



Literature listing

1. Books

1.1. Recent reports and other monographs

The Science, Technology and Industry Scoreboard 2011, OECD.
“The quality of patent filings has fallen dramatically over the past two decades. The rush to protect even minor improvements in products or services is overburdening patent offices.”

http://www.oecd-ilibrary.org/science-and-technology/oecd-science-technology-and-industry-scoreboard-2011_sti-scoreboard-2011-en

Trade Mark Incentives: a report commissioned by the UK Intellectual Property Office.

Christine Greenhalgh, Mark Rogers, Philip Schautschick and Vania Sena, July 2011.

“positive linkages were found between trade marking and business performance”

<http://www.ipo.gov.uk/ipresearch-tmincentives-full-201107.pdf>

Study on the Interplay between Standards and Intellectual Property Rights (IPRs) - Final Report

Fraunhofer Institute for Communication System and Dialogic and the School of Innovation Sciences at Eindhoven University of Technology for the European Commission, April 2011,

http://ec.europa.eu/enterprise/policies/european-standards/files/standards_policy/ipr-workshop/ipr_study_final_report_en.pdf

1.2. Reviews are available as follows:

Biotechnological Inventions: Moral Restraints and Patent Law, by Oliver Mills, Ashgate, 2010.

Reviewed by Tessensohn J.A., European Intellectual Property Review, 2011, 33 (7), 474–475.

Intellectual Property – Patents, copyright, trade marks and allied rights 7th edn. by William Cornish, David Llewelyn and Tanya Aplin, Sweet & Maxwell, 2010.

Reviewed by Daniels M., European Intellectual Property Review, 2011, 33 (7), 473–474.

Intellectual Property Law and Policy, Vol. 11, Hugh C. Hensen (ed), Hart, 2010.

Reviewed by Torremans P.L.C., Intellectual Property Quarterly, 2011, (2), 227–228.

Terrell on the Law of Patents, 17th edn, by R. Miller QC, G. Burkill QC, Colin Birss QC and D. Campbell, Sweet & Maxwell, 2010.

Reviewed by Torremans P.L.C., European Intellectual Property Review, 2011, 33 (5), 336–337.

Venkateswaran on Trade Marks and Passing Off, 5th edn, by Kapil Wadhwa and Abhishek Manu Singhvi, Lexis Nexis India, 2010.

Reviewed by Jacobs R., European Intellectual Property Review, 2011, 33 (5), 335–336.

Design Law: European Union and United States of America by Uma Suthersanen, Sweet & Maxwell, 2010.

Reviewed by Factor M, Journal of Intellectual Property Law & Practice, 2011, 6(8), 586–588.

2. Journals

The listing in this issue includes entries found using SciVerse Scopus™, Elsevier’s abstract and indexing database which gives access to almost 18000 peer-reviewed titles from more than 5000 international publishers.

2.1. Search techniques, databases and analysis: classification: searcher certification

2.1.1. Search techniques, databases

A New Comprehensive Patent Analysis Approach for New Product Design in Mechanical Engineering.

OuYang K., Weng C.S., Technological Forecasting and Social Change, 2011, 78 (7), 1183–1199.

An investigation of decompounding for cross-language patent search.

Leveling J., Magdy W., Jones G.J.F., SIGIR’11 - Proceedings of the 34th International ACM SIGIR Conference on Research and Development in Information Retrieval, 2011, DOI: 10.1145/2009916.2010103, 1169–1170.

Applying key phrase extraction to aid invalidity search.

Verma M., Varma V., Proceedings of the International Conference on Artificial Intelligence and Law, 2011, DOI: 10.1145/2018358.2018393, 249–255.

Automatic boolean query suggestion for professional search.

Kim Y., Seo J., Croft W.B., SIGIR’11 - Proceedings of the 34th International ACM SIGIR Conference on Research and Development in Information Retrieval, 2011, DOI: 10.1145/2009916.2010026, 825–834.

Building queries for prior-art search.

Mahdabi P., Keikha M., Gerani S., Landoni M., Crestani F., Lecture Notes in Computer Science (including subseries Lecture Notes in Artificial Intelligence and Lecture Notes in Bioinformatics), 2011, 6653 LNCS, DOI: 10.1007/978-3-642-21353-3_2, 3–15.

ChemicalTagger: A tool for semantic text-mining in chemistry.

Hawizy L., Jessop D.M., Adams N., Murray-Rust P., Journal of Cheminformatics, 2011, 3 (1), 17.

Clustering of Distributions: A Case of Patent Citations.

Kejzar N., Korenjak-Cerne S., Batagelj V., Journal of Classification, 2011, 28 (2), 156–183.

- Clustering patent document in the field of ICT (Information & Communication Technology).
- Widodo A., Budi I., 2011 International Conference on Semantic Technology and Information Retrieval, 2011, DOI: 10.1109/STAIR.2011.5995789, 203–208.
- Comparison of micro auto patents between China and foreign company based on Patent Map.
- Tang Y., Hu S., BMEI 2011 - Proceedings 2011 International Conference on Business Management and Electronic Information, 2011, 5, DOI: 10.1109/ICBMEI.2011.5914503, 395–398.
- Developing a comprehensive patent related information retrieval tool.
- Taduri S., Yu H., Lau G., Law K., Kesan J., Journal of Theoretical and Applied Electronic Commerce Research, 2011, 6 (2), 1–16.
- Development of a digital intellectual property library for engineering design education.
- Matsuishi M., Kim Y., Proceedings - 2nd International Conference on Next Generation Information Technology, ICNIT 2011, 2011, 5967495, 175–177.
- Driving innovation through patent application review: The power of crowdsourcing prior art search.
- Ghafele R., Gibert B., Digiammarino P., Journals of Intellectual Property Rights, 2011, 16 (4), 303–308.
- Expanding queries with term and phrase translations in patent retrieval.
- Jochim C., Lioma C., Schutze H., Lecture Notes in Computer Science (including subseries Lecture Notes in Artificial Intelligence and Lecture Notes in Bioinformatics), 2011, 6653 LNCS, 16–29.
- Life science data repositories in the publications of scientists and librarians.
- Kirlew P.W., Issues in Science and Technology Librarianship, 2011, 65.
- Limits in intellectual property protection.
- Munch V., Online (Wilton, Connecticut), 2011, 35 (5), 34–38.
- Multidisciplinary Information Retrieval - Second Information Retrieval Facility Conference, IRFC 2011, Proceedings.
- Lecture Notes in Computer Science (including subseries Lecture Notes in Artificial Intelligence and Lecture Notes in Bioinformatics), 2011, 6653 LNCS.
- Patent analysis for analysing technological convergence.
- Karvonen M., Kassi T., Foresight, 2011, 13 (5), 34–50.
- Patent service self-organizing maps.
- Segev A., Kantola J., Proceedings - 2011 8th International Conference on Information Technology: New Generations, 2010, DOI: 10.1109/ITNG.2011.146, 839–844.
- Practice-based methodology for effectively modeling and documenting search, protection and innovation.
- Nani R., Regazzoni D., Procedia Engineering, 2011, 9, 665–673.
- Research on training condition of Chinese intellectual property professionals.
- Xia P., Communications in Computer and Information Science, 2011, 226 CCIS (PART 3), 205–214.
- Text categorization study case: Patents' application documents.
- De Oliveira Gomes N., Passos E.P.L., Proceedings of the 2011 6th IEEE Conference on Industrial Electronics and Applications, 2011, DOI: 10.1109/ICIEA.2011.5975625, 446–450.
- Text mining for drugs and chemical compounds: Methods, tools and applications.
- Vazquez M., Krallinger M., Leitner F., Valencia A., Molecular Informatics, 2011, 30 (6–7), 506–519.
- The construction of technical support system for the conversion of patent achievements based on knowledge discovery in databases.
- Li Y., Yu H.B., 2011 International Conference on E-Business and E-Government, ICEE2011 - Proceedings, 2011, DOI: 10.1109/ICE-BEG.2011.5885321, 6445–6448.
- Towards the derivation of verbal content relations from patent claims using deep syntactic structures.
- Ferraro G., Wanner L., Knowledge-Based Systems, 2011, 24 (8), 1233–1244.
- A two-stage trademark retrieval system with invariant property.
- Chan L.-H., Law N.-F., Siu W.-C., APSIPA ASC 2010 - Asia-Pacific Signal and Information Processing Association Annual Summit and Conference, 2010, 280–283.
- Content-based image retrieval for three-dimensional trademarks.
- Lee C.-H., Lin M.-F., International Journal of Innovative Computing, Information and Control, 2010, 6 (9), 3929–3941.
- Extraction of multiple shapes in color trademark images.
- Fauzi M.F.A., Proceedings of the 8th IASTED International Conference on Signal Processing, Pattern Recognition, and Applications, SPPRA 2011, 2011, DOI: 10.2316/P.2011.721–083, 261–267.
- Image based logo retrieval for mobile applications.
- Sun S.-K., Chen Z., Chen W.-C., Tsai C.-H., Proceedings of the 8th IASTED International Conference on Signal Processing, Pattern Recognition, and Applications, SPPRA 2011, 2011, DOI: 10.2316/P.2011.721–081, 108–113.
- Incorporating efficiency and human judgment in image retrieval for trademark matching.
- Chalechale A., Faramarzi A., 2010 6th Iranian Conference on Machine Vision and Image Processing, MVIP 2010, 2010, DOI: 10.1109/IranianMVIP.2010.5941135.
- 2.1.2. Analysis and statistics*
- “Wacky” patents meet economic indicators.
- Czarnitzki D., Hussinger K., Schneider C., Economics Letters, 2011, 113 (2), 131–134.
- A dynamic stochastic analysis of international patent application and renewal processes.
- Deng Y., International Journal of Industrial Organization, 2011, DOI: 10.1016/j.ijindorg.2011.04.004.
- A patent quality analysis for innovative technology and product development.
- Trappey A.J.C., Trappey C.V., Wu C.-Y., Lin C.-W., Advanced Engineering Informatics, 2011, DOI: 10.1016/j.aei.2011.06.005.
- A stochastic patent citation analysis approach to assessing future technological impacts.
- Lee C., Cho Y., Seol H., Park Y., Technological Forecasting and Social Change, 2011, DOI: 10.1016/j.techfore.2011.06.009.
- An empirical analysis of patents flows and R&D flows around the world.
- Kumazawa R., Gomis-Porqueras P., Applied Economics, 2012, 44 (36), 4755–4763.
- Analysis on determinants of knowledge diffusion based on patent citations.
- Ji R., Wang J., BMEI 2011 - Proceedings 2011 International Conference on Business Management and Electronic Information, 2011, 3, DOI: 10.1109/ICBMEI.2011.5920435, 230–233.
- Assessing the value of patent portfolios: An international country comparison.

- Schubert T., *Scientometrics*, 2011, 88 (3), 787–804.
- Bibliometric Analysis of Patent Documents Disclosed in the Information Products of the All-Russia Institute of Scientific and Technical Information of the RAS.
Denisova L.A., Efremenkova V.M., Kusch G.A., Ponomarenko T.P., *Scientific and Technical Information Processing*, 2011, 38 (2), 123–131.
- Bibliometric trend analysis on global graphene research.
Lv P.H., Wang G.-F., Wan Y., Liu J., Liu Q., Ma F., *Scientometrics*, 2011, 88 (2), 399–419.
- Bionics in patents - Semantic-based analysis for the exploitation of bionic principles in patents.
Walter L., Isenmann R., Moehrl M.G., *Procedia Engineering*, 2011, 9, 620–632.
- Detecting potential technological fronts by comparing scientific papers and patents.
Shibata N., Kajikawa Y., Sakata I., *Foresight*, 2011, 13 (5), 51–60.
- Emerging firms in an emerging field: An analysis of patent citations in electronic-paper display technology.
Jang S.-L., Yu Y.-C., Wang T.-Y., *Scientometrics*, 2011, 89 (1), 259–272.
- Enhancing technology clustering through heuristics by using patent counts.
Dereli T., Baykasolu A., Durmuolu A., Durmuolu Z.D.U., *Expert Systems with Applications*, 2011, 38 (12), 15383–15391.
- Environmental policy stringency and technological innovation: Evidence from survey data and patent counts.
Johnstone N., Hascic I., Poirier J., Hemar M., Michel C., *Applied Economics*, 2012, 44 (17), 2157–2170.
- GIS analysis of U.S. Patent data: Examining local innovation patterns.
Cui Q., Moore J., *ACM International Conference Proceeding Series*, 2011, DOI: 10.1145/1999320.1999339.
- Idea generation: The performance of U.S. States 1997–2007.
Mukherji N., Silberman J., *Journal of Technology Transfer*, 2011, 36 (4), 417–447.
- Investigating Collaborative R&D Using Patent Data: The Case Study of Robot Technology in Japan.
Lechevalier S., Ikeda Y., Nishimura J., *Managerial and Decision Economics*, 2011, 32 (5), 305–323.
- Linking induced technological change, and environmental regulation: Evidence from patenting in the U.S. auto industry.
Lee J., Veloso F.M., Hounshell D.A., *Research Policy*, 2011, DOI: 10.1016/j.respol.2011.06.006.
- Measuring science–technology interactions using patent citations and author-inventor links: an exploration analysis from Chinese nanotechnology.
Wang G., Guan J., *Journal of Nanoparticle Research*, 2011, DOI: 10.1007/s11051-011-0549-y, 1–18.
- Patent citation network analysis of core and emerging technologies in Taiwan: 1997–2008.
Cho T.-S., Shih H.-Y., *Scientometrics*, 2011, DOI: 10.1007/s11192-011-0457-z, 1–17.
- Patent indicators as output variables of DEA to evaluate the efficiency of the computer communication equipment industry in United States.
Chen Y.-S., Chen B.-Y., *Applied Economics*, 2012, 44 (11), 1429–1432.
- Post-TRIPS patenting trends in India with special reference to USA: A comparative analysis.
Kadri H., Saykhedkar M., *Journals of Intellectual Property Rights*, 2011, 16 (3), 217–224.
- Re-examine the relationship between patents and Tobin's q.
Chen Y.-S., Shih C.-Y., *Scientometrics*, 2011, DOI: 10.1007/s11192-011-0471-1, 1–14.
- Relation between technology and science: A perspective of patent and paper production.
Lin W.-Y.C., Chen D.-Z., Huang M.-H., *Journal of Educational Media and Library Science*, 2011, 48 (3), 303–324.
- SAO network analysis of patents for technology trends identification: A case study of polymer electrolyte membrane technology in proton exchange membrane fuel cells.
Choi S., Yoon J., Kim K., Lee J.Y., Kim C.-H., *Scientometrics*, 2011, 88 (3), 863–883.
- Scientific publications and patenting by companies: A study of the whole population of Canadian firms over 25 years.
Archambault E., Lariviere V., *Science and Public Policy*, 2011, 38 (4), 269–278.
- Technological and geographical proximity effects on knowledge spillovers: Evidence from the US patent citations.
Aldieri L., *Economics of Innovation and New Technology*, 2011, 20 (6), 597–607.
- Technological monitoring applied to survey-based on Brazilian patent applications about PEMFC.
de Souza Carvalho D., Winter E., Mothe C.G., Carestiatto T., *Journal of Technology Management and Innovation*, 2011, 6 (2), 145–160.
- The relationship of regional R&D resources and patent output: A case study of Hebei Province.
Zibiao L., Baomin H., Meng X., 2011 International Conference on E-Business and E-Government, ICEE2011 - Proceedings, 2011, DOI: 10.1109/ICEBEG.2011.5882260, 3731–3734.
- Time relations between scientific production and patenting of knowledge: The case of nanotechnologies.
Finardi U., *Scientometrics*, 2011, 89 (1), 37–50.
- Triadic citations, country biases and patent value: the case of pharmaceuticals.
Messinis G., *Scientometrics*, 2011, DOI: 10.1007/s11192-011-0473-z, 1–21.
- Using IPC-based clustering and link analysis to observe the technological directions.
Chiu T.-F., Hong C.-F., Chiu Y.-T., *Studies in Computational Intelligence*, 2011, 381, 183–197.
- Using patent analysis to establish technological position: Two different strategic approaches.
Chang S.-B., *Technological Forecasting and Social Change*, 2011, DOI: 10.1016/j.techfore.2011.07.002.

2.2. Patents

2.2.1. Relating to life sciences and pharmaceuticals

Analysis of in vitro bioactivity data extracted from drug discovery literature and patents: Ranking 1654 human protein targets by assayed compounds and molecular scaffolds.

Southan C., Boppana K., Jagarlapudi S.A.R.P., Muresan S., *Journal of Cheminformatics*, 2011, 3 (1), DOI: 10.1186/1758-2946-3-14.

Can you patent genes?: yes and no.

Rogers, E. J., *Journal of the Patent and Trademark Office Society*, 2011, 93 (1), 19–56.

Does a utility that is “unproved” at the time of filing violate s.112?: the Federal Circuit says “yes” in *Janssen Pharmaceutica N.V. v. Teva Pharmaceuticals USA, Inc.*

Feit, I.N., *Journal of the Patent and Trademark Office Society*, 2011, 93 (1), 1–18.

Discussion on the construction of the biotechnology patent pool - From the aspect of the tragedy of anti-commons.

Wei F., 2011 International Conference on E-Business and E-Government, ICEE2011 - Proceedings, 2011, DOI: 10.1109/ICE-BEG.2011.5886827, 6895–6898.

Give me property or give me death: Reconciling intellectual property rights and the right to health.

Ostergard Jr. R.L., Sweeney S.E., *Journal of Human Rights*, 2011, 10 (3), 339–357.

Human DNA patent renewals on the decline.

Mills A.E., Tereskerz P., *Nature Biotechnology*, 2011, 29 (8), 711–713.

Impact of gene patents on the development of molecular diagnostics.

Toneguzzo F., *Expert Opinion on Medical Diagnostics*, 2011, 5 (4), 273–276.

Out of step with Europe [Pharma patents Russia].

Lipatova Y., *Managing Intellectual Property*, 2011, (210), 50–52.

Patent validity challenges in the medical device and diagnostic industry.

Nichols J., Evensen A.L., *Medical Device and Diagnostic Industry*, 2011, 33 (8).

Patenting everything under the sun: Invoking the first amendment to limit the use of gene patents.

Kauble K., *UCLA Law Review*, 2011, 58 (4), 1123–1174.

Pharmaceutical patents, R&D incentives and access to new drugs: new ways of progress at the crossroad.

Antonanzas F., Juarez-Castello C., Rodriguez-Ibeas R., *European Journal of Health Economics*, 2011, DOI: 10.1007/s10198-011-0336-9, 1–3.

Strategic entry deterrence and the behavior of pharmaceutical incumbents prior to patent expiration.

Ellison G., Ellison S.F., *American Economic Journal: Microeconomics*, 2011, 3 (1), 1–36.

What drives patent performance of German biotech firms? The impact of R&D subsidies, knowledge networks and their location.

Fornahl D., Broekel T., Boschma R., *Papers in Regional Science*, 2011, 90 (2), 395–418.

Whose body is it anyway? Human cells and the strange effects of property and intellectual property law.

Feldman R., *Stanford Law Review*, 2011, 63 (6), 1377–1402.

Whose knowledge? What values? The comparative politics of patenting life forms in the United States and Europe.

Parthasarathy S., *Policy Sciences*, 2011, DOI: 10.1007/s11077-011-9133-7, 1–22.

2.2.2. Relating to software

Intellectual property update: Viability of business method and financial method patents after the supreme court’s *Bilski* decision. Schreiner S.T., Lerman N.M., *Banking Law Journal*, 2010, 127 (10), 986–1002.

Life after *Bilski*.

Lemley M.A., Risch M., Sichelman T., Wagner R.P., *Stanford Law Review*, 2011, 63 (6), 1315–1348.

Measures against patent troll who inhibits development in industries of software—a discussion on application of compulsory licensing system.

Hiratsuka M., *IEEJ Transactions on Electronics, Information and Systems*, 2011, 131 (2), 278–282.

Patenting business methods in the United States and beyond - Globalization of intellectual property protection is not always an easy game to play.

Liu Y., *IIC International Review of Intellectual Property and Competition Law*, 2011, 42 (4), 395–416.

Political discourse networks and the conflict over software patents in Europe.

Leifeld P., Haunss S., *European Journal of Political Research*, 2011, DOI: 10.1111/j.1475-6765.2011.02003.x.

The effects of patent-law changes on innovation: The case of India’s pharmaceutical industry.

Haley G.T., Haley U.C.V., *Technological Forecasting and Social Change*, 2011, DOI: 10.1016/j.techfore.2011.05.012.

The patentability of software under Intellectual Property Rights: an analysis of US, European and Indian Intellectual Property Rights.

Choudhary V., *European Intellectual Property Review*, 2011, 33 (7), 435–446.

Why business method patents?.

Duffy J.F., *Stanford Law Review*, 2011, 63 (6), 1247–1288.

2.2.3. Policy and strategic issues

Anticommons and optimal patent policy in a model of sequential innovation.

Llanes G., Trento S., *B.E. Journal of Economic Analysis and Policy*, 2011, 11 (1), 46.

China’s new patent policy.

Luginbuehl S., Pattloch T., *European Intellectual Property Review*, 2011, 33 (5), 274–280.

CJEU has spoken, but what will become of the Unitary Patent and the European Patent Court.

Tilmann W., Whiting L., *World Intellectual Property Report*, 2011, 25 (6), 46–48.

Double patenting in the practice of the European Patent Office.

Germinario C., *IIC International Review of Intellectual Property and Competition Law*, 2011, 42 (4), 387–395.

From *Bilski* back to *Benson*: Preemption, inventing around, and the case of genetic diagnostics.

Dreyfuss R.C., Evans J.P., *Stanford Law Review*, 2011, 63 (6), 1349–1376.

In search of the golden cow [communicating patent strategy].

Lambourne D., *Intellectual Property Management Magazine*, 2010, (44), 57–62.

International patent protection: Time for a fully EU functioning supra-national patent mechanism.

Loftus D., *Journal of International Commercial Law and Technology*, 2011, 6 (3), 176–186.

On the optimal mix of patent instruments.

Chu A.C., Furukawa Y., *Journal of Economic Dynamics and Control*, 2011, DOI: 10.1016/j.jedc.2011.06.008.

Optimal patent length in a North-South framework: A comment. Banerjee S., Kabiraj T., *Singapore Economic Review*, 2011, 56 (1), 51–59.

Patent applications backlogs, diminishing patent quality and the patent paradox.

- Innocenzi P., *European Intellectual Property Review*, 2011, 33 (5), 271–273.
- Patent claims and invalidity.
Miyazawa T., Osada H., *International Journal of Private Law*, 2011, 4 (3), 354–364.
- Patent protection and strategic delays in technology development: Implications for economic growth.
Chen M.X., Iyigunf M., *Southern Economic Journal*, 2011, 78 (1), 211–232.
- Patent replacement and welfare gains.
Grinols E.L., Lin H.C., *Journal of Economic Dynamics and Control*, 2011, 35 (9), 1586–1604.
- Patent term extension in Japan in light of the pacif capsule decision.
Lee N., *IIC International Review of Intellectual Property and Competition Law*, 2011, 42 (4), 442–457.
- Patentable subject matter and institutional choice.
Golden J.M., *Texas Law Review*, 2011, 89 (5), 1041–1111.
- Patents, presumptions, and public notice.
Holbrook T.R., *Indiana Law Journal*, 2011, 86 (3), 779–826.
- Strategic management of intellectual properties for competitive advantage - Proposal of a framework for IPR evaluation.
Nishijima O., Tomisawa O., *Journal of the Institute of Image Information and Television Engineers*, 2011, 65 (4), 540–549.
- The challenge of intellectual property rights and social justice.
Rangnekar D., *Development*, 2011, 54 (2), 212–214.
- The European Patent System: off course or on the rocks?.
Poore A., *European Intellectual Property Review*, 2011, 33 (7), 409–412.
- The inefficiency of patents when R&D projects are imperfectly correlated and imitation takes time.
Bonatti L., Comino S., *Journal of Institutional and Theoretical Economics*, 2011, 167 (2), 327–342.
- The scylla of accelerated examination and charybdis of competitor coverage – prospering from the Patent Prosecution Highway.
Tessensohn J.A., *European Intellectual Property Review*, 2011, 33 (6), 357–367.
- The structure of the law of patentable subject matter.
Siebrasse N., *Intellectual Property Journal*, 2011, 23 (2), 169–204.
- UK universities look beyond the patent policy discourse in their intellectual property strategies.
Andersen B., Rossi F., *Science and Public Policy*, 2011, 38 (4), 254–268.
- Whether the Supreme Court's characterisation of non-obviousness will impact on the Federal Circuit's judicial creativity? An analysis of post-KSR decisions.
Unni V.K., *Intellectual Property Quarterly*, 2011, (2), 155–182.
- 2.2.4. *Other patent topics*
"J'accuse" [EPO].
Kennedy P., *Intellectual Property Management Magazine*, 2011, (45), 73–79.
- Constructing a unitary title regime for the European Patent System
Rodriguez V., *Journal of Intellectual Property Law & Practice*, 2011, 6 (8), 574–580.
- Corresponding applications: limitations and liberties on their use in Singapore
Sadasivam A., *Journal of Intellectual Property Law & Practice*, 2011, 6 (8), 581–583.
- A social network analysis of leading semiconductor companies' knowledge flow network.
Ho Y., Chiu H., *Asia Pacific Journal of Management*, 2011, DOI: 10.1007/s10490-011-9268-2, 1–19.
- An automated method for identifying TRIZ evolution trends from patents.
Yoon J., Kim K., *Expert Systems with Applications*, 2011, 38 (12), 15540–15548.
- Behind the recent surge of Chinese patenting: An institutional view.
Li X., *Research Policy*, 2011, DOI: 10.1016/j.respol.2011.07.003.
- Changes to university IPR regulations in Europe and the impact on academic patenting.
Geuna A., Rossi F., *Research Policy*, 2011, 40 (8), 1068–1076.
- Choosing the scope of trade secret law when secrets complement patents.
Ottoz E., Cugno F., *International Review of Law and Economics*, 2011, DOI: 10.1016/j.irl.2011.07.002.
- Clerical errors in the Patent Office.
Vaver D., *Intellectual Property Journal*, 2011, 23 (2), 131–145.
- Creating higher value intellectual property.
Story B.A., *Annual Technical Conference - ANTEC, Conference Proceedings*, 2011, 2, 1900–1903.
- Croatia and Macedonia in the European patent system.
Vuckovic R.M., *Zbornik Pravnog Fakulteta u Zagrebu*, 2011, 61 (2), 675–694.
- Distilling a rule for inferring intent to deceive the patent office.
Brader D.J., *Temple Law Review*, 2011, 83 (2), 529–567.
- Do patents weaken the localization of innovations? Evidence from world's fairs.
Moser P., *Journal of Economic History*, 2011, 71 (2), 363–382.
- Does patenting help high-tech start-ups?.
Helmets C., Rogers M., *Research Policy*, 2011, 40 (7), 1016–1027.
- Dynamics between patent latent variables and patent price.
Sreekumaran Nair S., Mathew M., Nag D., *Technovation*, 2011, DOI: 10.1016/j.technovation.2011.07.002.
- Effects of R&D and patents on the financial performance of Korean venture firms.
Sohn D.-W., Hur W., Kim H.J., *Asian Journal of Technology Innovation*, 2010, 18 (2), 169–185.
- Experimentation, patents, and innovation.
Acemoglu D., Bimpikis K., Ozdaglar A., *American Economic Journal: Microeconomics*, 2011, 3 (1), 37–77.
- Filing behaviour regarding essential patents in industry standards.
Berger F., Blind K., Thumm N., *Research Policy*, 2011, DOI: 10.1016/j.respol.2011.07.004.
- Has the Bayh-Dole act compromised basic research?.
Thursby J.G., Thursby M.C., *Research Policy*, 2011, 40 (8), 1077–1083.
- Inside multi-disciplinary science and engineering research centers: The impact of organizational climate on invention disclosures and patents.
Hunter E.M., Perry S.J., Currall S.C., *Research Policy*, 2011, DOI: 10.1016/j.respol.2011.05.024.
- It's springtime at the EPO.
Howson R., *Managing Intellectual Property*, 2011, (210), 24–26.
- Making it easier to navigate the Patent Prosecution Highway.
Jarman C., *CIPA Journal*, 2011, 40 (7), 438–439.

- Making predictions about the future [demographics of UK patent profession].
Fox N., *CIPA Journal*, 2011, 40 (7), 427–429.
- Measuring the effect of M&A on patenting quantity and quality.
Valentini G., *Strategic Management Journal*, 2011, DOI: 10.1002/smj.946.
- New prototype design process - Integrating designing around existing patents and the theory of inventive problem-solving.
Lee K.-L., *ASEE Annual Conference and Exposition, Conference Proceedings*, 2011.
- Ontologies for intellectual property rights protection.
Zhang X.M., Liu Q., Wang H.Q., *Expert Systems with Applications*, 2011, DOI: 10.1016/j.eswa.2011.08.021.
- Patent cross-licensing, the influence of IP interdependency and the moderating effect of firm size.
Kohler F., *Journal of Technology Transfer*, 2011, 36 (4), 448–467.
- Patent fraud.
Taylor D.O., *Temple Law Review*, 2010, 83 (1), 49–100.
- Patent intelligence and business strategy.
Wang X., *African Journal of Business Management*, 2011, 5 (10), 3935–3941.
- Patent protection, capital accumulation, and economic growth.
Iwaisako T., Futagami K., *Economic Theory*, 2011, DOI: 10.1007/s00199-011-0658-y, 1–38.
- Prediction on pure electric vehicle technology maturity in China based on TRIZ theory.
Wang Y., Zhang H., 2011 International Conference on Electric Information and Control Engineering, ICEICE 2011 - Proceedings, 2011, DOI: 10.1109/ICEICE.2011.5777034, 2461–2464.
- Probabilistic heterogeneous patent protection and innovation incentives.
Spinesi L., *B.E. Journal of Economic Analysis and Policy*, 2011, 11 (1), 45.
- Proceeding of the ETRIA World TRIZ Future Conference.
Procedia Engineering, 2011, 9.
- Process of a refined prototype design: Integrating designing the theory of inventive problem-solving and systematic controlling variables method.
Lee K.-L., Chang Y.-T., Hu S.-J., *APBITM 2011 - Proceedings 2011 IEEE International Summer Conference of Asia Pacific Business Innovation and Technology Management*, 2011, DOI: 10.1109/APBITM.2011.5996321, 193–197.
- Protecting intellectual property - Patent or trade secret?.
Stein A.T., Marsh J.F., Gaum R.E., *AIStech - Iron and Steel Technology Conference Proceedings*, 2011, 1147–1155.
- Public science and public innovation: Assessing the relationship between patenting at U.S. National Laboratories and the Bayh-Dole Act.
Link A.N., Siegel D.S., Van Fleet D.D., *Research Policy*, 2011, 40 (8), 1094–1099.
- Quantifying and formalizing product aspects through patent mining.
Verhaegen P.-A., D'hondt J., Vertommen J., Dewulf S., Dufloy J.R., *Procedia Engineering*, 2011, 9, 323–336.
- Recent procedures introduced to challenge patent grants at the United States Patent and Trademark Office.
Grossman S.J., Filip B.A., *Annual Technical Conference - ANTEC, Conference Proceedings*, 2011, 3, 1942–1946.
- Reform of the patent rights system and its impact on R&D activities in Korea: The case of the electronics industry.
Oh J.-B., Park C., *Asian Journal of Technology Innovation*, 2010, 18 (1), 183–200.
- Searching for similar products through patent analysis.
Verhaegen P.-A., D'hondt J., Vertommen J., Dewulf S., Dufloy J.R., *Procedia Engineering*, 2011, 9, 431–441.
- Strategic technology editing of the patent stage: Lesson from a case of inkjet printer development.
Tsuji Y.S., *Technology Analysis and Strategic Management*, 2011, 23 (6), 655–665.
- Strategies analysis model of patents knowledge and its application.
Qian Z.-F., Li Y., Li W.-Q., Sun Y.-S., *Jisuanji Jicheng Zhizao Xitong/Computer Integrated Manufacturing Systems, CIMS*, 2011, 17 (7), 1381–1388.
- Structural modeling of the value of patent.
Suzuki J., *Research Policy*, 2011, 40 (7), 986–1000.
- The analysis of patent option for RDA project valuation.
Senjuntichai A., Techanitisawad A., Luong H.T., *Journal of Advanced Mechanical Design, Systems and Manufacturing*, 2010, 4 (3), 683–700.
- The European patent system: Dealing with emerging technologies.
Kica E., Groenendijk N., *Innovation*, 2011, 24 (1–2), 85–105.
- The international developmental state: The Japanese intellectual property system in Vietnam.
Reiffenstein T., Nguyen H.T., *Geoforum*, 2011, 42 (4), 462–472.
- The management of the role of patent attorneys during university patenting: An exploratory study from university professors' perspective in the biotechnology sector in Taiwan.
Chang T.-Y., *APBITM 2011 - Proceedings 2011 IEEE International Summer Conference of Asia Pacific Business Innovation and Technology Management*, 2011, DOI: 10.1109/APBITM.2011.5996350, 333–337.
- The virtues of virtual marking in patent reform.
McCaffrey C., *Northwestern University Law Review*, 2011, 105 (1), 367–400.
- Understanding the technology market for patents: New insights from a licensing survey of Japanese firms.
Kani M., Motohashi K., *Research Policy*, 2011, DOI: 10.1016/j.respol.2011.08.002.
- US patents abroad: Does gravity matter?.
Archontakis F., Varsakelis N.C., *Journal of Technology Transfer*, 2011, 36 (4), 404–416.
- Using patents to populate an inventive design ontology.
Cavallucci D., Rousselot F., Zanni C., *Procedia Engineering*, 2011, 9, 52–62.
- Utilization of online patent information and analysis to promote innovation capacity in Muslim world.
Edi R.Y., Yaniar H., Jatraningrum D.A., Iswanto I.B., *Proceeding of the 3rd International Conference on Information and Communication Technology for the Moslem World: ICT Connecting Cultures*, 2010, 5971903, E115–E121.
- We've come a long way [IP outsourcing].
Crompton S., *Managing Intellectual Property*, 2011, (210), 38–41.
- A critique of recent opinions in patent interferences
Gholz, C. L., *Journal of the Patent and Trademark Office Society*, 2011, 93 (1), 74–97.

2.3. Trademarks and domain names

2.3.1. Trademarks

Between cola-cao and nesquik. The Galician language and trademarks.

Alvarez Villar N., Rey Rey M., *Estudios de Linguística Galega*, 2011, 3, 173–198.

Commission hearing on the Max Planck Institute study on the overall functioning of the European Trade Mark system.

Ashmead R., *CIPA Journal*, 2011, 40 (7), 430–434.

Determining the scope of trademark rights by recourse to value judgements related to the effectiveness of competition - The demise of the trademark-use requirement and the functional analysis of trademark law.

Chronopoulos A., *IIC International Review of Intellectual Property and Competition Law*, 2011, 42 (5), 535–570.

Overcoming Babel's curse: adapting the doctrine of foreign equivalents.

Skinner, J., *Journal of the Patent and Trademark Office Society*, 2011, 93 (1), 57–71.

Geographical indications and the trade related intellectual property rights agreement (TRIPS): A case study of basmati rice exports.

Mulik K., Crespi J.M., *Journal of Agricultural and Food Industrial Organization*, 2011, 9 (1), 4.

How polyamide became known as nylon: An examination of trademark genericism and its affects on the plastics industry.

Fessier J.L., *Annual Technical Conference - ANTEC, Conference Proceedings*, 2011, 3, 1924–1927.

Is New Zealand's trade mark law out of shape?.

Batty R., *European Intellectual Property Review*, 2011, 33 (5), 281–289.

Recognition of trademarks during sport television broadcasts.

Frejl ichowski D., *Lecture Notes in Computer Science (including subseries Lecture Notes in Artificial Intelligence and Lecture Notes in Bioinformatics)*, 2011, 6754 LNCS (PART 2), 380–388.

When can the owner of a surname trade mark monopolise his mark in Europe?: An examination of the Court's logic in Case C-51/09 P Barbara Becker v Harman International Industries, Inc., OHIM

Blakeney S., *Journal of Intellectual Property Law & Practice*, 2011, 6(8), 568–573.

Secondary meaning in trademark and trade dress law.

Scalise D., Koenig A., Carr B., *Graziadio Business Report*, 2011, 14 (2).

The case for a limited protection of trademark merchandising.

Calboli I., *University of Illinois Law Review*, 2011, 2011 (3), 865–913.

The Dollar sign: Take your mark: Trademark research for the business world.

Ojala M., *Online (Wilton, Connecticut)*, 2011, 35 (5), 48–50.

The importance of trade mark distinguishing function in Spanish law.

Chalmeta B.T., *European Intellectual Property Review*, 2011, 33 (7), 419–424.

Trade mark protection - A black hole in the intellectual property galaxy?.

Senftleben M., *IIC International Review of Intellectual Property and Competition Law*, 2011, 42 (4), 383–387.

2.4. Designs

No entries

2.5. Other IP; general IP issues

2.5.1. Policy and strategic issues

A world without intellectual property a review of Michele Boldrin and David Levine's *Against Intellectual Monopoly*.

Gilbert R., *Journal of Economic Literature*, 2011, 49 (2), 421–432.

Causing infringement.

Bartholomew M., McArdle P.F., *Vanderbilt Law Review*, 2011, 64 (3), 675–746.

On the research of the domestic IPR pledge loan and appraisal.

Dong Y., *BMEI 2011 - Proceedings 2011 International Conference on Business Management and Electronic Information*, 2011, 4, 5921010, 457–460.

The Harold Fox Lecture 2011: The common law of intellectual Property.

Jacob R., *Intellectual Property Journal*, 2011, 23 (2), 159–167.

When is intellectual property needed as a carrot for innovators?.

Engel C., *Journal of Competition Law and Economics*, 2011, 7 (2), DOI: 10.1093/joclec/nhq025, 277–299.

2.5.2. Other IP issues

Analysis between patent output and economic output for emerging technology companies.

Feifei W., Qing D., *2011 International Conference on E-Business and E-Government, Proceedings*, 2011, DOI: 10.1109/ICE-BEG.2011.5882311, 3943–3945.

Discover business databases.

Babcock B., Johnson J., *Managing Intellectual Property*, 2011, (211), 70–72.

Don't forget the IP [open innovation].

England P., McLean A., *Managing Intellectual Property*, 2011, (211), 66–69.

Game analysis for intellectual property protection (IPP) of multinational corporations in the context of R&D internationalization.

He T.-T., Zeng D.-M., *Journal of Donghua University (English Edition)*, 2011, 28 (1), 109–114.

Having or doing intellectual property rights? Transgenic seed on the edge between refeudalisation and napsterisation.

Schubert J., Boschen S., Gill B., *Archives Europeennes de Sociologie*, 2011, 52 (1), 1–17.

Intellectual property rights, Innovation, and Economic growth in Sub-Saharan Africa.

Adams S., *Journal of Third World Studies*, 2011, 28 (1), 231–243.

IP Focus 2011 Taiwan., *Managing Intellectual Property*, 2011, (210), 61–73.

Strengthening intellectual property rights: Experience from the 1986 Taiwanese patent reforms.

Lo S.-T., *International Journal of Industrial Organization*, 2011, 29 (5), 524–536.

Technological innovation, intellectual property protection and the structure of imports in China.

Gu G., Chen L., *2011 International Conference on E-Business and E-Government, ICEE2011 - Proceedings*, 2011, DOI: 10.1109/ICE-BEG.2011.5882220, 3564–3567.

The equilibrium analysis of funds and intellectual property rights allocation between enterprises and research institutions.

Ma W., Mo X., Fu X., Zhu W., 2011 International Conference on E-Business and E-Government, Proceedings, 2011, DOI: 10.1109/ICEBEG.2011.5882717, 5558–5561.

The impact of the tradeoff between incentives and spillovers on intellectual property rights system.

Ma S., 2011 International Conference on E-Business and E-Government, Proceedings, 2011, DOI: 10.1109/ICEBEG.2011.5882723, 5580–5583.

2.6. Historical

“Gray, meticulous and patently documentary”: Foucaultian historical methods and the patent system.

Dent C., Journal of Sociology, 2011, 47 (3), 297–312.

Food and drug law as intellectual property law: Historical reflections.

Swanson K.W., Wisconsin Law Review, 2011, 2011 (2), 331–397.

The aeronautical exploits of Jacob Ellehammer.

Collins A.N., Leishman J.G., Annual Forum Proceedings - AHS International, 2011, 3, 1736–1751.

David Newton
Crooked Thatch, East End, Hook Norton,
Banbury OX15 5LG,
United Kingdom
E-mail address: dnewton@hotmail.co.uk