

World Patent Information 29 (2007) 91-100

WORLD PATENT INFORMATION

www.elsevier.com/locate/worpatin

Literature listing

1. Books

Reviews are available as follows:

Intellectual Property Rights for engineers, 2nd edn. Irish, Vivien. Institute of Electrical Engineers, 2005. Reviewed by Steve Temple in CIPA Journal, July 2006, 35 (7), 498.

Cheminformatics developments. Noordik, Jan H. (ed.), IOS Press, 2004. Reviewed by Wendy Warr in Journal of Chemical Information and Modelling, July/Aug 2006, 46 (4), 1877.

Intellectual Property Rights: A critical history. May, Christopher and Sell Susan, K. Lynne Rienner Publishers, 2005. Reviewed by Duncan Matthews in European Intellectual Property Review, July 2006, 28 (7), 404.

The Economic structure of Intellectual Property law. Landes, William N., Posner, Richard A. Harvard University Press, 2004. Reviewed by David Rogers in European Intellectual Property Review, July 2006, 28 (7), 405.

The modern law of patents. H H Judge Fysh QC consultant editor, Roughton, Ashley et al. (General eds). Lexis Nexis/Butterworth, 2005. Reviewed by Graeme Fearson in European Intellectual Property Review, August 2006, 28 (8), 451.

The TRIPs regime of patent rights (2nd edn). De Carvalho, Nuno Pires, Kluwer, 2005. Reviewed by Adrian Toutoungi in European Intellectual Property Review, August 2006, 28 (8), 451–2.

Antitrust patents and copyright – EU and US perspectives. Lévêque, Françoise and Shelanski, Howard (eds), Edward Elgar, 2005. Reviewed by David Rogers in European Intellectual Property Review, August 2006, 28 (8), 452–3.

2. Journals

The listing in this issue includes entries found using ScopusTM, Elsevier's abstract and indexing database which

gives access to 14,000 peer-reviewed titles from more than 4000 international publishers.

2.1 Search techniques, databases and analysis: classification: searcher certification

2.1.1 Search techniques, databases

Development of a patent document classification and search platform using a back-propagation network. Trappey, A.J.C., Hsu, F.-C., Trappey, C.V., Lin, C.-I., Expert Systems with Applications, 2006, 31 (4), 755–765.

Freedom to operate? The value of Research Disclosures. Adams, R. http://scientific.thomson.com/news/newsletter/2006-07/8329479/.

2.1.2 Analysis and statistics

Today's patents rely on IEEE-published science. Platt, J.R., IEEE Microwave Magazine, 2006, 7 (4), 76–77.

References to literature in patent documents: A case study of CSIR in India.

Gupta, V.K. Scientometrics, 2006, 68 (1), 29-40.

Technology and knowledge document cluster analysis for enterprise R&D strategic planning.

Hsu, F.-C., Trappey, A.J.C., Trappey, C.V., Hou, J.-L., Liu, S.-J. International Journal of Technology Management, 2006, 36 (4), 336–353.

Quantum computation patent mapping – A strategic view for the information technique of tomorrow.

Chang, M. 2005 International Conference on Services Systems and Services Management, Proceedings of ICS-SSM'05, 2, art. no. 1500183, 1177–1181.

Inventions and innovations in informatics [Erfindungen und Innovationen in der Informatik].

Endres, A., Informatik - Forschung und Entwicklung, 2006, 20 (4), 230–235.

Experimental study on the patent application of universities and colleges in China.

Ge, Z.-Q., Yang, J. Dianzi Keji Daxue Xuebao/Journal of the University of Electronic Science and Technology of China, 2006, 35 (2), 285–288.

Forecasting emerging technologies with the aid of science and technology databases.

Bengisu, M., Nekhili, R. Technological Forecasting and Social Change, 2006, 73 (7), 835–844.

Inventions and innovations in informatics [Erfindungen und Innovationen in der Informatik].

Endres, A. Informatik - Forschung und Entwicklung, 2006, 20 (4), 230–235.

Patent citations and the geography of knowledge spillovers: Evidence from inventor – And examiner-added citations.

Thompson, P. Review of Economics and Statistics, 2006, 88 (2), 383–388.

On the measurement of patent stock as knowledge indicators.

Park, G., Park, Y. Technological Forecasting and Social Change, 2006, 73 (7), 793–812.

Spatial convergence and spillovers in American invention. Oì hUallachaìin, B., Leslie, T.F. Annals of the Association of American Geographers, 2005, 95 (4), 866–886.

Patent applications of the Top 500 foreign investment corporations in China.

Liu, Y., Cheng, G.-P., Yang, Y. Scientometrics, 2006, 68 (1), 167–177.

Co-evolution of invention activities among cities in New England.

Co, C.Y. Papers in Regional Science, 2006, 85 (1), 47–71.

Bayesian analysis of knowledge spillovers in European regions.

Parent, O., Riou, S. Journal of Regional Science, 2005, 45 (4), 747–775.

Agglomeration and the spatial distribution of creativity. Andersson, R., Quigley, J.M., Wilhelmsson, M. Papers in Regional Science, 2005, 84 (3), 445–464.

A method based on patent analysis for the investigation of technological innovation strategies: The European medical prostheses industry.

lo Storto, C. Technovation, 2006, 26 (8), 932–942.

The role of university spinout companies in an emerging technology: The case of nanotechnology.

Libaers, D., Meyer, M., Geuna, A. Journal of Technology Transfer, 2006, 31 (4), 443–450.

Innovation dynamics in the EU: Convergence or divergence? A cross-country panel data analysis.

Jungmittag, A. Empirical Economics, 2006, 31 (2), 313–331. How does country risk affect innovation? An application to foreign patents registered in the USA.

Hoti, S., McAleer, M. Journal of Economic Surveys, 2006, 20 (4), 691–714.

Survival and the introduction of new technology: A patent analysis in the integrated circuit industry.

Levitas, E.F., McFadyen, M.A. Loree, D. Journal of Engineering and Technology Management - JET-M, 2006, 23 (3), 182–201.

Linking the technological regime to the technological catch-up: Analyzing Korea and Taiwan using the US patent data.

Park, K.-H., Lee, K. Industrial and Corporate Change, 2006, 15 (4), 715–753.

Modeling probabilities of patent oppositions in a Bayesian semiparametric regression framework.

Jerak, A., Wagner, S. Empirical Economics, 2006, 31 (2), 513–533.

Forecasting emerging technologies: Use of bibliometrics and patent analysis.

Daim, T.U., Rueda, G., Martin, H., Gerdsri. P. Technological Forecasting and Social Change, 2006, 73 (8), 981–1012.

In search of new knowledge: Its origins and destinations. Koo, J. Economic Development Quarterly, 2006, 20 (3), 259–277.

Measures for knowledge-based economic development: Introducing data mining techniques to economic developers in the state of Georgia and the US South.

Shapira, P., Youtie, J. Technological Forecasting and Social Change, 2006, 73 (8), 950–965.

A cooperative multi-agent platform for invention based on patent document analysis and ontology.

Soo, V.-W., Lin, S.-Y., Yang, S.-Y., Lin, S.-N., Cheng, S.-L. Expert Systems with Applications, 2006, 31 (4), 766–775.

Education, political institutions and innovative activity: A cross-country empirical investigation.

Varsakelis, N.C. Research Policy, 2006, 35 (7), 1083–1090.

An analysis of citation counts of ETRI-invented US patents.

Lee, Y.-G., Lee, J.-D., Song, Y.-I. ETRI Journal, 2006, 28 (4), 541–544.

Should good patents come in small packages? A welfare analysis of intellectual property bundling.

Gilbert, R.J., Katz, M.L. International Journal of Industrial Organization, 2006, 24 (5), 931–952.

Forecasting emerging technologies with the aid of science and technology databases.

Bengisu, M., Nekhili, R. Technological Forecasting and Social Change, 2006, 73 (7), 835–844.

2.1.3 Searcher certification

A quantum leap in supporting the information professional community.

Bonsor, K. http://scienfiic.thomson.com/news/newsletter/2006-07/8329424/.

2.2 Patents

2.2.1 Relating to life sciences and pharmaceuticals

The developing law of pharmaceutical patent enforcement. Noud, Thomas P., Meiklejohn, Paul T. Journal of the Patent and Trademark Office Society, May 2006, 88 (5), 437–480.

Freedom to operate; strategies for infringement – Para N risk/reward.

Martin, David E. Pharma Patent Bulletin, June/July 2006, 9 (3), 1, 3–6.

An overview of US paragraph IV system.

Kuhrt, Kate. Pharma Patent Bulletin, June/July 2006, 9 (3), 8–9.

Slimmer pickings [impact of MIT case on SPCs]. Hufnagel, Frank-Erich, Gofton, Alan. Patent World, July/

Hufnagel, Frank-Erich, Gofton, Alan. Patent World, July/Aug 2006, (184), 2–22.

Patents and biological diversity conservation, destruction and decline? Exploiting resources in Queensland under Biodiscovery Act 2004 (Qld).

European Intellectual Property Review, August 2006, 28 (8), 418–428.

Intellectual property and biotechnology: The U.S. internal experience – Part I.

Brody, B. Kennedy Institute of Ethics Journal, 2006, 16 (1), 1–37.

New product development process and time-to-market in the generic pharmaceutical industry.

Prasnikar, J., Skerlj, T. Industrial Marketing Management, 2006, 35 (6), 690–702.

The benefits of biodiversity: Winners and losers. Ogodo, O. Appropriate Technology, 2006, 33 (2), 26–28.

Merck v. Integra: Supreme Court permits use of patented compounds to obtain food and drug administration approvals.

Manthei, J.R., Hathaway, C.R., Grant, M.A., Chung, D.D. Food and Drug Law Journal, 2006, 61 (2), 273–277.

Intellectual property and biotechnology: The U.S. internal experience – Part II.

Brody, B. Kennedy Institute of Ethics Journal, 2006, 16 (2), 105–128.

Patent buy-outs for global disease innovations for low- and middle-income countries.

Outterson, K. American Journal of Law and Medicine, 2006, 32 (02/03/06), 159–173.

An exploration into law and narratives: The case of intellectual property law of biotechnology.

Kang, H.Y. Law and Critique, 2006, 17 (2), 239-265.

National institutions, public-private knowledge flows, and innovation performance: A comparative study of the biotechnology industry in the US and France.

Gittelman, M. Research Policy, 2006, 35 (7), 1052-1068.

2.2.2 Relating to software

Democracy and intellectual property: Examining trajectories of software piracy.

Piquero, N.L., Piquero, A.R. Annals of the American Academy of Political and Social Science, 2006, 605 (1), 104–127.

Royalty rate of business method patents for large scale software applications.

Kaneda, S., Senbo, T., Fujimoto, K. Proceedings – 3rd International Conference on Information Technology and Applications, 2005, ICITA 2005, I, 76–81.

Baker & McKenzie's regular article tracking developments in EU law relating to IP, IT & telecommunications. Halliday, D., Andrews, M., Wheeler, T., Lovell, G. Computer Law and Security Report, 2006, 22 (4), 277–282.

Baker & McKenzie's regular article tracking developments in EU law relating to IP, IT & telecommunications. Westwell, J., Andrews, M., Lovell, G., Cormack, E. Computer Law and Security Report, 2006, 22 (3), 196–200.

Theory and reality for software patents: Good in concept, not so good in practice.

Wren, J.D. Bioinformatics, 2006, 22 (13), 1543-1545.

Proprietary versus public domain licensing of software and research products.

Gambardella, A., Hall, B.H. Research Policy, 2006, 35 (6), 875–892.

Different place, same controversy.

Cook, T. ITNOW, 2006, 48 (4), 14-15.

IP via IP.

Cornthwaite, J. ITNOW, 2006, 48 (4), 18–19.

2.2.3 Policy and strategic issues

Patent continuation applications: How the PTO's proposed new rules undermine an important part of the U.S. Patent system with hundreds of years of history.

Schreiner, Stephen T., Doody, Patrick A. Journal of the Patent and Trademark Office Society, June 2006, 88 (6), 556–569.

Patent litigation in Europe – EU to fight counterfeiting with new criminal sanctions.

Halfpenny, Claire. World Intellectual Property Report, July 2006, 20(7), 31–34.

Strategic decisions [eBay v MercExchange]. Stockwell, Mitchell G. Patent World, Sept 2006, (185), 23–25.

Patent application recycling: how continuations impact patent quality & what the USPTO is doing about it. Kaser, Bruce A. Journal of the Patent and Trademark Office Society, May 2006, 88 (5), 426–436.

Can Congress, top court fix patent system? Merritt, R. Electronic Engineering Times, 2006, (1417), 1+20+24-26.

Patent litigation with endogenous disputes. Bessen, J.E., Meurer, M.J., Choi, J.P., Sanyal, P., Lerner, J., Yao, D. American Economic Review, 2006, 96 (2), 77–81.

Simultaneous model of innovation, secrecy, and patent policy.

Kultti, K., Takalo, T., Toikka, J. American Economic Review, 2006, 96 (2), 82–86.

When do more patents reduce R&D? Hunt, R.M. American Economic Review, 2006, 96 (2), 87–91.

Defense innovation, technology transfers and public policy. Bellais, R., Guichard, R. Defence and Peace Economics, 2006, 17 (3), 273–286.

Innovation and the economic sectors.

Berg, D., Einspruch, N.G. International Conference on Services Systems and Services Management, Proceedings of ICSSSM'05, 2005, 1, art. no. 1499430, 38–40.

The case for a multilateral agreement on competition policy: A developing country perspective.

Bhattacharjea, A. Journal of International Economic Law, 2006, 9 (2), 293–323.

The european patent litigation agreement – Admissibility and future of a dispute resolution for Europe.

Oser, A. IIC International Review of Intellectual Property and Competition Law, 2006, 37 (5), 520–550.

University research, intellectual property rights and European innovation systems.

Verspagen, B. Journal of Economic Surveys, 2006, 20 (4), 607–632.

How companies can preserve market dominance after patents expire.

Pearce II, J.A. Long Range Planning, 2006, 39 (1), 71-87

Racing with uncertainty: A patent race experiment. Zizzo, D.J. International Journal of Industrial Organization, 2002, 20 (6), 877–902.

The effects of university patenting and licensing on downstream R&D investment and social welfare. Mazzoleni, R. Journal of Technology Transfer, 2006, 31 (4), 431–441.

The business method patent: A barrier to global harmonisation of intellectual property rights?

Hemphill, T.A. Global Business and Economics Review, 2006, 8, (03/04/06), 179–186.

Implications of intellectual property rights for dynamic gains from trade.

Connolly, M., Valderrama, D. American Economic Review, 2005, 95 (2), 318–322.

How should forward patent protection be provided? Denicolo, V., Zanchettin, P. International Journal of Industrial Organization, 2002, 20 (6), 801–827.

2.2.4 Other patent topics

The illusion of "offer to sell" patent infringement: When an offer is an offer but is not an offer.

Zelson, L.S. University of Pennsylvania Law Review, 2006, 154 (5), 1283–1322.

Inventorship disputes don't have to be costly. Goldstein, Jorge A., Sea, Timothy J. Managing Intellectual Property, Jul/Aug 2006, 19–22.

Responding to concerns about the scope of the defence from patent infringement for acts done for experimental purposes relating to the subject-matter of the invention. Cook, Trevor. Intellectual Property Quarterly, 2006, (3), 193–222.

Poisons and politics – indigenous rights and IP Protection. Armour, Kenneth J., Harrison, Peter S. CIPA Journal, July 2006, 35 (7), 472–477.

The duty of candour in US practice – where it is going and how to meet it.

May, Timothy. CIPA Journal, July 2006, 35 (7), 478–482.

New guidelines on deadline for filing divisional patent applications in China.

Britton, Christopher, Lee, Hans. CIPA Journal, Aug 2006, 35 (8), 538–539.

They're out there somewhere [patent trolls]. Nurton, James. Managing Intellectual Property, June 2006, 22–25.

Survival strategies in the new economy.

Cote, Bob, Sadler, Roger. Managing Intellectual Property, June 2006, 26–30.

FRAND or foe [patent infringement and standards]. Cook, William. Managing Intellectual Property, June 2006, 31–33.

Written description requirement in nanotechnology: clearing a patent thicket?

Paredes, Peter J. Journal of the Patent and Trademark Office Society, June 2006, 88 (6), 489–512.

Is something amiss? A commentary on the aftermath of Phillips v AWH Corp.

Bonar, Holly L. Journal of the Patent and Trademark Office Society, June 2006, 88 (6), 513–521.

The narrowed experimental use exception to patent infringement and its application to patented computer software.

Mighorini, Robert A. Journal of the Patent and Trademark Office Society, June 2006, 88 (6), 523–546.

A technique for obtaining greater value from patents on novel technology.

Linton, Jonathan D. Journal of the Patent and Trademark Office Society, June 2006, 88 (6), 547–555.

Solving the riddle [analysis of "one of ordinary skill in the art"].

Addy, Meredith Martin, Solkov, Artem N. Patent World, July/Aug 2006, (184), 23–25.

Imprisonment for infringement?

Freeland, Rowan, Parker, Scott. Patent World, July/Aug 2006, (184), 26–29.

Risky business [business method patents].

Kramer, Barry et al. Patent World, Sept 2006, (185), 14–16.

Stepping up [Ivax v Chugai, inventive step]. Jackson, Whitehead Stuart, Kempner, Richard. Patent World, Sept 2006, (185), 17–19.

Calculating questions [patent valuation]. Drews, David. Patent World, Sept 2006, (185), 20–22.

Practice runs [privilege in work-up experiments]. Stoate, Nigel, Cavey, Phil. Patent World, July/Aug 2006, (184), 12–13.

The troll wars are not over [US Supreme Court eBay v MercExchange].

Adamo, Kenneth R. Patent World, July/Aug 2006, (184), 14–16.

Reaching out [Germany, contributory patent infringement]. Rübel, Clemens. Patent World, July/Aug 2006, (184), 17–19.

Motives to patent: Empirical evidence from Germany. Blind, K., Edler, J., Frietsch, R., Schmoch, U. Research Policy, 2006, 35 (5), 655–672.

Decision-making and quality in patents: an exploration. Dent, Chris. European Intellectual Property Review, July 2006, 28 (7), 381–388.

Valuation of patent – A real options perspective. Wu, M.-C., Tseng, C.-Y. Applied Economics Letters, 2006, 13 (5), 313–318.

Industry-university intellectual property dynamics as a multi-level phenomenon.

Jelinek, M. Research in Multi-Level Issues, 2006, 5, 259–299.

Industry-university intellectual property in context: framing the deal, and dealing with the frame(s).

Jelinek, M. Research in Multi-Level Issues, 2006, 5, 333–342.

Industry-university relationships and the context of intellectual property dynamics: the case of ibm.

Baba, M.L. Research in Multi-Level Issues, 2006, 5, 301–319.

Willful patent infringement: Theoretically sound? A proposal to restore willful infringement to its proper place within patent law.

Pall, S. University of Illinois Law Review, 2006, (3), 659–689.

The experimental use exception to patent infringement: Do universities deserve special treatment?

Rowe, E.A. Hastings Law Journal, 2006, 57 (5), 921-954.

University patenting and its effects on academic research: The emerging European evidence.

Geuna, A. Nesta, L.J.J. Research Policy, 2006, 35 (6), 790–807.

Patenting and US academic research in the 20th century: The world before and after Bayh-Dole.

Sampat, B.N. Research Policy, 2006, 35 (6), 772-789.

What every plastics professional should know about patents and patenting – Part 2: Important considerations in invention patenting.

Tayebi, A. Annual Technical Conference – ANTEC, Conference Proceedings, 2006, 4, 1903–1904.

Knowledge integrators or weak links? An exploratory comparison of patenting researchers with their non-inventing peers in nano-science and technology.

Meyer, M. Scientometrics, 2006, 68 (3), 545-560.

Redressing oversights: Exploring informal innovation in small IT firms.

Hine, D., Miettinen, A. International Journal of Globalisation and Small Business, 2006, 1 (3), 258–285.

Intellectual property protection mechanisms in research partnerships.

Hertzfeld, H.R., Link, A.N., Vonortas, N.S. Research Policy, 2006, 35 (6), 825–838.

University patenting and its effects on academic research: The emerging European evidence.

Geuna, A., Nesta, L.J.J. Research Policy, 2006, 35 (6), 790–807.

Patent lawyers: Interface between technology and law [Patentanwallte: Schnittstelle zwischen technik und recht]. Wittmann, E.-U. Wochenblatt fuer Papierfabrikation, 2006, 134, (5), 233–234.

Licensing a new product with non-linear contracts. Erutku, C., Richelle, Y. Canadian Journal of Economics, 2006, 39 (3), 932–947.

Are world-first innovations conditional on economic performance?

Cozzarin, B.P. Technovation, 2006, 26 (9), 1017–1028.

Nanotechnology at the European Patent Office: The photonics perspective.

Verbandt, Y., Scheu, M., Trepp, E., Forster, W. Proceedings of SPIE – The International Society for Optical Engineering, 2006, 6195.

Reconsidering renormalization: Stability and change in 20th-century views on university patents.

Metlay, G. Social Studies of Science, 2006, 36 (4), 565-597.

Intellectual property rights business management practices: A survey of the literature.

Hanel, P. Technovation, 2006, 26 (8), 895–931.

Market power in patent tying cases before and after Illinois Tool v. Independent Ink.

Patterson, M.R. IIC International Review of Intellectual Property and Competition Law, 2006, 37 (5), 573–585.

Patent pollution.

Cornwell, D., Messinger, M. Energy (Norwalk, Connecticut), 2006, 31 (2), 43–45.

Publication and patent behaviour of academic researchers: Conflicting, reinforcing or merely co-existing?

Van Looy, B., Callaert, J., Debackere, K. Research Policy, 2006, 35 (4), 596–608.

Using patents to advance: The civil engineering profession. Rothe, C.A. Civil Engineering, 2006, 76 (6), 67–73.

Real options and patent damages: The legal treatment of non-infringing alternatives, and incentives to innovate. Hausman, J., Leonard, G.K. Journal of Economic Surveys, 2006, 20 (4), 493–512.

2.3 Trademarks and domain names

2.3.1 Trademarks

The trade mark and the firm.

Brennan, David J. Intellectual Property Quarterly, 2006, (3), 283–290.

New ground for the trade mark owners [liklihood of confusion].

Prat, Cares. Managing Intellectual Property, Jul/Aug 2006, 60–61.

Almost famous! [well known marks in Australia]. Cormack, Anna, Jayaswal, Shyama. Managing Intellectual Property, June 2006, 38–39.

Europe: "Retail services" in trademark practice. World Intellectual Property Report, Aug 2006, 20 (8), 30–31.

How to manage a trade mark search.

Rayment, Stewart, ITMA Review, Jul/Aug 2006, (336), 16–17.

Care required when stating use of mark in US. Schulte, Christopher J. ITMA Review, Jul/Aug 2006, (336), 27–29.

Lawyer Barbie goes to court – famous marks and the Canadian Supreme Court.

Lapin, Philip. Trademark World, Sept 2006, (190), 13-14.

In defence of competition [competition law and IPR in the UK].

Chapman, Simon, Martin, James. Trademark World, Sept 2006, (190), 17–18.

Japanese developments.

Tessensohn, John. Trademark World, Sept 2006, (190), 22–24.

Dressing to deceive – trade dress litigation in Brazil. Daniel, Denis A. Trademark World, Sept 2006, (190), 25–27.

It may taste nice but is it good for you? [food labelling and trademarks].

Gruselle, Ian. Trademark World, Sept 2006, (190), 31-33.

When fame isn't enough [Supreme Court of Canada on famous marks].

Bereskin, Daniel R. Trademark World, Sept 2006, (190), 42–46.

The widow's story, the epilogue – famous marks in Canada.

Drapeau, Daniel. Trademark World, July/Aug 2006, (189), 16–18.

Criminal trade – EU to fight counterfeiters with new criminal sanctions.

Halfpenny, Claire. Trademark World, July/Aug 2006, (189), 27–29.

The pharma name game [selecting trade names]. Concannon, Patrick, Hymel, Lin. Trademark World, July/Aug 2006, (189), 31–36.

Overstepping the mark [UK decision in Adam Opel]. Joseph, Paul. Trademark World, July/Aug 2006, (189), 37–40.

A wild west situation [offensive marks in South Africa]. Alberts, Wim. Trademark World, July/Aug 2006, (189), 41–46.

An analysis of the American and European approaches to trade mark infringement and unfair competition by search engines.

Daly, Maureen. European Intellectual Property Review, August 2006, 28 (8), 413–417.

Brand dilution: When do new brands hurt existing brands? Pullig, C., Simmons, C.J., Netemeyer, R.G. Journal of Marketing, 2006, 70 (2), 52–66.

Trademark dilution, search costs, and naked licensing. Klerman, D. Fordham Law Review, 2006, 74 (4), 1759–1773.

Special reports: Collaboration in trademarks [US and CN geographical indications].

Textile Asia, 2006, 37 (7), 14–15.

Correcting the standard for contributory trademark liability over the internet.

Kessler, J. Columbia Journal of Law and Social Problems, 2006, 39 (3), 375–411.

Recognition of plural grouping patterns in trademarks for CBIR according to the gestalt psychology.

Abe, K., Iguchi, H., Tian, H., Roy, D. IEICE Transactions on Information and Systems, 2006, E89-D (6), 1798–1805.

Surnames and American trademark law.

Adams, M., Adams, J.W. Names, 2005, 53 (4), 259-273.

The European court of justice rules on the likelihood of confusion concerning composite trademarks: Moving towards an analytical approach.

Frassi, P.A.E. IIC International Review of Intellectual Property and Competition Law, 2006, 37 (4), 438–451.

What's in a sign? Trademark law and economic theory. Ramello, G.B. Journal of Economic Surveys, 2006, 20 (4), 547–565.

Dilution.

Long, C. Columbia Law Review, 2006, 106 (5), 1029–1078.

Online brands and trademark conflicts: A hegelian perspective.

Spinello, R.A. Business Ethics Quarterly, 2006, 16 (3), 343–367.

The power of the well-known trademark: Courts should consider article 6bis of the Paris convention an integrated part of section 44 of the Lanham Act.

Barker, B. Washington Law Review, 2006, 81 (2), 363-389.

Comparative advertising: The battle of the bubbles - O_2 holdings Ltd ν hutchinson 3g Ltd.

Johnson, H. Communications Law, 2006, 11 (2), 51–57.

Income-related biases in international trade: What do trademark registration data tell us?

Fink, C., Smarzynska Javorcik, B., Spatareanu, M. Review of World Economics, 2005, 141 (1), 79–103.

Hunting Goodwill: A history of the concept of Goodwill in trademark law.

Bone, R.G. Boston University Law Review, 2006, 86 (3), 547–622.

2.3.2 Domain names

Domain name dilemmas [UDRP].

Cover, Michael. Trademark World, July/Aug 2006, (189), 19–20.

Certainty still some way off for non-commercial use of trade marks in domain names.

McMahon, R. Communications Law, 2005, 10 (5), 153–156.

2.4 Designs

Unregistered Community designs: does the first disclosure have to occur in the EU?

Watson, Robert, Carter, Stephen. CIPA Journal, June 2006, 35 (6), 402–404.

2.5 Other IP; general IP issues

2.5.1 Policy and strategic issue

India: a stronger Intellectual Property Rights regime. Mirandah, Gladys. World Intellectual Property Report, Aug 2006, 20 (8), 31–32.

The intersection of antitrust and Intellectual Property: A review of recent case law and trends.

Tellekson, David K., La Barre, John. World Intellectual Property Report, Sept 2006, 20 (9), 25–29.

Confidentiality orders in Malaysia.

Mirandah, Patrick. World Intellectual Property Report, Sept 2006, 20 (9), 34–35.

Free Trade Agreements as surrogates for TRIPs-Plus. Endeshaw, Assafa. European Intellectual Property Review, July 2006, 28 (7), 374–380.

The impact of stronger intellectual property rights on science and technology in developing countries.

Forero-Pineda, C. Research Policy, 2006, 35 (6), 808-824.

Indigenous knowledge organization: An Indian scenario. Subba Rao, S. International Journal of Information Management, 2006, 26 (3), 224–233.

Policy enforcement markets: How bureaucratic redundancy contributes to effective intellectual property implementation in China.

Mertha, A.C. Comparative Politics, 2006, 38 (3), 295–316+377.

Innovation management and intellectual property in knowledge-oriented economies.

Kim, J.-B., Choi, C.J., Chen, S. International Journal of Technology Management, 2006, 36 (4), 295–304.

The importance of intellectual property protection in developing countries.

Jabbar, H.R. 3rd ACS/IEEE International Conference on Computer Systems and Applications, 2005, 522.

Tariff policy and exhaustion of intellectual property rights in the presence of parallel imports.

Hur, J., Riyanto, Y.E. Oxford Economic Papers, 2006, 58 (3), 549–568.

Exclusion, Inclusion, and Enclosure: Historical Commons and Modern Intellectual Property.

Runge, C.F., Defrancesco, E. World Development, 2006, 34 (10), 1713–1727.

Comments of the Max Planck Institute for Intellectual Property, Competition and Tax Law on the directorate-general competition discussion paper of December 2005 on the application of art. 82 of the EC treaty to exclusionary abuses.

Drexl, J., Gallego, B.C., Enchelmaier, S., Leistner, M., Mackenrodt, M.-O. IIC International Review of Intellectual Property and Competition Law, 2006, 37 (5), 558–572.

Conducting R&D in countries with weak intellectual property rights protection.

Zhao, M. Management Science, 2006, 52 (8), 1185–1199.

The innovative performance of in-house and contracted R&D in terms of patents and utility models.

Beneito, P. Research Policy, 2006, 35 (4), 502-517.

The value of innovation: The interaction of competition, R&D and IP.

Greenhalgh, C., Rogers, M. Research Policy, 2006, 35 (4), 562–580.

Intellectual property and economic incentives.

McAleer, M., Oxley, L. Journal of Economic Surveys, 2006, 20 (4), 483–491.

Return on investment in innovation: Implications for institutions and national agencies.

Heher, A.D. Journal of Technology Transfer, 2006, 31 (4), 403–414.

"Constitutionalising" intellectual property law? the influence of fundamental rights on intellectual property in the European union.

Geiger, C. IIC International Review of Intellectual Property and Competition Law, 2006, 37 (4), 371–406.

Intellectual property rights protection and imitation: An empirical examination of Japanese F.D.I. in China.

You, K., Katayama, S. Pacific Economic Review, 2005, 10 (4), 591–604.

Are the African organization of intellectual property patent approach and cameroonian national biodiversity regulations at a crossroads? Suggesting alternatives tailored to national and regional interests.

Tonye, Mahop M. Review of European Community and International Environmental Law, 2005, 14 (3), 283–292.

Intellectual property rights and cannibalization in information technology outsourcing contracts.

Walden, E.A. MIS Quarterly: Management Information Systems, 2005, 29 (4), 699–720.

The World Intellectual Property Organization. May, C. New Political Economy, 2006, 11 (3), 435–445.

Beyond the hype: Intellectual property and the knowledge society/ knowledge economy.

Carlaw, K., Oxley, L., Walker, P. Thorns, D., Nuth, M. Journal of Economic Surveys, 2006, 20 (4), 633–690.

Indigenous knowledge and intellectual property: A sustainability agenda.

Marinova, D., Raven, M. Journal of Economic Surveys, 2006, 20 (4), 587–605.

Trade policy mix: IPR protection and R&D subsidies. Kang, M. Canadian Journal of Economics, 2006, 39 (3), 744–757.

Towards a horizontal standard for limiting intellectual property rights? - WTO panel reports shed light on the three-step test in copyright law and related tests in patent and trademark law.

Senftleben, M. IIC International Review of Intellectual Property and Competition Law, 2006, 37 (4), 407–438.

2.5.2 Other IP issues

Why IP currency is the route to profit expansion. Spours, Peter, McCurdy, Dan. Managing Intellectual Property, Jul/Aug 2006, 58–59.

Demystifying IP due diligence.

Bosch, Michele C, Burgy, Adriana L. Managing Intellectual Property, June 2006, 40–43.

A practical guide to interim injunctions.

Whitehead, Brian et al. Managing Intellectual Property, Jul/Aug 2006, 62–65.

Japan Focus 2006.

Managing Intellectual Property, Jul/Aug 2006, 68-86.

Korea focus 2006.

Managing Intellectual Property, Jul/Aug 2006, 87-95.

Indigenous knowledge and library work in Sierra Leone. Kargbo, J.A. Journal of Librarianship and Information Science, 2006, 38 (2), 71–78.

The role of protective orders in intellectual property litigation.

Silverman, A.B. JOM, 2006, 58 (5), 80.

Defending intellectual property rights in the BRIC economies

Bird, R.C. American Business Law Journal, 2006, 43 (2), 317–363.

The appropriation of the results of innovative activity. Galende, J. International Journal of Technology Management, 2006, 35 (1–4), 107–135.

Intellectual property rights in agriculture and the interests of Asian-Pacific economies.

Maskus, K.E. World Economy, 2006, 29 (6), 715–742.

Intellectual property protection mechanisms in research partnerships.

Hertzfeld, H.R., Link, A.N., Vonortas, N.S. Research Policy, 2006, 35 (6), 825–838.

The impact of stronger intellectual property rights on science and technology in developing countries.

Forero-Pineda, C. Research Policy, 2006, 35 (6), 808-824.

Successful defense against infringement.

Weinberg, E.L. Annual Technical Conference – ANTEC, Conference Proceedings, 2006, 5, 2897–2898.

Industrial property rights in post-Soviet Russia and the fight against counterfeiting [Le droit de la proprieitei industrielle en Russie post-Sovieitique et la lutte contre la contrefaçon]. Pick, B. Revue d'Etudes Comparatives Est-Ouest, 2006, 37 (2), 169–197.

Teaching intellectual property rights as part of the information literacy syllabus.

Nicholas, J. Library Review, 2006, 55 (6), 330-336.

Intellectual property litigation activity in the USA.Hoti S., McAleer, M., Slottje, D. Journal of Economic Surveys, 2006, 20 (4), 715–729.

2.6 Historical

The great telephone mystery.

Robertson, D. IEE Review, 2006, 52 (2), 44-48.

"For slow neutrons, slow pay": Enrico Fermi's patent and the U.S. atomic energy program, 1938–1953. Turchetti, S. ISIS, 2006, 97 (1), 1–27.

Some spotlights on textile patent history [Textile patentgeschichten: Farbstoffe].

Potsch, W.R. Melliand Textilberichte, 2006, 87, (6).

The face of invention: Skills, experience, and the commitment to patenting in nineteenth-century Victoria. Magee, G.B. Australian Economic History Review, 1998, 38 (3), 232–257.

A secret formula, a rogue patent and public knowledge about nerve gas: Secrecy as a spatial-epistemic tool. Balmer, B. Social Studies of Science, 2006, 36 (5), 691–722.

Etienne Oehmichen: Scientist, engineer and helicopter pioneer. Leishman, J.G. Annual Forum Proceedings – AHS International, 2006, III, 1570–1582. David Newton Crooked Thatch, East End, Hook Norton, Banbury OX15 5LG, UK

Tel.: +44 12608 730495

E-mail address: dnewton@hotmail.co.uk