



Literature listing

1. Books

1.1. Recent reports and other monographs

World Intellectual Property Indicators 2009.
WIPO Publication No. 941(E), World Intellectual Property Organization, Geneva,
<http://www.wipo.int/export/sites/www/ipstats/en/statistics/patents/pdf/wipo_pub_941.pdf>.

Third Revision of Patent Law in China (Parts I and II).
<<http://www.ip-watch.org/weblog/2009/09/08/third-revision-of-patent-law-in-china/>>,
<<http://www.ip-watch.org/weblog/2009/10/01/third-revision-of-patent-law-in-china-part-ii/>>.

International Property Rights Index (IPRI) 2009 Report.
Anne Chandima Dedigama, Property Rights Alliance,
<http://internationalpropertyrightsindex.org/atr_Final1.pdf>.

1.2. Reviews are available as follows:

Intellectual property law.
Bently L., Sherman B. 3rd edn, OUP 2009. Reviewed by Sukkaryeh G. in *European Intellectual Property Review*, 2009, 31 (11), 586–587.

Intellectual property and the new global Japanese economy.
Taplin R. Routledge 2009.
Reviewed in *European Intellectual Property Review*, 2009, 31 (10), 535.

Intellectual Property and traditional cultural expressions in a digital environment.
C.B. Beat Graber, Mira Buri-Nenova, Edard Elgar, 2008. Reviewed by Ammar J. in *European Intellectual Property Review*, 2009, 31 (10), 534.

2. Journals

The listing in this issue includes entries found using Scopus™, Elsevier's abstract and indexing database which gives access to almost 18,000 peer-reviewed titles from more than 5,000 international publishers.

2.1. *Search techniques, databases and analysis: classification: searcher certification*

2.1.1. Search techniques, databases

A proposal for chemical information retrieval evaluation.
Zhu J., Tait J. *International Conference on Information and Knowledge Management, Proceedings*, 2008, 15–18.

An automated method for acquiring design knowledge from product patents.
Zhang H., Qiu Q.-Y., Feng P.-E., Wang Z.-X. *Harbin Gongcheng Daxue Xuebao/Journal of Harbin Engineering University*, 2009, 30 (7), 785–791.

Analyzing document retrievability in patent retrieval settings.
Bashir S., Rauber A. *Lecture Notes in Computer Science (including subseries Lecture Notes in Artificial Intelligence and Lecture Notes in Bioinformatics)*, 2009, 5690 LNCS, 753–760.

Automatic detection of treatment relationships for patent retrieval.
Chu A., Sakurai S., Cardenas A.F. *International Conference on Information and Knowledge Management, Proceedings*, 2008, 9–14.

COA: Finding novel patents through text analysis.
Al Hasan M., Spangler W.S., Griffin T., Alba A. *Proceedings of the ACM SIGKDD International Conference on Knowledge Discovery and Data Mining*, 2009, 1175–1183.

Discovering competitive intelligence by mining changes in patent trends.
Shih M.-J., Liu D.-R., Hsu M.-L. *Expert Systems with Applications*, 2009, 10.1016/j.eswa.2009.09.001.

Domain adaptation for English–Korean MT system: From patent domain to IT web news domain.
Lee K.-Y., Choi S.-K., Kwon O.-W., Roh Y.-H., Kim Y.-G. *Lecture Notes in Computer Science (including subseries Lecture Notes in Artificial Intelligence and Lecture Notes in Bioinformatics)*, 2009, 5459 LNAI, 321–328.

Empirical research on technology share based on hybrid approach for morphology analysis and conjoint analysis of patent information.
Lucheng H., Jiang L. *11th International Conference on Computer Modelling and Simulation, UKSim 2009, Art. No. 4809780*, 293–298.

Generic title labelling for clustered documents.

Tseng Y.-H. *Expert Systems with Applications*, 2010, 37 (3), 2247–2254.

How to play the “Names Game”: Patent retrieval comparing different heuristics.

Raffo J., Lhuillery S. *Research Policy*, 2009, 38 (10), 1617–1627.

Incorporating prior knowledge into task decomposition for large-scale patent classification.

Ma C., Lu B.-L., Utiyama M. *Lecture Notes in Computer Science* (including subseries *Lecture Notes in Artificial Intelligence* and *Lecture Notes in Bioinformatics*), 2009, 5552 LNCS (PART 2), 784–793.

Large-scale, parallel automatic patent annotation.

Agatonovic M., Aswani N., Bontcheva K., Cunningham H., Heitz T., Li Y., Roberts I., Tablan V. *International Conference on Information and Knowledge Management, Proceedings*, 2008, 1–8.

Pattern-oriented associative rule-based patent classification.

He C., Loh H.T. *Expert Systems with Applications*, 2010, 37 (3), 2395–2404.

Semantic based text classification of patent documents to a user-defined taxonomy.

Sureka A., Mirajkar P.P., Teli P.N., Agarwal G., Bose S.K. *Lecture Notes in Computer Science* (including subseries *Lecture Notes in Artificial Intelligence* and *Lecture Notes in Bioinformatics*), 2009, 5678 LNAI, 644–651.

Simplification of patent claim sentences for their paraphrasing and summarization.

Bouayad-Agha N., Casamayor G., Ferraro G., Wanner L. *Proceedings of the 22nd International Florida Artificial Intelligence Research Society Conference, FLAIRS-22*, 2009, 302–303.

Support vector based method for acquiring domain specific patents.

Wang C., Li S.-J. *Proceedings of the 2009 International Conference on Machine Learning and Cybernetics*, 2009, 6, Art. No. 5212764, 3511–3515.

Supporting sub-document updates and queries in an inverted index.

Ercegovac V., Josifovski V., Li N., Mediano M.R., Shekita E.J. *International Conference on Information and Knowledge Management, Proceedings*, 2008, 659–668.

The patent curriculum planning and design in library and information science schools [Chinese Source].

Huang Y.-H. *Journal of Educational Media and Library Science*, 2008, 46 (1), 31–54.

The patent mining task in the seventh NTCIR workshop.

Nanba H., Fujii A. *International Conference on Information and Knowledge Management, Proceedings*, 2008, 25–31.

The use of social network analysis in knowledge diffusion research from patent data.

Hsueh C.C., Wang C.C. *Proceedings of the 2009 International Conference on Advances in Social Network Analysis and Mining*, 2009, 393–398.

Toward a more rational patent search paradigm.

Atkinson K.H. *International Conference on Information and Knowledge Management, Proceedings*, 2008, 37–40.

Towards a patent taxonomy integration and interaction framework.

Pesenhofer A., Edler S., Berger H., Dittenbach M. *International Conference on Information and Knowledge Management, Proceedings*, 2008, 19–24.

Using neural network to analyze the influence of the patent performance upon the market value of the US pharmaceutical companies.

Chen Y.-S., Chang K.-C. *Scientometrics*, 2009, 80 (3), 637–655.

Web mining based patent analysis and citation visualization.

Liu Z., Zhu D. *Proceedings of the 2009 2nd Pacific-Asia Conference on Web Mining and Web-Based Application*, 2009, Art. No. 5232458, 19–23.

Trademark image retrieval based on shape and key local color features.

Wang Y.-J., Zheng C.-F. *2009 2nd International Conference on Information and Computing Science, ICIC 2009*, 2009, 2, Art. No.5169077, 325–328.

Colour logo and trademark retrieval and tracking in unconstrained image sequences using colour edge gradient co-occurrence histograms.

Phan R., Androutsos D. *Canadian Conference on Electrical and Computer Engineering*, 2009, Art. No. 5090125, 225–228.

Content-based unconstrained logo and trademark retrieval in color image databases with Color Edge Gradient Co-occurrence Histograms.

Phan R., Androutsos D. *DSP 2009: 16th International Conference on Digital Signal Processing, Proceedings*, 2009, 10.1109/ICDSP.2009.5201266.

2.1.2. Analysis and statistics

An analysis of the effect of software intellectual property rights on the performance of software firms in South Korea.

Suh D., Hwang J. *Technovation*, 2009, 10.1016/j.technovation.2009.08.005.

Analysis of cooperative research and development networks on Japanese patents.

Inoue H., Souma W., Tamada S. *Journal of Informetrics*, 2009, 10.1016/j.joi.2009.09.002.

Anticipating converging industries using publicly available data.

Curran C.-S., Broring S., Leker J. *Technological Forecasting and Social Change*, 2009, 10.1016/j.techfore.2009.10.002.

Chance discovery in technology monitoring of solar cell using patent data.

Chiu T.-F., Hong C.-F., Wang M.-Y., Hsu C.-L., Chiu Y.-T. *Conference Proceedings – IEEE International Conference on Systems, Man and Cybernetics*, 2008, Art. No. 4811292, 301–306.

Collective knowledge, prolific inventors and the value of inventions: An empirical study of French, German and British patents in the US, 1975–1999.

Gay C., Latham W., Le Bas C. *Economics of Innovation and New Technology*, 2008, 17 (1), 5–22.

Defining triadic patent families as a measure of technological strength.

Sternitzke C. *Scientometrics*, 2009, 81 (1), 91–109.

Did TRIPs spur innovation? An analysis of patent duration and incentives to innovate.

Abrams D.S. *University of Pennsylvania Law Review*, 2009, 157 (6), 1613–1647.

Flow and social relationships of knowledge in science, technology and innovation: A patentometric study of UNICAMP's technological production.

Pereira C.A., Bazi R.E.R. *Scientometrics*, 2009, 81 (1), 61–72.

How do latecomers catch up with forerunners? Analysis of patents and patent citations in the field of flat panel display technologies.

Jang S.-L., Lo S., Chang W.H. *Scientometrics*, 2009, 79 (3), 563–591.

Innovation and spatial knowledge spillovers: Evidence from Brazilian patent data.

Goncalves E., Almeida E. *Regional Studies*, 2009, 43 (4), 513–528.

Knowledge sharing and diffusion patterns.

Dang Y., Chen H., Zhang Y., Roco M.C. *IEEE Nanotechnology Magazine*, 2009, 3 (3), 16–21.

National systems of innovations and natural resources clusters: Evidence from copper mining industry patents.

Bas T.G., Kunc M.H. *European Planning Studies*, 2009, 17 (12), 1861–1879.

Patents and publications as sources of novel and inventive knowledge.

Sternitzke C. *Scientometrics*, 2009, 79 (3), 551–561.

Policing patents worldwide? – EC border measures against transiting generic drugs under EC and WTO intellectual property regimes.

Ruse-Khan H.G., Jaeger T. *IIC International Review of Intellectual Property and Competition Law*, 2009, 40 (5), 502–538.

Production of university technological knowledge in European regions: Evidence from patent data.

Acosta M., Coronado D., Leon M.D., Martinez M.A. *Regional Studies*, 2009, 43 (9), 1167–1181.

Scientometrics and patent bibliometrics in RUL analysis: A new approach to valuation of intangible assets.

Cavaller V. *VINE*, 2009, 39 (1), 80–91.

The impact of small world on innovation: An empirical study of 16 countries.

Chen Z., Guan J. *Journal of Informetrics*, 2009, 10.1016/j.joi.2009.09.003.

The nonlinear nature of the relationships between the patent traits and corporate performance.

Chen Y.-S., Chang K.-C. *Scientometrics*, 2009, 1–10.

University patents: Analysis of the deposits of Paulista Public Universities (1995–2006).

Amadei J.R.P., Torkomian A.L.V. *Ciencia da Informacao*, 2009, 38 (2), 9–18.

What do patent examiner inserted citations indicate for a region with low absorptive capacity?

Azagra-Caro J.M., Fernandez-de-Lucio I., Perruchas F., Mattsson P. *Scientometrics*, 2009, 80 (2), 441–455.

Patent analysis for supporting merger and acquisition (M&A) prediction: A data mining approach.

Wei C.-P., Jiang Y.-S., Yang C.-S. *Lecture Notes in Business Information Processing*, 2009, 22, 187–200.

2.2. Patents

2.2.1. Relating to life sciences and pharmaceuticals

Beyond recombinant technology: Synthetic biology and patentable subject matter.

Palombi L. *The Journal of World Intellectual Property*, 2009, 12 (5), 371–401.

Effective intellectual property protection of traditional knowledge of plants and their uses: An example from Australia.

Evans L., Scott H., Muir K., Briscoe J. *GeoJournal*, 2009, 74 (5), 391–401.

Gender differences in patenting activity: An examination of the US biotechnology industry.

McMillan G.S. *Scientometrics*, 2009, 80 (3), 683–691.

How biological is essentially biological? The referrals to the enlarged board of appeal G-2/07 and G-1/08.

Bostyn S.J.R. *European Intellectual Property Review*, 2009, 31 (11), 549–558.

IP Focus 2009 Life sciences supplement.

Managing Intellectual Property, 2009.

Paediatric extensions – more SP disharmony?

Nettleton E. *Patent World*, 2009, (216), 23–26.

Patents claiming genetic sequences.

Sharples A., Smith R.L. *Patent World*, 2009, (216), 32–34.

Peculiarities of the protection conferred to medicaments in Europe.

Perrey R., Lenhard K. *Journal of the Patent and Trademark Office Society*, 2009, 91 (6), 428–437.

Pharmaceutical patents: Incentives for research and development or marketing?

Brekke K.R., Straume O.R. *Southern Economic Journal*, 2009, 76 (2), 351–374.

The implications of India's amended patent regime: STRIPping away food security and farmers' rights?

Plahe J.K. *Third World Quarterly*, 2009, 30 (6), 1197–1213.

The role of patents in biodiversity conservation.

Lawson C. *Nature Biotechnology*, 2009, 27 (11), 994–995.

Disclaiming methods of medical treatment under the European Patent Convention.

Ventose E.D. *Journal of Intellectual Property Law & Practice*, 2009, 4 (10), 706–713.

2.2.2. *Relating to software*

Software patentability: What are the right questions?

Bakels R.B. *European Intellectual Property Review*, 2009, 31 (10), 514–522.

A slow death [business method patents].

Murphy G.M. *Patent World*, 2009, (217), 28–31.

Evaluating the Financial Performance of Business Method Patent Owners.

Martin N.L., Mykytyn Jr. P.P. *Information Systems Management*, 2009, 26 (3), 285–301.

How to get a green business method patent post-Biski.

Helmsen J., Sing R. *Managing Intellectual Property*, 2009, (192), 54–56.

Legally speaking: Are business methods patentable?

Samuelson P. *Communications of the ACM*, 2009, 52 (11), 28–30.

Patents gone wild: An ethical examination and legal analysis of tax-related and tax strategy patents.

Chumney W.M., Baumer D.L., Sawyers R.B. *American Business Law Journal*, 2009, 46 (3), 343–406.

Software intellectual property rights protection in China.

Ma H., Cai Y., Zhang Z. 2009 International Conference on E-Business and Information System Security, 2009, 10.1109/EBISS.2009.5138077.

Software patents: The current debate and referral to the Enlarged Board.

Cole P. *CIPA Journal*, 2009, 38 (8), 536–539.

What intellectual property law should learn from software.

Boyle J. *Communications of the ACM*, 2009, 52 (9), 71–76.

2.2.3. *Policy and strategic issues*

Développement et impact des stratégies de dépôt de brevets.

Van Zeebroeck N. *Reflète et Perspectives de la Vie Economique*, 2008, 47 (3), 87–100.

Ending the patenting monopoly.

Abramowicz M., Duffy J.F. *University of Pennsylvania Law Review*, 2009, 157 (6), 1541–1611.

Examiner citations, applicant citations, and the private value of patents.

Hegde D., Sampat B. *Economics Letters*, 2009, 105 (3), 287–289.

Getting patent policy right: An introduction to a special issue on the European patent system.

Elsmore M.J. *Science and Public Policy*, 2009, 36 (8), 583–585.

Getting patent policy right: A conclusion to a special issue on the European patent system.

Elsmore M.J. *Science and Public Policy*, 2009, 36 (8), 649–652.

Governing the patent system in Europe: The EPO's supranational autonomy and its need for a regulatory perspective.

Schneider I. *Science and Public Policy*, 2009, 36 (8), 619–629.

Next steps for Community patent review.

McDermott E. *Managing Intellectual Property*, 2009, (192), 6–7.

No short cuts: Weighing the facts under 103.

Zuege A., Beck C. *Journal of the Patent and Trademark Office Society*, 2009, 91 (6), 398–417.

Patent reform in Europe and the US.

Borras S., Kahin B. *Science and Public Policy*, 2009, 36 (8), 631–640.

Priority right, 35 U.S.C. 102(d) bar and the TRIPS obligations of the USA: A last chance to analyse the issue?

Straus J. *Journal of the Patent and Trademark Office Society*, 2009, 91 (6), 375–397.

Reconsidering the Bayh-Dole Act and the Current University Invention Ownership Model.

Kenney M., Patton D. *Research Policy*, 2009, 38 (9), 1407–1422.

Socially excessive dissemination of patent licences.

Creane A. *Canadian Journal of Economics*, 2009, 42 (4), 1578–1598.

WIPO acts to repair the PCT system.

Barracough E. *Managing Intellectual Property*, 2009, (193), 42–48.

2.2.4. *Other patent topics*

Academic patenting and the professor's privilege: Evidence on Denmark from the KEINS database.

Lissoni F., Lotz P., Schovsbo J., Treccani A. *Science and Public Policy*, 2009, 36 (8), 595–607.

Accelerated patent examination procedures spur Japanese university innovation.

Tessensohn J.A., Yamamoto S. *Nature Biotechnology*, 2009, 27 (9), 815–818.

An experiment in teaching invention.

Clark A. *Education and Training*, 2009, 51 (7), 516–525.

An experimental use exception from patent infringement for New Zealand.

Frankel S. *The Journal of World Intellectual Property*, 2009, 12 (5), 446–466.

Birth of a new tactic – Attacking a patent's priority date.

Radcliffe J. *Patent World*, 2009, (216), 14–17.

Cooperation, trust and risk in the world's major patent offices.

Drahos P. *Science and Public Policy*, 2009, 36 (8), 641–647.

Do royalties really foster university patenting activity? An answer from Italy.

Baldini N. *Technovation*, 2009, 10.1016/j.technovation.2009.09.007.

Estimates of patent rents from firm market value.

Bessen J. *Research Policy*, 2009, 38 (10), 1604–1616.

Fence posts or sign posts? Rethinking patent claim construction.

Burk D.L., Lemley M.A. *University of Pennsylvania Law Review*, 2009, 157 (6), 1743–1799.

- Growing pains in the administrative state: The Patent Office's troubled quest for managerial control.
Rai A.K. *University of Pennsylvania Law Review*, 2009, 157 (6), 2051–2081.
- How much for how little? [UK courts].
Kitcin D. *CIPA Journal*, 2009, 38 (8), 522–527.
- Increasing access to patented inventions by post-grant measures.
Schovsbo J. *Science and Public Policy*, 2009, 36 (8), 609–618.
- It's not just competitors: Acknowledging and accommodating “interfering busybodies” and their challenges to patent validity.
Wetherall K. *The Journal of World Intellectual Property*, 2009, 12 (5), 500–523.
- Jostling for advantage or not: Choosing between patent portfolio races and ex ante licensing.
Siebert R., von Graevenitz G. *Journal of Economic Behavior and Organization*, 2009, 10.1016/j.jebo.2009.09.002.
- Patent as a competitive tool and as a source of technological information.
Ferreira A.A., Guimaraes E.R., Contador J.C. *Gestao e Producao*, 2009, 16 (2), 209–221.
- Patent priority network: Linking patent portfolio to strategic goals.
Su F.P., Lai K.K., Sharma R.R.K., Kuo T.H. *Journal of the American Society for Information Science and Technology*, 2009, 60 (11), 2353–2361.
- Patent property appraisal discussion in the transaction process of intellectual property rights.
Li X., Wang Z. 2009 International Workshop on Intelligent Systems and Applications, 2009, 10.1109/IWISA.2009.5073003.
- Patent validation at the country level – The role of fees and translation costs.
Harhoff D., Hoisl K., Reichl B., van Pottelsberghe de la Potterie B. *Research Policy*, 2009, 38 (9), 1423–1437.
- Patents and exhibitions.
Richaardson M. *The Journal of World Intellectual Property*, 2009, 12 (5), 402–421.
- Polishing a diamond in the rough: Suggestions for improving Inter Partes reexaminations.
Pederssn B. *Journal of the Patent and Trademark Office Society*, 2009, 91 (6), 422–427.
- Prevailing impact trends in patenting.
Elsmore M.J. *Science and Public Policy*, 2009, 36 (8), 587–594.
- Pricing abuses by essential patent holders in a standard-setting context: A view from Europe.
Geradin D. *Antitrust Law Journal*, 2009, 76 (1), 329–357.
- Reinventing discovery: Patent law's characterizations of and interventions upon science.
Simon A. *University of Pennsylvania Law Review*, 2009, 157 (6), 2175–2232.
- Specialization, centralization, and the distribution of patent intermediaries in the USA and Japan.
Reiffenstein T. *Regional Studies*, 2009, 43 (4), 571–588.
- Technology transfer offices and university patenting in Sweden and Germany.
Sellenthin M.O. *Journal of Technology Transfer*, 2009, 34 (6), 603–620.
- The Australian experimental use exemption: A current overview
Monotti A.L. *The Journal of World Intellectual Property*, 2009, 12 (5), 422–445.
- The case for preferring patent-validity litigation over second-window review and gold-plated patents: When one size doesn't fit all, how could two do the trick?
Scott Kieff F. *University of Pennsylvania Law Review*, 2009, 157 (6), 1937–1963.
- The Evolution of the Intellectual Property Management Strategy of an Emerging Multinational: Learning the Purpose of Patenting and Scientific Publications.
Bromfield T., Barnard H. *IEEE Transactions on Engineering Management*, 2009, 10.1109/TEM.2009.2025493.
- The gender innovation and research productivity gap in Europe.
Busolt U., Kugele K. *International Journal of Innovation and Sustainable Development*, 2009, 4 (02/03/09), 109–122.
- The new law and its effect [EPC].
Boff J. *CIPA Journal*, 2009, 38 (8), 540–543.
- The PTO and the market for influence in patent Law.
Clarisa L. *University of Pennsylvania Law Review*, 2009, 157 (6), 1965–1999.
- Thinning the thicket.
Dagg N., Ridgway M. *Patent World*, 2009, (215), 22–25.
- Third-party patent challenges in Europe, the United States n Australia: A comparative analysis.
Rotstein F., Dent. *The Journal of World Intellectual Property*, 2009, 12 (5), 467–499.
- Trends and volatilities in heterogeneous patent quality in Taiwan.
Lu W.-C., Chen J.-R., Tung I.-H. *Journal of Technology Management and Innovation*, 2009, 4 (2), 69–81.
- Understanding patent-quality mechanisms.
Wagner R.P. *University of Pennsylvania Law Review*, 2009, 157 (6), 2135–2173.
- What affects a patent's value? An analysis of variables that affect technological, direct economic, and indirect economic value: An exploratory conceptual approach.
Lee Y.-G. *Scientometrics*, 2009, 79 (3), 623–633.
- Embracing an IP strategy amid WTO compliance [China].
Qingjiang K. *Journal of Intellectual Property Law & Practice*, 2009, 4 (10) 751–758.
- Improving patent incentives and enforcement.
Schankerman M. *Journal of Intellectual Property Law & Practice*, 2009, 4 (11), 798–808.
- Transparency, trust, and the patent system.
Karachalios K., Elahi S. *Journal of Intellectual Property Law & Practice*, 2009, 4 (11), 809–814.

2.3. Trademarks and domain names

2.3.1. Trademarks

Brand momentum: The measure of great pharmaceutical brands. Tebbey P.W., Bergheiser J.K., Mattick R.N. *Journal of Medical Marketing*, 2009, 9 (3), 221–232.

Evaluating the financial impact of branding using trademarks: A framework and empirical evidence.

Krasnikov A., Mishra S., Orozco D. *Journal of Marketing*, 2009, 73 (6), 154–166.

iEthics.

Chumney W.M., Cowart T.W. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 2009, 1–12.

Intel, Adidas & Co – Is the jurisprudence of the European Court of Justice on Dilution law in compliance with the underlying rationales and fit for the future?

Breitschaft A. *European Intellectual Property Review*, 2009, 31 (10), 497–504.

Recognition of grouping patterns in trademarks based on the gestalt psychology.

Iguchi H., Abe K., Misawa T., Kimura H., Daido Y. *Electronics and Communications in Japan*, 2009, 92 (10), 49–60.

Smell marks – A Singapore study and the implications for the commercial use and exploitation of non-traditional trade marks.

Anil S., Faye C.P.H., Julian H.J.R., Jeremy W.Z.M. *IIC International Review of Intellectual Property and Competition Law*, 2009, 40 (6), 698–714.

The branding of America: The rise of geographic trademarks and the need for a strong fair use defense.

Daniels J.C. *Iowa Law Review*, 2009, 94 (5), 1703–1742.

The intellectual property of geography [GIs].

Rangnekar D. *European Intellectual Property Review*, 2009, 31 (11), 537–539.

Trademark contour extraction based on improved snake model.

Liu W., Sun X., Wang L. *Journal of Computational Information Systems*, 2009, 5 (3), 1253–1260.

Trademark infringement and optimal monitoring policy.

Mealem Y., Yacobi Y., Yaniv G. *Journal of Economics and Business*, 2009, 10.1016/j.jeconbus.2009.09.001.

Trademarks registered in bad faith in Croatian and EU Law.

Marinkovic A.R. *Zbornik Pravnog Fakulteta u Zagrebu*, 2009, 59 (02/03/09), 307–341.

Well-known trade marks, well-known law? Part 1.

Cholij R. *ITMA Review*, 2009, (369), 11–17.

2.3.2. Domain names

IP Focus 2009 Internet and domain names.

Managing Intellectual Property, 2009, (193), 103–120.

The legal nature of domain names rights.

Aramahi M. *Journal of International Trade Law and Policy*, 2009, 8 (1), 84–94.

Domain name disputes: The UDRP 10 years on

Willoughby T. *Journal of Intellectual Property Law & Practice*, 2009, 4 (10), 714–725.

2.4. Designs

Is design law in the United States and European Union converging? The Egyptian Goddess faces up to the Snake.

Braze L. *European Intellectual Property Review*, 2009, 31 (11), 576–581.

2.5. Other IP; general IP issues

2.5.1. Policy and strategic issues

(Re)implementing the agreement on trade-related aspects of intellectual property rights to foster innovation.

Gervais D.J. *The Journal of World Intellectual Property*, 2009, 12 (5), 348–370.

A study on the competition model between innovators and imitators under the protection of intellectual property law.

Yao W., Chen X., Yang W. 2009 International Conference on E-Business and Information System Security, 2009, 10.1109/EBISS.2009.5137906.

Gambling analysis of intellectual property rights risk management and dynamic alliance.

Li X., Wang Z. 2009 International Conference on E-Business and Information System Security, 2009, 10.1109/EBISS.2009.5137988.

Institutions and indirectness in intellectual property.

Smith H.E. *University of Pennsylvania Law Review*, 2009, 157 (6), 2083–2133.

Intellectual property, public domain and balance of interests.

Schmitz Vaccaro C. *Revista Chilena de Derecho*, 2009, 36 (2), 343–367.

Introduction: Designing intellectual property institutions for the twenty-first century.

Dreyfuss R.C. *The Journal of World Intellectual Property*, 2009, 12 (5), 341–347.

Is intellectual property trivial?

Barnett J.M. *University of Pennsylvania Law Review*, 2009, 157 (6), 1691–1742.

Liberalism and intellectual property rights.

Breakey H. *Politics, Philosophy and Economics*, 2009, 8 (3), 329–349.

Model and policy analysis on the intellectual property rights based on the value orientation of encouraging innovation.

Jiang D.-Y., Kang C.-H. *Journal of Wuhan University of Technology*, 2009, 31 (16), 171–174.

Trade, imitative ability and intellectual property rights.

Falvey R., Foster N., Greenaway D. *Review of World Economics*, 2009, 145 (3), 373–404.

2.5.2. Other IP issues

A few negative trends in the field of intellectual property rights.

Harms L.T.C. *European Intellectual Property Review*, 2009, 31 (11), 540–548.

A specialist patent or intellectual property court for New Zealand?
Glazebrook S. *The Journal of World Intellectual Property*, 2009, 12 (5), 524–569.

An intellectual property-based corporate strategy: An R&D spend, patent, trademark, media communication, and market price innovation agenda.

Daizadeh I. *Scientometrics*, 2009, 80 (3), 731–746.

Efficiently developing and managing patent portfolios.

Kramer M.S. *World Intellectual Property Report*, 2009, 23 (9), 30–34.

Fencing in green intellectual property protection of developments in sustainability and energy efficient systems.

Cannuscio R.E. 2008. *Proceedings of the 2nd International Conference on Energy Sustainability*, 2009, 1, 137–145.

Graduate formation in intellectual property in Brazil: A study based on academic production of thesis and dissertations.

Filho H.P., de Souza C.G. *Journal of Technology Management and Innovation*, 2009, 4 (2), 154–163.

Intellectual Property Bundle (IPB) theory: Managing transaction costs in technology development through network governance.

Aggarwal N., Walden E.A. *Decision Support Systems*, 2009, 48 (1), 23–32.

Intellectual property management and integrated innovation: From perspective of China's indigenous innovation.

Jihong Z., Yuzhong L., Ding D. *Proceedings – 2009 IITA International Conference on Services Science, Management and Engineering*, 2009, Art. No.5233315, 188–191.

Intellectual property rights and knowledge sharing across countries.

Chou P.B., Passerini K. *Journal of Knowledge Management*, 2009, 13 (5), 331–344.

IP Focus 2009 Africa.

Managing Intellectual Property, 2009, (193), 81–102.

IP Focus 2009 Asia-Pacific & Middle East supplement.

Managing Intellectual Property, 2009.

Manage your knowledge, protect your IP.

Manton S. *Managing Intellectual Property*, 2009, (193), 76–79.

Romanian and world trend of industrial property.

Caraiani G., Sima M.-G. *Metalurgia International*, 2009, 14 (SPEC. ISS. 10), 117–123.

Trade, imitative ability and intellectual property rights.

Falvey R., Foster N., Greenaway D. *Review of World Economics*, 2009, 1–32.

What's small size got to do with it? Protection of intellectual assets in SMEs.

Olander H., Hurmelinna-Laukkanen P., Mahonen J. *International Journal of Innovation Management*, 2009, 13 (3), 349–370.

2.6. Historical

A brief history of radar in the Soviet Union and Russia.

Chernyak V.S., Immoreev I. *IEEE Aerospace and Electronic Systems Magazine*, 2009, 24 (9), 10.1109/MAES.2009.5282288.

Engineering analysis of the 1907 cornu helicopter.

Leishman J.G., Johnson B. *Journal of the American Helicopter Society*, 2009, 54 (3), 340011–3400116.

Knowles' patent yarn balance.

Biggs N., Hutchinson J. *Textile History*, 2009, 40 (1), 97–102.

The Alfred Nobel rocket camera. An early aerial photography attempt.

Ingemar Skoog A. *Acta Astronautica*, 2010, 66 (03/04/09), 624–635.

David Newton

Crooked Thatch

East End

Hook Norton

Banbury OX15 5LG

United Kingdom

E-mail address: dnewton@hotmail.co.uk