



Literature listing

1. Books

1.1 Recent reports and other monographs

Enhancing the enforcement of intellectual property rights in the internal market. European Commission, 11/09/2009, COM/2009/0467, <<http://www.europarl.europa.eu/oeil/file.jsp?id=9800513&language=en&mailer=true>>.

Lost property: The European patent system and why it does not work. Bruno van Pottelsberghe, Bruegel Blueprint Series, Volume IX, 2009, <http://www.bruegel.org/fileadmin/files/admin/publications/blueprints/2009/patents_BP_050609.pdf>.

Patents and the regress of useful arts. Torrance A.W., Tomlinson B. The Columbia Science and Technology Law Review, 2009, 10 (130), <<http://www.stlr.org/cite.cgi?volume=10&article=3>>.

The Use and Value of IP Rights, Prepared for the UK IP Ministerial Forum on the Economic Value of Intellectual Property, 10 June 2009, Bronwyn H. Hall, <http://elsa.berkeley.edu/~bhhall/papers/BHH09_IPMinisterial_June.pdf>.

1.2. Reviews are available as follows

Elad Harison, Intellectual Property Rights, innovation and software technologies. The economics of monopoly rights and knowledge disclosure. Edward Elgar, 2008. Reviewed by Sukkaryeh G. in European Intellectual Property Review, 2009, 31 (7), 389–390.

A. Gosseries et al. (Eds.), Intellectual Property and theories of justice. Palgrave Macmillan, 2008. Reviewed by Parker N., Pearson C. in European Intellectual Property Review, 2009, 31 (9), 489.

Daniel Gervais, The TRIPS Agreement: Drafting history and analysis, 3rd ed., Sweet and Maxwell, 2008. Reviewed by Johnson P. in European Intellectual Property Review, 2009, 31 (8), 441.

Lionel Bently, Jennifer Davis, Jane C. Ginsburg (Eds.), Trade Marks and brands: An interdisciplinary critique, Cambridge University Press, 2008. Reviewed by Isaac B. in Intellectual Property Quarterly, 2009, (3), 396–398.

Robert C. Bird, Subhash C. Jain (Eds.), The Global challenge of intellectual property rights. Edward Elgar, 2008. Reviewed by Tessensohn J.A. in European Intellectual Property Review, 2009, 31 (9), 488.

2. Journals

The listing in this issue includes entries found using Scopus™, Elsevier's abstract and indexing database which gives access to almost 18,000 peer-reviewed titles from more than 5000 international publishers.

2.1. Search techniques, databases and analysis: classification: searcher certification

2.1.1. Search techniques, databases

A fuzzy ontological knowledge document clustering methodology. Trappey A.J.C., Trappey C.V., Hsu F.-C., Hsiao D.W. IEEE Transactions on Systems, Man, and Cybernetics, Part B: Cybernetics, 2009, 39 (3), 806–814.

An approach to discovering new technology opportunities: Keyword-based patent map approach. Lee S., Yoon B., Park Y. Technovation, 2009, 29 (06/07/09), 481–497.

Content-based retrieval of logo and trademarks in unconstrained color image databases using Color Edge Gradient Co-occurrence Histograms.

Phan R., Androutsos D. Computer Vision and Image Understanding, 2009, DOI: 10.1016/j.cviu.2009.07.004.

Design patent map visualization display.

Chen R. Expert Systems with Applications, 2009, 36 (10), 12362–12374.

Developing a Systematic Patent Search Training Program.

Zhang L. Journal of Academic Librarianship, 2009, 35 (3), 260–266.

Discovering unexpected documents in corpora.

Jacquet F., Largeron C. Knowledge-Based Systems, 2009, 22 (6), 421–429.

Evaluating patents using damage awards of infringement lawsuits: A case study.

Lai Y.-H., Che H.-C. Journal of Engineering and Technology Management – JET-M, 2009, 26 (3), 167–180.

Exploring the feasibility and accuracy of Latent Semantic Analysis based text mining techniques to detect similarity between patent documents and scientific publications.

Magerman T., Van Looy B., Song X. Scientometrics, 2009, DOI: 10.1007/s11192-009-0046-6.

Generic title labeling for clustered documents.

Tseng Y.-H. *Expert Systems with Applications*, 2009, DOI: 10.1016/j.eswa.2009.07.048.

Modeling patent legal value by Extension Neural Network.

Lai Y.-H., Che H.-C. *Expert Systems with Applications*, 2009, 36 (7), 10520–10528.

Patent as a competitive tool and as a source of technological information.

Ferreira A.A., Guimaraes E.R., Contador J.C. *Gestao e Producao*, 2009, 16 (2), 209–221.

Patent informatics: The issue of relevance in full-text patent document searches.

Staresinic M., Boh B. *Online Information Review*, 2009, 33 (1), 157–172.

Research of knowledge acquisition and modeling method based on patent map.

Cao Z., Zhao H. 2008 IEEE International Symposium on Knowledge Acquisition and Modeling Workshop Proceedings, KAM 2008 (Art No. 4810683), 2008, 1090–1094.

Scirus: For scientific information only.

Fitzpatrick R.B. *Journal of Electronic Resources in Medical Libraries*, 2008, 5 (3), 275–285.

Shape-based Trademark Retrieval using Cosine Distance Method.

Zou B.-J., Umugwaneza M.P. *Proceedings – 8th International Conference on Intelligent Systems Design and Applications, ISDA 2008* (Art. No. 4696383), 2008, 2, 498–504.

2.1.2. Analysis and statistics

A patent analysis of cluster analysis.

Kettenring J.R. *Applied Stochastic Models in Business and Industry*, 2009, 25 (4), 460–467.

Analyzing the nonlinear effects of firm size, profitability, and employee productivity on patent citations of the US pharmaceutical companies by using artificial neural network.

Chen Y.-S., Chang K.-C. *Scientometrics*, 2009, DOI: 10.1007/s11192-009-0034-x.

Business planning based on technological capabilities: Patent analysis for technology-driven roadmapping.

Lee S., Yoon B., Lee C., Park J. *Technological Forecasting and Social Change*, 2009, 76 (6), 769–786.

Classifying technology patents to identify trends: Applying a fuzzy-based clustering approach in the Turkish textile industry.

Dereli T., Durmusoglu A. *Technology in Society*, 2009, 31 (3), 263–272.

Constructing a new patent bibliometric performance measure by using modified citation rate analyses with dynamic backward citation windows.

Chen D.-Z., Lin C.-P., Huang M.-H., Huang C.-Y. *Scientometrics*, 2009, DOI: 10.1007/s11192-009-0044-8.

Determinants of patent value: Insights from a simulation analysis.

Ernst H., Legler S., Lichtenthaler U. *Technological Forecasting and Social Change*, 2009, DOI: 10.1016/j.techfore.2009.06.009, 2009.

Discovery of factors influencing patent value based on machine learning in patents in the field of nanotechnology.

Bass S.D., Kurgan L.A. *Scientometrics*, 2009, DOI: 10.1007/s11192-009-0008-z.

Examining the small world phenomenon in the patent citation network: a case study of the radio frequency identification (RFID) network.

Hung S.-W., Wang A.-P. *Scientometrics*, 2009, DOI: 10.1007/s11192-009-0032-z.

Exploring the cross-country gap in patenting: A Stochastic Frontier Approach.

Fu X., Yang Q.G. *Research Policy*, 2009, 38 (7), 1203–1213.

Exploring the quality of environmental technology in Europe: Evidence from patent citations.

Acosta M., Coronado D., Fernandez A. *Scientometrics*, 2009, 80 (1), 131–152.

Managing knowledge in light of its evolution process: An empirical study on citation network-based patent classification.

Li X., Chen H., Zhang Z., Li J., Nunamaker J. *Journal of Management Information Systems*, 2009, 26 (1), 129–153.

Monitoring the organic structure of technology based on the patent development paths.

Choi C., Park Y. *Technological Forecasting and Social Change*, 2009, 76 (6), 754–768.

Networks of scientific journals: An exploration of Chinese patent data.

Gao X., Guan J. *Scientometrics*, 2009, 80 (1), 283–302.

Patent analysis on the structure and patterns of competition across information and communications technologies: Lotka-Volterra equation approach.

Lee S., Choi J., Cho H., Park Y. *Asia Pacific Management Review*, 2009, 14 (2), 175–192.

Patent analysis-based fuzzy inference system for technological strategy planning.

Yu W.-D., Lo S.-S. *Automation in Construction*, 2009, 18 (6), 770–776.

Profitability decided by patent quality? An empirical study of the US semiconductor industry.

Cheng Y.-H., Kuan F.-Y., Chuang S.-C., Ken Y. *Scientometrics*, 2009, DOI: 10.1007/s11192-009-0080-4.

Renewable Energy Policies and Technological Innovation: Evidence Based on Patent Counts.

Johnstone N., Hascic I., Popp D. *Environmental and Resource Economics*, 2009, DOI: 10.1007/s10640-009-9309-1.

Sectoral strategic differences of technological development between electronics and chemistry: a historical view from analyses of Korean-invented US patents during the period of 1989–1992.

Lee Y.-G. *Scientometrics*, 2009, DOI: 10.1007/s11192-009-0052-8.

Technologies watch exercise: Foresight approach enhanced with scientific publications and patents analysis.

Arman H., Hodgson A., Gindy N. *International Journal of Technology Intelligence and Planning*, 2009, 5 (3), 305–321.

The Regionalization of Knowledge Flows in East Asia: Evidence from Patent Citations Data.

Hu A.G. *World Development*, 2009, 37 (9), 1465–1477.

The value of French patent protection assessed by renewals.
Koleda G. *Economie et Prevision*, 2005, 168 (2), 97–114.

Transnational patents and international markets.
Frietsch R., Schmoch U. *Scientometrics*, 2009, DOI: 10.1007/s11192-009-0082-2.

Using patent analyses to monitor the technological trends in an emerging field of technology: a case of carbon nanotube field emission display.

Chang P.-L., Wu C.-C., Leu H.-J. *Scientometrics*, 2009, DOI: 10.1007/s11192-009-0033-y.

2.2. Patents

2.2.1. Relating to life sciences and pharmaceuticals

Alliance portfolios and patent output: The case of biotechnology alliances.

McGill J.P., Santoro M.D. *IEEE Transactions on Engineering Management*, 2009, 56 (3), 388–401.

Bilski blundering biotech.

Simmons W.J. *Nature Biotechnology*, 2009, 27 (3), 245–248.

Biopiracy and protection of traditional medicine in India.

Latha S.S. *European Intellectual Property Review*, 2009, 31 (9), 465–477.

Can product-by-process patent claims provide protection for modernized Chinese herbal medicine?

Hsiao J. I.-H. *The Journal of World Intellectual Property*, 2009, 12 (4), 245–265.

Conflating MTAs and patents.

Noonan K.E. *Nature Biotechnology*, 2009, 27 (6), 504–505.

Dynamics of global disclosure through patent and journal publications for biopharmaceutical products.

Thangaraj H., Van Dolleweerd C.J., McGowan E.G., Ma J.K.-C. *Nature Biotechnology*, 2009, 27 (7), 614–618.

Enforcing DNA product claims in Europe: A case study using Monsanto's roundup ready litigation.

Price R., Morgan G. *European Intellectual Property Review*, 2009, 31 (7), 377–384.

Is the UK still a good place to challenge pharma patents.

Brook D., Gerhardt F. *CIPA Journal*, 2009, 38 (6), 396–400.

Obtaining useful patent protection for nucleotide and amino acid sequences in the USA.

Tritel M. *Journal of Intellectual Property Law and Practice*, 2009, 4 (9), 623–628.

Patent law and TRIPS: Compulsory licensing of patents and pharmaceuticals.

Saaha S. *Journal of the Patent and Trademark Office Society*, 2009, 91 (5), 364–374.

Patent stalemate? The WTO's essential medicines impasse between pharmas and least developed countries.

Quadir R. *Rutgers Law Review*, 2009, 61 (2), 437–469.

Patent term extensions for human drugs under the US Hatch–Waxman Act.

Boone J.S. *Journal of Intellectual Property Law and Practice*, 2009, 4 (9), 658–664.

Patenting biotech at the EPO.

Bühler, D., Huenes M. *Managing Intellectual Property*, 2009, (191), 104–107.

Patenting pharmaceutical inventions on second medical uses in Brazil.

Yumy V., Kunisawa M. *The Journal of World Intellectual Property*, 2009, 12 (4), 297–316.

Scientific linkage of science research and technology development: a case of genetic engineering research.

Lo S.-C.S. *Scientometrics*, 2009, DOI: 10.1007/s11192-009-0036-8.

Stem cell patents: A landscape analysis.

Konski A.F., Spielthener D.J.F. *Nature Biotechnology*, 2009, 27 (8), 722–726.

The absence of informed consent to commercial exploitation for inventions developed from human biological material: a bar to patentability?

Odell-West A. *Intellectual Property Quarterly*, 2009, (3), 373–390.

The emerging product and patent landscape for nanosilver containing medical devices.

Hayman M.L. *Nanotechnology Law and Business*, 2009, 6 (2), 148–158.

The relationship between a firm's patent quality and its market value – The case of US pharmaceutical industry.

Chen Y.-S., Chang K.-C. *Technological Forecasting and Social Change*, 2009, DOI: 10.1016/j.techfore.2009.06.003.

2.2.2. Relating to software

Financial patenting in Europe.

Hall B.H., Thoma G., Torrisi S. *European Management Review*, 2009, 6 (1), 45–63.

Patents, thickets and the financing of early-stage firms: Evidence from the software industry.

Cockburn I.M., MacGarvie M.J. *Journal of Economics and Management Strategy*, 2009, 18 (3), 729–773.

Software patenting in a state of flux.

Nettleton E. *Journal of Database Marketing and Customer Strategy Management*, 2009, 16 (2), 168–174.

The nature of computer programs: Tangible? Goods? Personal property? Intellectual Property?

Moon K. *European Intellectual Property Review*, 2009, 31 (8), 396–407.

UK software patents – Get with the program.

Boon J. *Computer Law and Security Report*, 2009, 25 (4), 367–371.

2.2.3. Policy and strategic issues

China's patent system and globalization.

Gao L. *Research-Technology Management*, 2009, 51 (6), 34–37.

Inventing and patenting activities of scientists: in the expectation of money or reputation?

Goktepe-Hulten D., Mahagaonkar P. *Journal of Technology Transfer*, 2009, DOI: 10.1007/s10961-009-9126-2.

- More solutions to reduce patent pendency: an empirical study.
Chung J.T. *Journal of the Patent and Trademark Office Society*, 2009, 91 (5), 338–360.
- Not for the faint-hearted – the new rules relating to filing a divisional application at the EPO.
Korenberg A. *CIPA Journal*, 2009, 38 (6), 388–392.
- Protection of public interests through a human rights framework in the TRIPS Agreement: realities and challenges.
Islam M.T. *Journal of Intellectual Property Law and Practice*, 2009, 4 (8), 573–582.
- Restricting experimental use.
Devlin A. *Harvard Journal of Law and Public Policy*, 2009, 32 (2), 599–651.
- WIPO development agenda : Towards intellectual property reform?
Sanou T.M. *Revue Internationale de Droit Economique*, 2009, 23 (2), 175–218.
- 2.2.4. *Other patent topics*
- A comparison of the spatial distribution of innovative activities in China and the US
Liu F., Sun Y. *Technological Forecasting and Social Change*, 2009, 76 (6), 797–805.
- A great wall of patents: What is behind China's recent patent explosion?
Hu A.G., Jefferson G.H. *Journal of Development Economics*, 2009, 90 (1), 57–68.
- A long-term investigation on global automakers' patents and quality.
Lin L.-H. *International Journal of Automotive Technology and Management*, 2009, 9 (3), 248–259.
- A self-defeating framework: How far could ITU patent policy go?
He H. *European Intellectual Property Review*, 2009, 31 (7), 343–346.
- Are administrative patent judges unconstitutional?
Duffy J.F. *George Washington Law Review*, 2009, 77 (4), 904–923.
- Are patents on interfaces impeding interoperability?
Samuelson P. *Minnesota Law Review*, 2009, 93 (6), 1943–2019.
- Booming or emerging? China's technological capability and international collaboration in patent activities.
Ma Z., Lee Y., Chen C.-F.P. *Technological Forecasting and Social Change*, 2009, 76 (6), 787–796.
- China 10-point patent checklist: Integrating patents into an overall business strategy for a western manufacturing entity in China.
Sun C., Barner S.R., Wegner H.C. *Journal of Commercial Biotechnology*, 2009, 15 (3), 245–250.
- Claiming more: the increased voluminosity of patent applications and its determinants.
van Zeebroeck N., van Pottelsberghe de la Potterie B., Guellec D. *Research Policy*, 2009, 38 (6), 1006–1020.
- Creativity enhancement in a product development course through entrepreneurship learning and intellectual property awareness.
Silva A., Henriques E., Carvalho A. *European Journal of Engineering Education*, 2009, 34 (1), 63–75.
- Different characteristics between auctioned and non-auctioned patents.
Lee Y.-G., Lee J.-H. *Scientometrics*, 2009, DOI: 10.1007/s11192-009-0029-7.
- EPC rules – no longer business as usual.
Ellens A., Kevelam J. *Managing Intellectual Property*, 2009, (191), 108–111.
- Implementing Bayh-Dole-like laws: Faculty problems and their impact on university patenting activity.
Baldini N. *Research Policy*, 2009, 38 (8), 1217–1224.
- Inequitable-conduct doctrine reform: Is the death penalty for patents still appropriate?
Murphy N.M. *Minnesota Law Review*, 2009, 93 (6), 2274–2303.
- Intellectual Property Bundle (IPB) theory: Managing transaction costs in technology development through network governance.
Aggarwal N., Walden E.A. *Decision Support Systems*, 2009, DOI: 10.1016/j.dss.2009.05.008.
- Interface: The push and pull of patents.
Lee P. *Fordham Law Review*, 2009, 77 (5), 2225–2235.
- Internationalisation of patents by Public Research Organisations from a historical and an economic perspective.
Romero-De-Pablos A., Azagra-Caro J.M. *Scientometrics*, 2009, 79 (2), 329–340.
- Leave my patent alone! Patent, competition and refusal to license in China.
Liu D. *European Intellectual Property Review*, 2009, 31 (8), 423–435.
- Market size and intellectual property protection.
Boldrin M., Levine D.K. *International Economic Review*, 2009, 50 (3), 855–881.
- Mining the value of patents.
Hedges M., Munt G., Hack G. *AusIMM Bulletin*, 2009, (3), 50–53.
- Optimal formation rules for patent pools.
Brenner S. *Economic Theory*, 2009, 40 (3), 373–388.
- Patent law's role in the protection of the environment – Re-assessing patent law and its justifications in the 21st century.
Derclaye E. *IIC International Review of Intellectual Property and Competition Law*, 2009, 40 (3), 249–273.
- Patent laws and innovation in China.
Yueh L. *International Review of Law and Economics*, 2009, DOI: 10.1016/j.irl.2009.06.001.
- Patent reexamination and the seventh amendment.
Keane M. *George Washington Law Review*, 2009, 77 (4), 1101–1113.
- Patent validation at the country level – The role of fees and translation costs.
Harhoff D., Hoisl K., Reichl B., van Pottelsberghe de la Potterie B. *Research Policy*, 2009, DOI: 10.1016/j.respol.2009.07.007.
- Patents and profit rates.
Kaiser U. *Economics Letters*, 2009, 104 (2), 79–80.

- Patents, imitation and licensing in an asymmetric dynamic R&D race.
Fershtman C., Markovich S. *International Journal of Industrial Organization*, 2009, DOI: 10.1016/j.ijindorg.2009.07.008.
- Patents, technological inputs and spillovers among regions.
Gumbau-Albert M., Maudos J. *Applied Economics*, 2009, 41 (12), 1473–1486.
- Pioneering inventors or thicket builders: Which US firms use continuations in patenting?
Hegde D., Mowery D.C., Graham S.J.H. *Management Science*, 2009, 55 (7), 1214–1226.
- Reconsidering the Bayh–Dole Act and the Current University Invention Ownership Model.
Kenney M., Patton D. *Research Policy*, 2009, DOI: 10.1016/j.respol.2009.07.007.
- Resolving patent disputes through merger: A comparison of three potential approaches.
Creighton S.A., Sher S.A. *Antitrust Law Journal*, 2009, 75 (3), 657–690.
- Sovereign immunity and patent infringement, ten years after Florida Prepaid: The state of the law and how it can be fixed.
Paik M. *Hastings Law Journal*, 2009, 60 (4), 901–925.
- The decline of university patenting and the end of the Bayh–Dole effect.
Leydesdorff L., Meyer M. *Scientometrics*, 2009, DOI: 10.1007/s11192-009-0001-6.
- The institutional determinants of patenting by the Spanish National Research Council: A historical approach and a dialectic with economics.
Azagra Caro J.M., de Pablos A.R. *Revista Espanola de Documentacion Cientifica*, 2009, 32 (2), 9–33.
- The judicial practice of the German Federal Supreme Court on Patent and utility model law in 2007.
Meier-Beck P. *IIC International Review of Intellectual Property and Competition Law*, 2009, 40 (4), 434–449.
- The knowledge production of R&D.
Czarnitzki D., Kraft K., Thorwarth S. *Economics Letters*, 2009, 105 (1), 141–143.
- The London Agreement and the cost of patenting in Europe.
van Pottelsberghe de la Potterie B., Mejer M. *European Journal of Law and Economics*, 2009, DOI: 10.1007/s10657-009-9118-6.
- The private value of a patent: A cooperative approach.
Jelnov A., Tauman Y. *Mathematical Social Sciences*, 2009, 58 (1), 84–97.
- The problems with patents: A less than optimistic reading of the future.
Carolan M.S. *Development and Change*, 2009, 40 (2), 361–388.
- The pull of patents.
Frischmann B.M. *Fordham Law Review*, 2009, 77 (5), 2143–2167.
The relationship between academic patenting and scientific publishing in Norway.
Klitkou A., Gulbrandsen M. *Scientometrics*, 2009, DOI: 10.1007/s11192-009-0050-x.
- The technological innovation performance of Chinese firms: The role of industrial and academic R&D, FDI and the markets in firm patenting.
Zhang J., Rogers J.D. *International Journal of Technology Management*, 2009, 48 (4), 518–543.
- The two faces of patent due diligence: A case study in solar cells and nanotechnology.
Henry Heines M. *Nanotechnology Law and Business*, 2009, 6 (1), 4–18.
- The validity of conditional sales: Competing views of patent exhaustion in *Quanta Computer, Inc. vs. LG Electronics, Inc.*, 128 S. Ct. 2109 (2008).
McCammon J. *Harvard Journal of Law and Public Policy*, 2009, 32 (2), 785–797.
- University patenting activities and their link to the quantity and quality of scientific publications.
Wong P.K., Singh A. *Scientometrics*, 2009, DOI: 10.1007/s11192-009-0003-4.
- Unsuccessful patent application and cooperative R&D.
Mukherjee A., Ray A. *Journal of Economics/Zeitschrift fur Nationalökonomie*, 2009, 97 (3), 251–263.
- Value appropriation as an organizational capability: The case of IP protection through patents.
Reitzig M., Puranam P. *Strategic Management Journal*, 2009, 30 (7), 765–789.
- When less is more: Optimal patent breadth under the threat of patent validity challenges.
Yiannaka A. *Southern Economic Journal*, 2009, 75 (4), 1067–1093.

2.3. Trademarks and domain names

2.3.1. Trademarks

“There ain’t no F in morality”.

Cushley D., McLaughlin T. *Trademark World*, 2009, (217), 26–27.

AdWords or bad words? A UK perspective on keywords and trademark infringement.

Cornthwaite J. *European Intellectual Property Review*, 2009, 31 (7), 347–352.

Amending China’s trademark law.

Self L., Ma J. *Trademark World*, 2009, (218), 18–21.

Descriptive vs. distinctive.

Wilkinson D., Lingar T. *Trademark World*, 2009, (218), 25–27.

Don’t blame Google: Allowing trademark infringement actions against competitors who purchase sponsored links on internet search engines under the initial interest confusion doctrine.

Shoemaker G.R. *Catholic University Law Review*, 2009, 58 (2), 535–566.

In search of the right balance [pharmaceuticals Latin America].

Quadrio I.V., Canese V. *Trademark World*, 2009, (218), 41–44.

Intellectual property issues for marketers in the virtual world.

Bonifield C.M., Tomas A.M. *Journal of Brand Management*, 2009, 16 (8), 571–581.

- Keyword advertising: Challenging the traditional concept of “use” under Malaysian trade mark law.
Tay P.S. *Asian Journal of Comparative Law*, 2009, 4 (1), Article No. 5.
- Keyword referencing: ECJ holds the key.
Drew J., Griffiths S. *Trademark World*, 2009, (216), 31–32.
- Keywords and sponsored links.
Winckworth C. *Trademark World*, 2009, (218), 28–31.
- Marks as imitations of State emblems ‘from a heraldic point of view’.
Pacek G. *Journal of Intellectual Property Law and Practice*, 2009, 4 (9), 673–679.
- Monitoring brands on the web: a old subject with new rules.
Smith S. *CIPA Journal*, 2009, 38 (7), 460–462.
- Olympian hurdles (CA winter Olympics).
Morrison C., Partington G. *Trademark World*, 2009, (218), 32–34.
- Pharmaceuticals – Current trends in the world of pharmaceutical trademarks.
Rowlands J., Trimmer B. *Trademark World*, 2009, (217), 37–40.
- Protection for unregistered marks in the US.
Taylor J.L., Vecchio D.J. *Trademark World*, 2009, (219), 44–48.
- Protection of Geographical Indications: The case of jasmine rice and Thailand.
Kuanpoth J., Robinson D. *Intellectual Property Quarterly*, 2009, (3), 288–310.
- Protection of trade marks against dilution: a semiotic perspective.
Assaf K. *Journal of Intellectual Property Law and Practice*, 2009, 4 (9), 643–657.
- Tackling keywords (Keyword referencing and ECJ).
Davies I., Barty S., Carnell P. *Trademark World*, 2009, (217), 20–21.
- Thinking small – trademark tips for small businesses.
Gallagher-Duff K. *Trademark World*, 2009, (217), 30–32.
- Trademark dilution – No laughing matter.
Navsa Z.M. *European Intellectual Property Review*, 2009, 31 (9), 455–464.
- Trademark use and the problem of source.
McKenna M.P. *University of Illinois Law Review*, 2009, 2009 (3), 773–828.
- Trademarks and geographical indications: Policy issues and options in trade negotiations and implementation.
Wattanapruttipaisan T. *Asian Development Review*, 2009, 26 (1), 166–205.
- Which trumps – Registered community designs versus community trade marks.
Stone D., Bailey S. *Trademark World*, 2009, (217), 33–36.
- 2.4. Designs*
- Community design invalidity: the issues, as viewed through the case law.
Rodinger P. *Journal of Intellectual Property Law and Practice*, 2009, 4 (9), 629–642.
- Functionality and design patent validity and infringement.
Saidman P.J. *Journal of the Patent and Trademark Office Society*, 2009, 91 (5), 313–337.
- New Zealand Designs laws: The case for reform.
Kingsbury A. *Intellectual Property Quarterly*, 2009, (3), 345–372.
- Tyre case clarifies injunction test (US Design patent)
Muenkel J. *Patent World*, 2009, (214), 18–20.
- 2.5. Other IP; general IP issues*
- 2.5.1. Policy and strategic issues*
- A manifesto for patent reform.
Wacker P.-A., Kuhnen R.A. *Managing Intellectual Property*, 2009, (191), 98–100.
- Against an integrated Intellectual Property code.
Taylor R. *CIPA Journal*, 2009, 38 (7), 464–467.
- Against an integrated Intellectual Property code: A response to “Re-forming Intellectual Property Law: An obvious and not-so-obvious agenda”.
Taylor R. *Intellectual Property Quarterly*, 2009, (3), 281–287.
- Could there be a right to own intellectual property?
Wilson J. *Law and Philosophy*, 2009, 28 (4), 393–427.
- Innovation, Imitation and Competition.
Zhou W.B.E. *Journal of Economic Analysis and Policy* (Art. No. 27), 2009, 9 (1).
- Intellectual property (IP) protection versus IP abuses: The recent development of Chinese IP abuse rules and recommendations for foreign technology-driven companies.
Tian Y. *Computer Law and Security Report*, 2009, 25 (4), 352–366.
- On the strength of intellectual property protection that nations provide.
Kanwar S., Evenson R. *Journal of Development Economics*, 2009, 90 (1), 50–56.
- Private intellectual property and research as a public service.
Pena L. *Arbor*, 2008, 183 (730), 307–332.
- The justification of intellectual property: Contemporary philosophical disputes.
Himma K.E. *Revista Espanola de Cirugia Ortopedica y Traumatologia*, 2008, 52 (7), 1143–1161.
- The voluntary disclosure of innovations: A theoretical model.
Pflster E. *Revue Economique*, 2004, 55 (2), 165–186.
- 2.5.2. Other IP issues*
- Claiming intellectual property.
Fromer J.C. *University of Chicago Law Review*, 2009, 76 (2), 719–796.
- Competing on standards? Entrepreneurship, intellectual property, and platform technologies.
Simcoe T.S., Graham S.J.H., Feldman M.P. *Journal of Economics and Management Strategy*, 2009, 18 (3), 775–816.

- Intellectual property rights and appropriability of innovation.
Akiyama T., Furukawa Y. *Economics Letters*, 2009, 103 (3), 138–141.
- Intellectual property rights, technology transfer and exports in developing countries.
Yang L., Maskus K.E. *Journal of Development Economics*, 2009, 90 (2), 231–236.
- Intellectual property: What do teachers and students know?
Starkey L., Corbett S., Bondy A., Davidson S. *International Journal of Technology and Design Education*, 2009, DOI: 10.1007/s10798-009-9088-6.
- Let's get small: An introduction to transitional issues in nanotech and intellectual property.
Koopsell D. *NanoEthics*, 2009, DOI: 10.1016/j.eswa.2009.07.048.
- Optimal legal standards for refusals to license intellectual property: A welfare-based analysis.
Katsoulacos Y.S. *Journal of Competition Law and Economics*, 2009, 5 (2), 269–295.
- Persistence and intensity of conflicts between standardisation and intellectual property rights: Lessons from the third-generation mobile phone.
Liotard I. *Revue Internationale de Droit Economique*, 2008, 22 (1), 47–65.
- Protecting ideas – Careers in intellectual property and patents.
Sengupta S. *IEEE Potentials (Art. No. 4907982)*, 2009, 28 (3), 22–24.
- R&D market value under weak intellectual property rights protection: The case of India.
Chadha A., Oriani R. *Scientometrics*, 2009, DOI: 10.1007/s11192-009-0042-x.
- Reforms towards Intellectual Property-based economic development in Malaysia.
Gee L.H., Azmi I.M., Alavi R. *The Journal of World Intellectual Property*, 2009, 12 (4), 317–337.
- Regime shifting in the international intellectual property system.
Helfer L.R. *Perspectives on Politics*, 2009, 7 (1), 39–44.
- Strategies for R&D and intellectual property learned from case studies – Keyword for strengthening technological competitiveness.
International Journal of Foresight and Innovation Policy, 2009, 5 (4), 277–299.
- Symposium when worlds collide: Intellectual property at the interface between systems of knowledge creation.
Strandburg K.J., Frischmann B.M., Kesan J.P. *Fordham Law Review*, 2009, 77 (5), 2003–2004.
- The crash of the knowledge economy.
Pagano U., Rossi M.A. *Cambridge Journal of Economics*, 2009, 33 (4 SPEC. ISS.), 665–683.
- The emerging market for intellectual property: Drivers, restrainers, and implications.
Monk A.H.B. *Journal of Economic Geography*, 2009, 9 (4), 469–491.
- The suspension of intellectual property obligations under TRIPS: A proposal for retaliating against technology-exporting countries in the World Trade Organization.
Slater G.L. *Georgetown Law Journal*, 2009, 97 (5), 1365–1408.
- 2.6 Historical*
- Armstrong's invention of noise-suppressing FM.
Schwartz M. *IEEE Communications Magazine*, 2009, 47 (4), 20–23.
- English trade Mark Law in the eighteenth century – The fate of Thomas Hill.
Dawson N. *Journal of Legal History*, 2009, 30 (1), 71–79.
- Facilitating and restricting a challenger: Patents and standards in the development of the Bull-Knutson punched card system, 1919–1938.
Heide L. *Business History*, 2009, 51 (1), 28–44.
- Incentives and innovation? R&D management in Germany's chemical and electrical engineering industries around 1900.
Burhop C., Lubbers T. *Explorations in Economic History*, 2009, DOI: 10.1016/j.eeh.2009.06.001.
- The genesis of the steamboat.
Woods R.O. *Mechanical Engineering*, 2009, 131 (4).

David Newton
Crooked Thatch
East End
Hook Norton
Banbury OX15 5LG
United Kingdom
E-mail address: dnewton@hotmail.co.uk