



Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

World Patent Information

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/worpatin

Literature listing

1. Books

1.1 Recent reports and other monographs

Intellectual assets and value creation synthesis report. OECD, 2008.
<http://www.oecd.org/dataoecd/36/35/40637101.pdf>.

The Economic Impact of Counterfeiting and Piracy. OECD, June 2008.
http://www.oecd.org/document/4/0,3343,en_2649_33703_40876868_1_1_1_1,00.html?rssChId=337036/25/2008 6:06:29 AM

EGA Report on Patent-related Barriers to Market Entry for Generic Medicines in the European Union: a review of weakness in the current European patent system and their impact on the market access of generic medicines.
http://www.egagenerics.com/ega-barriers_rpt.htm

Intellectual Property Leadership and Accountability Needed to Strengthen Federal Protection and Enforcement. Statement of Loren Yager, Director, International Affairs and Trade General Accountability Office 17 June 2008.
<http://www.gao.gov/new.items/d08921t.pdf?source=ra>

Presentation of the OECD "REGPAT" database. OECD, 3 June 2008.
<http://www.oecd.org/dataoecd/22/19/40794372.pdf>

The UK Intellectual Property Office "Corporate Plan for Intellectual Property". UKIPO, 2008.
<http://www.ipo.gov.uk/about-plan2008.pdf>

Intellectual Property in Industrial Designs: Issues in Innovation and Competition. Congressional Research Service. July 01, 2008.
<http://openncs.cdt.org/document/RL34559>

Communication from the Commission to the European Parliament, the Council and the European Economic and Social Committee - An Industrial Property Rights Strategy for Europe. Commission of the European Communities, 2008.
<http://eur-lex.europa.eu/LexUriServ/LexUriServ.do?uri=COM:2008:0465:FIN:EN:PDF>

OECD Reviews of Innovation Policy: China. OECD, 2008.
http://www.oecd.org/document/47/0,3343,en_2649_34273_41306607_1_1_1_37417,00.html

OECD Compendium of Patent Statistics. OECD, 2008.
<http://www.oecd.org/dataoecd/5/19/37569377.pdf>

Toward a new era of intellectual property: from confrontation to negotiation. A Report from the International Expert Group on Biotechnology, Innovation and Intellectual Property. McGill CIPP, Montreal, Canada, September 2008.
http://www.theinnovationpartnership.org/documents/TIP_Report_E.pdf

Applicant and Examiner Citations in U.S. Patents: An Overview and Analysis. Juan Alcacer. Harvard Business School Working Papers
<http://www.hbs.edu/research/pdf/09-016.pdf>

1.2 Reviews are available as follows

Patents, registered designs, trade marks & copyright for dummies. John Grant et al., UK edn. Wiley, 2008. Reviewed by Lambert P. CIPA Journal, 2008, 37 (7), 415.

Intellectual property and biotechnology. Rimmer M. Edward Elgar, 2008. Reviewed by Haford-Harrison R. CIPA Journal, 2008, 37 (7), 414.

The Patenting paradox, Arnaud Gasnier, Eburon Academic Publishers, 2008. Reviewed by Lambert P. CIPA Journal, 2008, 37 (5), 304.

Inside the patent factory. Donal O'Connell. Wiley, 2008. Reviewed by Bryant F. CIPA Journal, 2008, 37 (7), 415–416.

Inventing for dummies. Peter Jackson et al. Wiley, 2008. Reviewed by Brown L. in CIPA Journal, 2008, 37 (8), 477.

The foreign law trade marks training manual. CIPA/ITMA, 2008. Reviewed by McDougal R. CIPA Journal, 2008, 37 (8), 477–478.

Intellectual Property in Europe. Guy Tritton et al. Sweet & Maxwell, 2007. Reviewed by Rogers D. in European Intellectual Property Review, 2008, 30 (6), 259–260.

Fundamentals of patent law: interpretation and scope of protection. Matthew Fisher. Hart Publishing, 2007. Reviewed by Torremans P. European Intellectual Property Review, 2008, 30 (6), 259.

2. Journals

The listing in this issue includes entries found using Scopus™, Elsevier's abstract and indexing database which gives access to 15,000 peer-reviewed titles from more than 4000 international publishers.

2.1 Search techniques, databases and analysis: classification: searcher certification

2.1.1 Search techniques, databases

Concept modeling and its application to patent modeling.

Scekic O., Omerovic S., Tomazic S., Milutinovic V. 8th International Conference on Telecommunications in Modern Satellite, Cable and Broadcasting Services, TELSIKS 2007, Proceedings of Papers, 2007, 4375924, 3–6.

Adapting support vector machines for F-term-based classification of patents.

Li Y., Bontcheva K. ACM Transactions on Asian Language Information Processing, 2008, 7 (2), 7.

Detection of IUPAC and IUPAC-like chemical names.

Klinger R., Kolarik C., Fluck J., Hofmann-Apitius M., Friedrich C.M. Bioinformatics, 2008, 24 (13).

Decoding patent information using patent maps.

Liu C.-Y., Yang J.C. Data Science Journal, 2008, 7, 14–22.

Extracting the significant-rare keywords for patent analysis.

Li Y.-R., Wang L.-H., Hong C.-F. Expert Systems with Applications, 2008, DOI:10.1016/j.eswa.2008.06.13.

Teaching chemistry students how to use patent databases and glean patent information.

MacMillan M., Shaw L. Journal of Chemical Education, 2008, 85 (7), 997–999.

Mining changes in patent trends for competitive intelligence.

Shih M.-J., Liu D.-R., Hsu M.-L. Lecture Notes in Computer Science, 2008, 5012 LNAI, 999–1005.

Using patent citation to explore knowledge flow between different industries.

Lai K.-K., Chang S.-M., Chang S.-B. Portland International Conference on Management of Engineering and Technology, 2007, 4349503, 1777–1783.

The study of taxonomy and evolutionary trends of relevant literatures on patent analysis.

Lai K.-K., Lin M.-L., Chang S.-B., Hsu C.-F. Portland International Conference on Management of Engineering and Technology, 2007, 4349314, 22–30.

An outward-appearance patent-image retrieval approach based on the contour-description matrix.

Zhiyuan Z., Juan Z., Bin X. Proceedings - 2007 Japan-China Joint Workshop on Frontier of Computer Science and Technology, FCST 2007, 4402605, 86–89.

Mixed query image retrieval system.

Cai B., Zheng C., Yang S., Zheng J.Z.J. Proceedings of the 2007 International Conference on Information Acquisition, ICIA, 2007, 4295776, 451–456.

Supporting patent mining by using ontology-based semantic annotations.

Ghoula N., Khelif K., Dieng-Kuntz R. Proceedings of the IEEE/WIC/ACM International Conference on Web Intelligence, WI 2007, 4427129, 435–438.

Integration of patent and company databases.

Magnani M., Montesi D. Proceedings of the International Database Engineering and Applications Symposium, IDEAS, 2007, 4318101, 163–171.

Visualize your intellectual property.

Taylor R.P., Germeraad P. Research Technology Management, 2008, 51 (4), 21–33.

2.1.2 Analysis and statistics

Made in China - A glimpse into the future of patent information.

Stembridge B. KnowledgeLink Newsletter July 2008
<http://scientific.thomsonreuters.com/news/2008-07/8464838/>.

Comparison of US, EPO, and PCT patent citations for citation analysis.

Mogee M.E. 2007 Atlanta Conference on Science, Technology and Innovation Policy, ACSTIP, 2008, 4472902.

Constructing technological distances from U.S. patent data.

Franz J.S. 2007 Atlanta Conference on Science, Technology and Innovation Policy, ACSTIP, 2008, 4472903.

Patent statistics on the world textile industry and a look at Germany's position.

Hausding J., Cherif C. Autex Research Journal, 2008, 8 (2), 57–62.

An assessment of emerging molecular farming activities based on patent analysis (2002–2006).

Basaran P., Rodriguez-Cerezo E. Biotechnology and Bioprocess Engineering, 2008, 13 (3), 304–312.

TRIZ-Based patent investigation by evaluating inventiveness.

Regazzoni D., Nani R. IFIP International Federation for Information Processing, 2008, 277, 247–258.

Measuring patent similarity by comparing inventions functional trees.

Cascini G., Zini M. IFIP International Federation for Information Processing, 2008, 277, 31–42.

Innovative output, productivity and profitability. A test comparing USPTO and EPO data.

Santarelli E., Lotti F. Industry and Innovation, 2008, 15 (4), 393–409.

Bibliometrics to webometrics.

Thelwall M. Journal of Information Science, 2008, 34 (4), 605–621.

Measuring science-technology interaction using rare inventor-author names.

Boyack K.W., Klavans R. Journal of Informetrics, 2008, 2 (3), 173–182.

Patent classifications as indicators of intellectual organization.

Leydesdorff L. Journal of the American Society for Information Science and Technology, 2008, 59 (10), 1582–1597.

Invention and innovation: A case study in metals.

Connelly M.C., Sekhar J.A. Key Engineering Materials, 2008, 380, 15–39.

Complex innovation networks, patent citations and power laws.

Brantle T.F., Hosein Fallah M. Portland International Conference on Management of Engineering and Technology, 2007, 4349367, 540–549.

Dynamics of innovation strategies in the optical memories industry: An analysis based on patent indicators.

Lo Storto C. Portland International Conference on Management of Engineering and Technology, 2007, 4349322, 91–104.

Analysis of competing technologies and firms in a convergence industry by using patent information: The case of telematics.

Han Y.-J. Portland International Conference on Management of Engineering and Technology, 2007, 4349339, 259–262.

Exploring the technology diffusion trajectories and groups of basic patents of business methods: Using the patent citation network.

Chang S.-B., Chang S.-M., Guh W.-Y. Portland International Conference on Management of Engineering and Technology, 2007, 4349504, 1784–1789.

Evolving R&D paradigms and intellectual property strategies: A historical analysis across the chemical, biological, and information paradigms.

Allarakhia M., Wensley A. Portland International Conference on Management of Engineering and Technology, 2007, 4349354, 401–428.

Development of patent filing figures in the field of microelectromechanical devices.

Meister M., McGinley C. Proceedings of the International Semiconductor Conference, CAS, 2007, 2, 4063245, 351–354.

Patent analysis as a strategy for innovative decision making

Diaz-Perez M., De Moya-Anegón F. *Profesional de la Información*, 2008, 17 (3), 293–302.

The value of U.S. patents by owner and patent characteristics.

Bessen J. *Research Policy*, 2008, 37 (5), 932–945.

Internal sequential innovations: How does interrelatedness affect patent renewal?

Liu K., Arthurs J., Cullen J., Alexander R. *Research Policy*, 2008, 37 (5), 946–953.

Pendency and grant ratios of invention patents: A comparative study of the US and China.

Yang D. *Research Policy*, 2008, 37 (39635), 1035–1046.

Does it matter where patent citations come from? Inventor vs. examiner citations in European patents.

Criscuolo P., Verspagen B. *Research Policy*, 2008, DOI: 10.1016/j.respol.2008.07.011.

Scientific literature cited in USPTO patent documents as indicators for the evaluation and analysis of Spanish scientific research in biomedical disciplines.

Plaza L.M., Albert A. *Scientometrics*, 2008, 1–10, DOI:10.1007/s11192-007-1763-3.

Patent application and technological collaboration in inventive activities: 1980–2005.

Ma Z., Lee Y. *Technovation*, 2008, 28 (6), 379–390.

2.2 Patents

2.2.1 Relating to life sciences and pharmaceuticals

The Sanctity of the embryo.

Schlich G. *CIPA Journal*, 2008, 37 (5), 273–275.

Special interest politics and intellectual property rights: An economic analysis of strengthening patent protection in the pharmaceutical industry.

Chu A.C. *Economics and Politics*, 2008, 20 (2), 185–215.

Patenting of pharmaceuticals - Still a challenge?

Schneider D.R. *IIC International Review of Intellectual Property and Competition Law*, 2008, 39 (5), 511–525.

Biotechnological patents in Europe - Functions of recombinant DNA and expressed protein and satisfaction of the industrial applicability requirement.

Aerts R.J. *IIC International Review of Intellectual Property and Competition Law*, 2008, 39 (3), 282–306.

Combining the components of life: The application of patent extra-territoriality doctrine to biotechnology.

Schuster J.L. *Indiana Law Journal*, 2008, 83 (1), 363–392.

Intellectual property, pharmaceutical MNEs and the developing world.

Ghuri P.N., Rao P.M. *Journal of World Business*, 2008. DOI: 10.1016/j.jwb.2008.05.00.

Biotech's biggest blockbusters.

Gupte E. *Managing Intellectual Property*, 2008, (June), 54–59.

Asia reaps benefit of plant variety laws.

Ollier P. *Managing Intellectual Property*, 2008, (June), 67–68.

Implications of recent US Supreme Court IP ruling for nanomedicine patents.

Kim K.Y. *Nanomedicine*, 2008, 3 (2), 141–143.

Appeal depresses generic drug firms: enantiomer patent sufficiently enabled.

Curley D. *Patent World*, 2008, (203), 11–13.

Contemplating reflections: Australia questions validity of enantiomers.

Smith C. *Patent World*, 2008, (204), 18–20.

Timing is everything: "A 17-month rule" for drug patenting.

Steele P. *Pharma Patent Bulletin*, 2008, 11 (4), 5–7.

Patents, commercialization and the Canadian stem cell research community.

Caulfield T., Ogbogu U., Murdoch C., Einsiedel E. *Regenerative medicine*, 2008, 3 (4), 483–496.

TRIPS and pharmaceutical patents in Djibouti: An ANT analysis of socio-legal objects.

Cloatre E. *Social and Legal Studies*, 2008, 17 (2), 263–281

No patent, no generic: Pharmaceutical access and the politics of the copy.

Hayden C. *Sociologias*, 2008, (19), 62–91.

Scope of process patents in farm animal breeding.

Tvedt M.W., Finckenhagen M. *The Journal of World Intellectual Property*, 2008, 11 (3), 203–228.

Shifting common spaces of plant genetic resources in the international regulation of property.

Roa-Rodríguez C., van Dooren T. *The Journal of World Intellectual Property*, 2008, 11 (3), 176–202.

Revisiting anticommons and blockings in the biotechnology industry: a view from competition law analysis.
See E.T. *The Journal of World Intellectual Property*, 2008, 11 (3), 139–175.

Intellectual property protection for plant varieties in Jordan.
Malkawi B.H., Haloush H.A. *The Journal of World Intellectual Property*, 2008, 11 (2), 120–138.

2.2.2 Relating to software

Software patentability – where are we, and where might we be going?

Kendrick M. *CIPA Journal*, 2008, 37 (7), 378–381.

Recent developments in the patentability of software in the UK.
Turle M., Knight D. *Computer Law and Security Report*, 2008, 24 (5), 461–464.

Software patents: Where does all this leave us? In *The Matter of Autonomy Corporation Ltd. v. Comptroller General of Patents, Trade Marks & Designs Ch D (Patents Ct) 6/2/2008*.

Boon J. *Computer Law and Security Report*, 2008, 24 (3), 265–268.

Patenting computer programs: A glimmer of convergence.
Aplin T. *European Intellectual Property Review*, 2008, 30 (9), 379–382.

Software and business patents at the USPTO.
Harney T.L. *Intellectual Asset Management Magazine*, 2008, (29), 41–43.

2.2.3 Policy and strategic issues

Chapter 3 Intellectual property protection in the global economy.
Hallenborg L., Ceccagnoli M., Clendenin M. *Advances in the Study of Entrepreneurship, Innovation, and Economic Growth*, 2008, 18, 63–116.

Race-specific patents, commercialization, and intellectual property policy.
Ghosh S. *Buffalo Law Review*, 2008, 56 (2), 409–494.

Optimal patent length and height.
Beschoner P.F.E. *Empirica*, 2008, 35 (3), 233–240.

Wither the global Patent Prosecution Highway?
Tessensohn J.A. *European Intellectual Property Review*, 2008, 30 (7), 261–268.

Are storylines patentable? Testing the boundaries of patentable subject matter.
Sawkar A.R. *Fordham Law Review*, 2008, 76 (6), 3001–3063.

Patent scope in English and German law under the European patent convention 1973 and 2000.
Von Drathen C. *IIC International Review of Intellectual Property and Competition Law*, 2008, 39 (4), 384–419.

Patents of Damocles
Leslie C.R. *Indiana Law Journal*, 2008, 83 (1), 133–179.

The U.S. patent and trademark office's proposed information disclosure statement rules: Too novel and nonobvious.
Indiana Law Journal, 2008, 83 (2), 719–741.

The effect of patent laws on invention rates: Evidence from cross-country panels.
Chen Q. *Journal of Comparative Economics*, 2008. DOI: 10.1016/j.jce.2008.05.00.

Promoting innovation and competition with patent policy.
Koleda G. *Journal of Evolutionary Economics*, 2008, 18 (39541), 433–453.

Lending a hand: The need for republic participation in patent examination and beyond.
Duane M.J. *Journal of Intellectual Property*, 2008, 7 (2).

Knowledge is power: UK and EPO approaches [common general knowledge].
McConnell K., Turner S. *Patent World*, 2008, (201), 25–28.

A change for the better: EPC 2000.
Hermans R., van Gardingen M. *Patent World*, 2008, (201), 17–20.

Is European law compatible? [Prospective EU Patent Court].
Johnson A., Blum J. *Patent World*, 2008, (205), 30–33.

The tragedy of the anticommons caused by patent bush and reflection on the patent policy.
Gao J., Wang J.-P. *Proceedings of 2007 International Conference on Management Science and Engineering, ICMSE'07 (14th)*, 2008, 4422211, 2487–2492.

The growth effects of national patent policies.
Dinopoulos E., Kottaridi C. *Review of International Economics*, 2008, 16 (3), 499–515.

Community Patent and jurisdiction for European patents: From myth to reality?
Castellanet A. *Revue du Marche Commun et de l'Union Europeenne*, 2008, (517), 250–254.

2.2.4 Other patent topics

Foreword - "your" intellectual property: Who owns it?
Bailey Jr. A.D. *Accounting Horizons*, 2008, 22 (1), 47–48.

Patentability of selection inventions in the backdrop of practice in the UK and the US.
Iqbal Z. *CIPA Journal*, 2008, 37 (7), 385–387.

Post-grant amendments, and the importance of dependent claims in China.
Mak T. *CIPA Journal*, 2008, 37 (8), 448–451.

Know-how as confidential information.
Jauss S. *CIPA Journal*, 2008, 37 (6), 333–335.

Patents and scientific integrity.
Cole P. *CIPA Journal*, 2008, 37 (5), 260–268.

The international publication of patents by Colombian organisations and inventors.
Sanchez J.M., Medina J.E., Leon A.M. *Cuadernos de Economia*, 2007, 26 (47), 247–270.

Optimal formation rules for patent pools.
Brenner S. *Economic Theory*, 2008, 1–16.

- Rendezvous with obviousness: US Supreme Court reckons with patentability standard in *KSR International Co v Teleflex inc* and lower courts react.
Weingaertner S.T., Conrad A.M. *European Intellectual Property Review*, 2008, 30 (7), 294–302.
- Patent infringement in Europe: the British and German approaches to claim construction or purposive construction versus equivalency.
von Hellfeld A. *European Intellectual Property Review*, 2008, 30 (9), 364–370.
- Patent sharks.
Henkel J., Reitzig M. *Harvard Business Review*, 2008, 86 (6), 129–133+142.
- The myth of "lucky" patent verdicts: Improving the quality of appellate review by incorporating fuzzy logic in jury verdicts.
Nguyen M.T. *Hastings Law Journal*, 2008, 59 (5), 1257–1284.
- Wrongful patent enforcement - Threats and post-infringement invalidity in comparative perspective.
Heath C. *IIC International Review of Intellectual Property and Competition Law*, 2008, 39 (3), 307–322.
- Optimising the utilisation of information and technology under intellectual property regimes - An Indonesian perspective.
Ul Haq H. *IIC International Review of Intellectual Property and Competition Law*, 2008, 39 (3), 259–282.
- Are we living in a material world?: An analysis of the federal circuit's materiality standard under the patent doctrine of inequitable conduct.
Peters E. *Iowa Law Review*, 2008, 93 (4), 1519–1564.
- R&D subsidy, intellectual property rights protection, and North-South trade: How good is the TRIPS agreement?
Liao P.-C., Wong K.-y. *Japan and the World Economy*, 2008. DOI: 10.1016/j.japwor.2008.04.00.
- Strategic disclosure of intermediate research results.
Gill D. *Journal of Economics and Management Strategy*, 2008, 17 (3), 733–758.
- Patents, research exemption, and the incentive for sequential innovation.
Moschini G., Yerokhin O. *Journal of Economics and Management Strategy*, 2008, 17 (2), 379–412.
- Riding the tiger: A comparison of intellectual property rights in the United States and the People's Republic of China.
Crane J.A. *Journal of Intellectual Property*, 2008, 7 (2).
- Intellectual property (IP) management: Organizational processes and structures, and the role of IP donations.
Carlsson B., Dumitriu M., Glass J.T., Nard C.A., Barrett R. *Journal of Technology Transfer*, 2008, 33 (6), 549–559.
- Beat the first filing blues in China.
Sun C. *Managing Intellectual Property*, 2008, May, 40–42.
- Patent licensing by means of an auction: Internal versus external patentee.
Sandonis J., Fauli-Oller R. *Manchester School*, 2008, 76 (4), 453–463.
- Patent licensing and discretion: Reevaluating the discretionary prong of declaratory judgment jurisdiction after *MedImmune*.
LaVanway Jr. P.J. *Minnesota Law Review*, 2008, 92 (6), 1966–1998.
- The role of trade secrets in today's nanotechnology patent environment.
Cummings S.W. *Nanotechnology Law and Business*, 2008, 5 (1), 41–51.
- KSR International Co. v. Teleflex Inc.*: Another small issue for nanotechnology?
Dowd M.J., Bass III K.C., Sterne R.G. *Nanotechnology Law and Business*, 2007, 4 (3), 293–311.
- Patenting nanotechnology inventions in Europe.
Esslinger A. *Nanotechnology Law and Business*, 2007, 4 (4), 495–500.
- New patent rules will dramatically impact nanotechnology patenting.
Rutt J.S., Radomsky L., Baluch A., Maebius S.B. *Nanotechnology Law and Business*, 2007, 4 (4), 447–454.
- Patenting nanotechnology: A European patent office perspective.
Kallinger C., Veeffkind V., Michalitsch R., Verbandt Y., Neumann A., Scheu M., Forster W. *Nanotechnology Law and Business*, 2008, 5 (1), 95–105.
- Inconsistencies in the carbon nanotube patent space: A scientific perspective.
Dunens O.M., Mackenzie K.J., See C.H., Harris A.T. *Nanotechnology Law and Business*, 2008, 5 (1), 25–40.
- Royalties are limited: US patent exhaustion revived and clarified.
Adamo K., Muenkel J. *Patent World*, 2008, (205), 14–20.
- Ensuring the best view: errors and omissions – the world of patent opinions.
Shi Q. *Patent World*, 2008, (204), 30–33.
- Quanta mechanics: A European perspective on the US patent exhaustion decision.
Pitz J. *Patent World*, 2008, (205), 22–24.
- When similar might be the same [equivalents and estoppel].
Montañá M., Carulla I. *Patent World*, 2008, (204), 24–28.
- Green patent: Promoting innovation for environment by patent system.
Hsu M.-Y. *Portland International Conference on Management of Engineering and Technology*, 2007, 4349585, 2491–2497.
- The development of patent undertaking in China and comparison analysis.
Zhou X., Mao Y., Li W. *Proceedings of 2006 International Conference on Management Science and Engineering, ICMSE'06 (13th)*, 2007, 4105185, 1794–1799.
- Nanophotonics technology watch at the European Patent Office.
Verbandt Y., Kallinger C., Scheu M., Forster W. *Proceedings of SPIE - The International Society for Optical Engineering*, 2008, 6988, 69880W.
- Dynamic change of a multi-agent workflow for patent invention using a utility function.
Lin S.-Y., Chen B.-Y., Wu H.-T., Soo V.-W., Ku C.C. *Proceedings of the 2007 11th International Conference on Computer Supported Cooperative Work in Design, CSCWD*, 2007, 4281467, 389–394.

- Academic patenting in Europe: New evidence from the KEINS database.
Lissoni F., Llerena P., McKelvey M., Sanditov B. *Research Evaluation*, 2008, 17 (2), 87–102.
- Commercializing the laboratory: Faculty patenting and the open science environment.
Fabrizio K.R., Di Minin A. *Research Policy*, 2008, 37 (5), 914–931.
- The role of inter-organizational relationships in the development of patents: A knowledge-based approach.
Weck M., Blomqvist K. *Research Policy*, 2008, 37 (8), 1329–1336.
- Licensing or not licensing? An empirical analysis of the strategic use of patents by Japanese firms.
Motohashi K. *Research Policy*, 2008, 37 (9), 1548–1555.
- The delineation of nanoscience and nanotechnology in terms of journals and patents: A most recent update.
Leydesdorff L. *Scientometrics*, 2008, 76 (1), 159–167.
- Effecting the impossible: An argument against tax strategy patents.
Moulton M. *Southern California Law Review*, 2008, 81 (3), 631–669.
- Pattern of patent-based environmental technology innovation in China.
Sun Y., Lu Y., Wang T., Ma H., He G. *Technological Forecasting and Social Change*, 2008, 75 (7), 1032–1042.
- The fate of the federal circuit's "reasonable apprehension" standard in patent suits for declaratory judgment following *Medimmune, Inc. v. Genentech, Inc.*, 127 S.Ct. 764 (2007).
Weinstein M. *University of Cincinnati Law Review*, 2008, 76 (2), 681–706.
- ### 2.3 Trademarks and Domain Names
- #### 2.3.1 Trademarks
- Select issues and debates around geographical indications with particular reference to India.
Das K. *Journal of World Trade*, 2008, 42 (3), 461–507.
- Famous trade marks: Does Canada have lessons for Europe?
Tumbridge J. *European Intellectual Property Review*, 2008, 30 (9), 357–363.
- The integration of the Office for Harmonization in the Internal Market into the Madrid system: A first field report.
Weberndörfer J. *European Intellectual Property Review*, 2008, 30 (6), 216–221.
- Honestly, neither Céline nor Gillette is defensible!
Yap P.-J. *European Intellectual Property Review*, 2008, 30 (7), 286–293.
- Automatic website summarization by image content: A case study with logo and trademark images.
Baratis E., Petrakis E.G.M., Milios E. *IEEE Transactions on Knowledge and Data Engineering*, 2008, 20 (9), 4445672, 1195–1204.
- An overview of Turkish case-law on trademark disputes with special consideration regarding the rules of the European court of justice.
Celik F.H.S. *IIC International Review of Intellectual Property and Competition Law*, 2008, 39 (3), 323–350.
- Trademark sales, entry, and the value of reputation.
Marvel H.P., Ye L. *International Economic Review*, 2008, 49 (2), 547–576.
- A linguistic analysis of some Japanese trademark cases.
Okawara M.H. *International Journal of Speech, Language and the Law*, 2008, 15 (1), 101–104.
- Getting to grips with bad faith and public morality.
Carboni A. *ITMA Review*, 2008, (357), 23–30.
- Pet peeves: Trademark law and the consumer enjoyment of brand pet parodies.
Petty R.D. *Journal of Consumer Affairs*, 2008, 42 (3), 461–470.
- Flavor trademark hard for the TTAB to swallow.
Petty R.D. *Journal of the Academy of Marketing Science*, 2008, 36 (3), 437–438.
- Making sense of trademark dilution (again): The Trademark Dilution Revision Act of 2006.
Bird R.C. *Journal of the Academy of Marketing Science*, 2008, 36 (3), 434–435.
- Trademark parody gives Louis Vuitton something to chew on.
Burgunder L. *Journal of the Academy of Marketing Science*, 2008, 36 (3), 435–437.
- Hybrid content-based trademark retrieval using region and contour features.
Hong Z., Jiang Q. *Proceedings - International Conference on Advanced Information Networking and Applications, AINA*, 2008, 4483076, 1163–1168.
- Content-based unconstrained color logo and trademark retrieval with Color Edge Gradient Co-occurrence Histograms.
Phan R., Androustos D. *Proceedings of SPIE - The International Society for Optical Engineering*, 2008, 6820, 68200K.
- Binary trademark image retrieval using region orientation information entropy.
Chen C.-K., Sun Q.-Q., Yang J.-Y. *Proceedings of the IEEE International Conference on Micro Electro Mechanical Systems (MEMS)*, 2007, 4425495, 295–298.
- Trademark protection in producer countries?
Steinberg J. *Textile Network*, 2008, (39667), 32–33.
- International non-proprietary names and trademarks: a public health perspective.
Gopakumar K.M., Syam N. *The Journal of World Intellectual Property*, 2008, 11 (2), 63–104.
- Community Trademark embarrassed by success.
Cookson B. *Trademark World*, 2008, (208), 24–26.
- Irish, English and South African law: Does a discretion exist in opposition proceedings?
Alberts W. *Trademark World*, 2008, (208), 40–47.
- The 100 year (beer) war [Budweiser].
Schmitz S., Harris P. *Trademark World*, 2008, (209), 45–48.
- A ring of stars and the world is your oyster: EC protection for local food products.
Taylor D. *Trademark World*, 2008, (209), 31–33.

The Facebook faceoff.

Blakeney S. *Trademark World*, 2008, (209), 22–25.

2.3.2 Domain Names

Internet domain names and the interaction with intellectual property.

Chaudri A. *Computer Law and Security Report*, 2008, 24 (4), 360–365.

Dot-CA domain name dispute resolution: Where do we stand five years after implementation of the CIRA policy?

Hawa R., Bergeron G. *Intellectual Property Journal*, 2008, 21 (2), 199–244.

2.4. Designs

Nothing to report.

2.5 Other IP; General IP Issues

2.5.1 Policy and strategic issues

Chapter 8 Technology commercialization: Cooperative versus competitive strategies.

Fuller A.W., Thursby M.C. *Advances in the Study of Entrepreneurship, Innovation, and Economic Growth*, 2008, 18, 227–250.

Chapter 5 Beyond patents: The role of copyrights, trademarks, and trade secrets in technology commercialization.

Graham S.J.H. *Advances in the Study of Entrepreneurship, Innovation, and Economic Growth*, 2008, 18, 149–170.

Economic foundations of intellectual property rights.

Stiglitz J.E. *Duke Law Journal*, 2008, 57 (6), 1693–1724.

Commercial piracy and intellectual property policy.

Kiema I. *Journal of Economic Behavior and Organization*, 2008, 68 (1), 304–318.

A pirate of the Caribbean? the attractions of suspending trips obligations.

Ruse-Khan H.G. *Journal of International Economic Law*, 2008, 11 (2), 313–364.

2.5.2 Other IP Issues

Moving from readers to customers to clients in the Business & IP Centre at the British Library: Changes in the nature of people coming into the centre.

Infield N. *Business Information Review*, 2008, 25 (2), 125–126.

Scientific analysis on the policy concerning intellectual property system in China.

Wu H. *Frontiers of Law in China*, 2008, 3 (2), 198–219.

Fore-warned is fore-armed - Is intellectual property a suitable case for foresight?

Laurie G. *IIC International Review of Intellectual Property and Competition Law*, 2008, 39 (5), 507–510.

Intellectual property for market experimentation.

Abramowicz M., Duffy J.F. *New York University Law Review*, 2008, 83 (2), 337–410.

The importance of being creative [inventiveness in South Africa].

Joffe F. *Patent World*, 2008, (205), 26–27.

Managing the protection of innovations in knowledge-intensive business services.

Amara N., Landry R., Traore N. *Research Policy*, 2008, 37 (9), 1530–1547.

2.6 Historical

Engineering analysis of the 1907 Cornu helicopter.

Leishman J.G., Johnson B. *Annual Forum Proceedings - AHS International*, 2008, 1, 447–466.

Inside the atomic patent office.

Wellerstein A. *Bulletin of the Atomic Scientists*, 2008, 64 (2).

History of airbag gas generator viewed from patents.

Tanaka K. *Explosion*, 2008, 18 (1), 11–15.

History of communications.

Schwartz M. *IEEE Communications Magazine*, 2008, 46 (8), 26–29.

Patenting the bomb: Nuclear weapons, intellectual property, and technological control.

Wellerstein A. *ISIS*, 2008, 99 (1), 57–87.

David Newton

Crooked Thatch

East End

Hook Norton

Banbury OX15 5LG

United Kingdom

E-mail address: dnewton@hotmail.co.uk