of a patent and the missions of patent institution: Low rate of patented technology commercialization in china. Zhongfa M., Journal of Intellectual Property Rights, 2013, 18 (1), 47–55.

Revisiting antitrust limits to probabilistic patent disputes: Strategic entry and asymmetric information. Chiou J.-Y., Schmidtke R., American Law and Economics Review, 2012, 14 (2), 425–456.

Strategic uses of patents in markets for technology: A story of fabless firms, brokers and trolls. Penin J., Journal of Economic Behavior and Organization, 2012, 84 (2), 633–641.

The challenges of teaching and training in intellectual property. Gimenez A.M.N., Bonacelli M.B.M., Carneiro A.M., Journal of Technology Management and Innovation, 2012, 7 (4), 176–188.

The impact of the institution of patent protection and enforcement on entry mode strategy: A panel data investigation of U.S. firms. Papageorgiadis N., Cross A.R., Alexiou C., International Business Review, 2013, 22 (1), 278–292.

The Location of the Contemplated Sale as the ultimate guide in "offer to sell" transnational U.S. patent infringement cases. Cromar S.A., University of Illinois Law Review, 2012 (5), 1755–1786.

The new patent intermediaries: Platforms, defensive aggregators, and super-aggregators. Hagiu A., Yoffie D.B., Journal of Economic Perspectives, 2013, 27 (1), 45–66.

The use of patents and informal appropriation mechanisms – Differences between sectors and among companies. Neuhausler P., Technovation, 2012, 32 (12), 681–693.

The USPTO economics research agenda. Graham S.J.H., Hancock G., Journal of Technology Transfer, 2013, http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10961-013-9299-6.

Threat of litigation and patent value. Tekic Z., Kukolj D., Research Technology Management, 2013, 56 (2), 18–25.

Traditional Versus Heterodox Motives for Academic Patenting: Evidence from the Netherlands. Bodas Freitas I.M., Nuvolari A., Industry and Innovation, 2012, 19 (8), 671–695.

Transcending the tacit dimension: Patents, relationships, and organizational integration in technology transfer. Lee P., California Law Review, 2012, 100 (6), 1503–1572.

Transfer of Technology to Canadian Manufacturing Industries Through Patents. Nikzad R., Australian Economic Papers, 2012, 51 (4), 210–227.

Turning the spotlight on the brokered patent market. Oliver E., Richardson K., Intellectual Asset Management, 2013, (57), 11–17.

Two approaches to handling late essential/necessary patent claims against standards. Krolikoski S., IEEE Design and Test of Computers, 2012, 29 (5), 6416055, 102–104.

Two years on: The Patents County Court. Jarman R., Colville I., CIPA Journal, 2012, 41 (12), 700–704.

Typology of the patent troll business. Pohlmann T., Opitz M., R and D Management, 2013, 43 (2), 103–120.

Unitary patent protection in the EU. Pagenberg J., CIPA Journal, 2012, 41 (12), 687–693.

Welfare effects of patent protection and productive public services: Why do developing countries prefer weaker patent protection? Iwaisako T., Economics Letters, 2013, 118 (3), 478–481.

What factors influence the patent licensing in China. Yu F., Geomatics and Information Science of Wuhan University, 2012, 37 (SUPPL.1), 222–225+246.

Why are women underrepresented amongst patentees? Hunt J., Garant J.-P., Herman H., Munroe D.J., Research Policy, 2013, http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.respol.2012.11.004.

Would global patent protection be too weak without international coordination? Lai E.L.-C., Yan I.K.M., Journal of International Economics, 2013, 89 (1), 42–54.

2.3. Trademarks and domain names

2.3.1. Trademarks

A pragmatic-cognitive approach to brand names: A case study of Rioja wine brands. Hernandez L.P., Names, 2013, 61 (1), 33–46.

Allegro without vivaldi: Trademark protection, freedom of speech, and constitutional balancing. Sadurski W., European Constitutional Law Review, 2012, 8 (3), 456–492.

Community trade mark round-up 2012. Folliard-Monguiral A., Rogers D., Journal of Intellectual Property Law & Practice, 2013, 8 (4), 277–296.

Initial interest confusion. Fhima I.S., Journal of Intellectual Property Law & Practice, 2013, 8 (4), 311–318.

Non-Trade Mark use. Dawson N.M., Intellectual Property Quarterly, 2012, (4), 204–226.

Protection of pharmaceutical trade marks in Europe: An overview. Mosback H., Journal of Intellectual Property Law & Practice, 2013, 8 (1), 69–77.

Surveys on a tightrope – the convergent validity net. Corbin R.M., Isaacson F., Intellectual Property Journal, 2012, 24 (3), 265–278.

The function of a shape as an absolute ground for refusal. Schober N., IIC International Review of Intellectual Property and Competition Law, 2013, 44 (1), 35–62.

The Madrid Protocol in Latin America: Is Columbia changing business strategies or acting as a guinea pig? Covarrubia P., Uribe A.E., European Intellectual Property Review, 2013, 35 (1), 15–24.

The name of the thing, or an attempt at a semiotic and semantic analysis of trade marks. Kirova B., Penkin I., Journal of Intellectual Property Law & Practice, 2013, 8 (4), 297–302.

The protection of Geographical Indications in the European and United States under Sui Generis and Trade Mark systems: Signs of harmonisation? Evans G.E., Intellectual Property Quarterly, 2013, (1), 18–46.

Trade Marks and domain names: Exploring the inadequacy of existing protection for the economic value of Trade Marks. Younes A.S., European Intellectual Property Review, 2012, 34 (12), 847–852.

Trademark Strategy in the Internet Age: Customer Hijacking and the Doctrine of Initial Interest Confusion. Scott C.D., Journal of Retailing, 2013, http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jretai.2012.11.004.

Working with your expert on trade mark surveys. Gelb G.M., Betsy D., Gelb B.D., Krieger P.E., Journal of Intellectual Property Law & Practice, 2013, 8 (2), 118–123.

2.4. Designs

Obtaining and enforcing design patents in China. Moga T.T., China Business Review, 2012, 39 (4).

2.5. Other IP; general IP issues

2.5.1. Policy and strategic issues

Creating value through external intellectual property commercialization: A desorptive capacity view. Ziegler N., Ruether F., Bader M.A., Gassmann O., Journal of Technology Transfer, 2013, http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10961-013-9305-z.

Essay: The impending iprize revolution in intellectual property law. Levmore S., Boston University Law Review, 2013, 93 (1), 139–162.

Information protection: Filling some gaps left by patents. Kingston W., Intellectual Property Quarterly, 2012, (4), 227–241.

Law and economics of intellectual property: In search of first principles. Burk D.L., Annual Review of Law and Social Science, 2012, 8, 397–414.

What's intellectual property good for? Boldrin M., Levine D.K., Revue Economique, 2013, 64 (1), 29–53.

2.5.2. Other IP issues

All my own work: Intellectual Property rights and the reinvention of innovation. MacDonald S., European Intellectual Property Review, 2013, 35 (1), 4–14.

Differences and similarities between patents, registered designs and copyrights: Empirical evidence from the Netherlands. Mol M.C., Masurel E., International Journal of Innovation Management, 2012, 16 (5), 1250026.

Exchanging information without intellectual property. Burstein M.J., Texas Law Review, 2012, 91 (2), 227–282.

Intellectual property protection and technology licensing: The case of developing countries. Kanwar S., Journal of Law and Economics, 2012, 55 (3), 539–564.

2.6. Historical

100 years of thermal spray: About the inventor Max Ulrich Schoop. Siegmann S., Abert C., Surface and Coatings Technology, 2012, http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.surfcoat.2012.10.034.

John Wise – Unrecognized engine builder and contemporary of Newcomen and Watt. Grudgings S., International Journal for the History of Engineering and Technology, 2012, 82 (2), 176–186.

Nikola Tesla's patent applications therefore patents had not been granted. Sarboh S., 2012 20th Telecommunications Forum, TELFOR 2012 – Proceedings, 2012, 6419149, 68–70.

Obtaining a royal privilege in France for the Watt engine, 1776-1786. Naegel P., Teissier P., International Journal for the History of Engineering and Technology, 2013, 83 (1), 96–118.

Social networks of innovation in the European periphery: Exploring independent versus corporate patents in Spain circa 1820-1939. Saiz P., Historical Social Research, 2012, 37 (4), 348–369.

The transmission of helicopter technology, 1920-1939: Exchanges with von Baumhauer. De Voogt A., International Journal for the History of Engineering and Technology, 2013, 83 (1), 119–140.

David Newton
Crooked Thatch, East End, Hook Norton, Banbury OX15 5LG, United Kingdom
E-mail address: dnewton@hotmail.co.uk