

The state of case study approach in mergers and acquisitions literature: A bibliometric analysis

K.S. Reddy

School of Management, Xi'an Jiaotong University, 28 West Xianning Road, Xi'an, Shaanxi 710049, China

Received 27 April 2015; received in revised form 3 August 2015; accepted 13 September 2015

Available online 30 October 2015

Abstract

The purpose of this paper is to survey the state of case study research in mergers and acquisitions (M&A) literature. Thus, it is an original attempt at presenting the current state and review of the case method in M&A research, provided no earlier study claims this. The stylized review reveals that 93 journal articles adopted the case method of which 66 (27) articles examined developed (emerging) markets, and single (multiple) case based studies were 44 (46) and remaining three adopted survey and interview method during survey period 1991–2015. Albeit, very few studies accomplished the purpose of case study research in business management, that is, testing extant theory and building new theory. Lastly, we recall various methodological guidelines to establish the sound research environment in the qualitative case method.

© 2015 Faculty of Commerce and Business Administration, Future University. Production and Hosting by Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

Keywords: Case study research; Mergers and acquisitions; International business; Corporate diversification; Internationalization; Strategic management; Theory development

1. Introduction

Drawing on the research perspectives and approaches in management discipline, we define the academic research as a 'regular activity that is performed by a scholar or group of scholars in the given field and interest, which is aimed at studying people, organizations and environment for knowing prospects, performance and problems of business enterprises, analyzing past events through various methods, interpreting those events to suggest possible recommendations for next generation scholars, and thereby adding new knowledge to the existing literature'. Following that, management stream is a sub-division of social sciences that analyzes both qualitative and quantitative data for managerial decision-making in business organizations. Academic researchers employ different empirical and qualitative techniques to reach out the conclusions as to examine the events occurring over the period that are responsible for a business firm. In turn, these conclusions have high impact on the future of business organizations.

We realize that qualitative methods are found to be underutilizing in management subject. For instance, mergers and acquisitions (M&A) stream attracts a mass of disciplines such as economics, industrial organization, management, strategy, accounting, finance, international business (IB), law and sociology. Hence, extant literature on M&A has been

E-mail address: srinivasareddy@mail.xjtu.edu.cn

<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.fbj.2015.09.001>

2314-7210/© 2015 Faculty of Commerce and Business Administration, Future University. Production and Hosting by Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

dominated by finance and accounting explorations due to the setup of the field for diverse reasons including the availability of empirical data (e.g., stocks and accounting), use of quantitative methods, speed in reaching the conclusion and validity of the study. In fact, it has explored mostly in developed markets setting that gradually engulfed emerging markets (e.g., [Lebedev, Peng, Xie, & Stevens, 2015](#); [Reddy, 2014](#)). Further, strategy researchers have cited IB as one of the youngest and fastest growing academic streams in business administration research, which require a great attention from qualitative researchers due to heavy empirical design noticed in the literature (e.g., [Aharoni & Brock, 2010](#); [Seno-Alday, 2010](#)). In unison, when researchers perform the given job rigorously, and report clearly and concisely, thus the qualitative method is a powerful tool for management scholars that provides a number of merits beyond what traditional survey methods can provide ([Shah & Corley, 2006](#), p. 1830).

With this in mind, the paper aims to examine the state of case study research in M&A stream for two reasons. First, we explore motives of previous studies using case study method in M&A literature. Second, we recall a few methodological guidelines referring to research quality and validity in non-empirical settings. In particular, the survey has been motivated by the recent market trends experienced in emerging markets and changing dimensions of institutional framework that are responsible for growth strategies of the firm including acquisitions and joint ventures. The contribution is twofold: an original attempt is made to know the state of case study method in M&A related research and a proposal reflecting the research quality and validity. Moreover, a bibliometric analysis and the summary of previous studies would benefit qualitative researchers in aligning topics like joint ventures, private equity, family business, cooperative networks, strategic alliances and contractual deals, among others.

As far as qualitative research is concerned, theory testing and development task improves quality of a given field, and supports empirical studies especially in management research ([Doz, 2011](#); [Miller & Tsang, 2011](#); [Shah & Corley, 2006](#)). For example, [Birkinshaw, Brannen, and Tung \(2011\)](#) suggest that “thick description, exploratory research, and comparative case analysis that focus on inductive theory building and hypotheses generation may be more suitable for significant advances in IB research” (p. 573). Indeed, the biggest contributions come from bold, novel theory-building efforts that push the research frontiers by fully utilizing the theoretically unique context of the IB ([Bello & Kostova, 2012](#), p. 543). In this course, [Shenkar \(2004, p. 168\)](#) recommends a few research strategies that include (a) revive comparative research, (b) revive local knowledge, (c) conduct multilevel research, (d) engage in interdisciplinary, not multidisciplinary research, (e) employ context-rich approaches, and (f) use case study method, among others. Likewise, [Welch, Piekkari, Plakoyiannaki, and Paavilainen-Mäntymäki \(2011\)](#) design a typology of theorizing that suggests four forms: contextualized explanation, inductive theory-building, interpretive sense-making and natural experiment. However, the inherent complexity of IB phenomena could investigate through interdisciplinary research, valid applications thus integrating and mixing ideas and methods from two or more disciplines ([Bello & Kostova, 2012](#), p. 541).

Other important issues have also been motivated by this paper as to pursue qualitative research. On one hand, [Meglio and Risberg \(2010\)](#) suggest that “instead posit that the M&A field has become marred by a set of bureaucratic method techniques that trivialize research with little organizational relevance [...] future scholars should rethink how to produce knowledge in the M&A field in terms of research designs and sources of data”. In the M&A summit in 2004, scholars like Pablo, Hitt, and Singh, among others propose that “current research designs and methodologies are not able to deal with a multi-level, multi-disciplinary, and multi-stage phenomenon” (p. 88). On the other hand, a paper on ‘management research in Asia’ has highlighted that “Asian scholars ought to be more careful in applying theories developed in other contexts, and they can be more self-confident in exploring locally relevant research issues; research should be able to make major contributions, for instance, by explaining context-specific variables and effects, and by drawing on traditional Asian thought in developing new theories” ([Meyer, 2006](#)).

In the recent past, scholars have used different qualitative methods such as case study research, historical research and content analysis, just to mention a few (e.g., [Meyer, 2001](#); [Stake, 1995](#); [Yin, 1994, 2003](#)). For instance, [Larsson and Lubatkin \(2001\)](#) employ multi-case-survey to study acculturation in M&A deals. While empirically analyzing the data through statistical tools, [Wan and Wong \(2009\)](#) focus on knowing the economic impact of political barriers in CNOOC's unsuccessful takeover of Unocal and its effect on other oil firms. [Syrjälä, Takala, and Sintonen \(2009\)](#) adopt a narrative approach to investigate how the personnel of the acquiring firms felt about the human resource policies in the integration process. Conversely, it is also important to note that senior scholars emphasize largely on characteristics, protocols and limitations of the use of qualitative methods in strategy related areas including M&A, joint ventures, networks, alliances and buyouts ([Collinson & Rugman, 2010](#); [Ghuri & Firth, 2009](#); [Piekkari, Welch, & Paavilainen, 2009](#)). Of course, few scholars have presented mixed views on quality and rigor of qualitative




Fig. 1. Case study research: design and procedure (Source: Yin (2003)).

methods, theory testing and development in case study research (e.g., Peng, 2004; Tsang, 2013, 2014), and suggested new ways of doing the case study research (Hoon, 2013; Reddy, 2015a).

The plan of this article is as follows. Section 2 describes the concept and importance of case study research. Section 3 outlines past analysis and remarks. Section 4 presents a bibliometric analysis and summary of previous studies using case study method in M&A literature. Section 5 suggests a number of guidelines with regard to research rigor in qualitative case method. Section 6 concludes the study.

2. Theoretical backdrop: case study research

The application of case study research (CSR) is one of the most critical and approachable methods in qualitative research, which has gained significant importance in different disciplines over the period, for example, medical sciences, sociology, politics, law, management and engineering. Scholars from teaching and education and other social science streams are found to be exciting in use of CSR for various reasons (Eriksson & Kovalainen, 2008; Woodside & Wilson, 2003; Woodside, 2010). Importantly, senior researchers in social sciences, for instance, contributions of Yin and Stake have high impact on CSR approach due to implications including the application, design and procedure, theory testing and theory development (Stake, 1995; Yin, 1994, 2003). According to Yin's view, case study method is “an empirical inquiry that investigates a contemporary phenomenon within its real life context, especially when the boundaries between phenomenon and context are not clear evident and it relies on multiple sources of evidence” (Yin, 1994, p. 13). In other words, it is “an examination of a specific phenomenon such as a program, an event, a person, a process, an institution, or a social group” (cf. Willis, 2007). By and large, researchers use CSR for two main reasons, namely to answer ‘why’ and ‘how’ and to perform theory-building research based on thick evidence and in-depth analysis (Eisenhardt, 1989; Eisenhardt & Graebner, 2007). On top of that, it is a legitimate tool of idiographic research approaches in qualitative methods (Yin, 2003).

Case method is not a new method for management research; hence, found that less importance is given compared to any other empirical tools used in the existing literature, for example, strategic management, organizational studies and IB (Bengtsson & Larsson, 2012; Collinson & Rugman, 2010). It is because of two reasons: thought process followed in various schools, for example, most of the US and UK universities recognize and reward empirical research publications. Second, CSR is fundamentally a ground procedure, needs more efforts, time and energy compared to empirical techniques. In fact, Yin (1984) mentions that “CSR is remarkably hard, even though case studies have traditionally been considered to be ‘soft’ research; paradoxically, the ‘softer’ a research technique, the harder it is to do” (p. 26). It is being a dominant qualitative method in IB research by far (Pauwels & Matthyssens, 2004). It is particularly well suited to IB

research, “where data is collected from cross-border and cross-cultural settings” (Ghauri & Firth, 2009; Meyer, Estrin, Bhaumik, & Peng, 2009).

When compared to single case method, multiple cases allow a researcher to create more robust-theory based on grounded propositions, and delineated constructs and relationships. Further, it could overcome the problem of generalizing from a single case study and provide more in-depth analysis of complex organizational phenomena than questionnaire surveys (Larsson, 1993). Multiple cases increase the external validity and act as help guard against observer biases (Meyer, 2001). Regarding application of multiple case methods, Yin (1981) describes the design of case study approach and defines it as a research strategy, develops a typology of case study design, and suggests the replication logic, which are essential to multiple case analyses (Fig. 1). In particular, Carson, Gilmore, Perry, and Gronhaug (2001) propose that having several cases allow the extra dimension of cross-case analysis to be used which may lead to richer theory building for some studies that depends on the principle underlying is replication. Likewise, Pauwels and Matthyssens (2004) suggest four pillars of multiple case study research, namely theoretical sampling, triangulation, pattern-matching logic, and analytic generalization, and one roof validation through juxtaposition and iteration. In a recent study, Piekkari et al. (2009) mention that debate on case studies concerns the tension between pursuing a “replication logic” toward multiple cases and seeking new theoretical insights with the richness of a single case. In sum, a multi-case research provides a platform to the researcher thus to systematically collect, patternize, analyze and compare data across cases, and offers more publication opportunities than single case research-designs (Bengtsson & Larsson, 2012). Notably, one has to remember that “case researchers be more reflexive ... specify the type of case study: ethnographic case study, a negative case study, or an interview-based multiple case study” (Piekkari et al., 2009, p. 585).

Herewith, we discuss concepts such as sampling, sampling time, sampling area and selection criteria, which would help qualitative scholars in management research. For instance, a good mix of sampling cases subject to the selection criteria not only improves research quality and validity but also enhances transferability of findings. At the same time, it could defend criticism from empirical researchers in the given field. In other words, these are prerequisites of single and multiple case research designs.

2.1. Sampling

In the previous section, we have mentioned that multiple-case method is more appropriate to achieve research objectives, test various management theories and develop a new theory in M&A field. To understand the meaning and purpose of sampling, we further review few methodological studies and read some important notes in social sciences research (Gomm, Hammersley, & Foster, 2009; Poulis, Poulis, & Plakoyiannaki, 2013; Reddy, 2015a; Stake, 1995, Yin, 2003; and Sage Research Methods Online resources). We therefore understand that sampling is the first and foremost step in the case study research design, which infers the number of units selected or unit of analysis in the given setting. The goal of theoretical sampling is to choose cases that are likely to replicate or extend the emergent theory, from a minimum of four to a maximum of 10 (Eisenhardt, 1989). Indeed, Yin (1994) suggests that “each case must be in line where it predicts similar inferences and produces contrasting results for theoretical replication”. Eisenhardt and Graebner (2007) define the sampling as “cases are selected because they are particularly suitable for illuminating and extending relationships and logic among constructs”. In Teegavarapu and Summers (2008) view, unit of analysis simply means case or cases being studied, for example, individual, group, organization, activity, event or process. It is also a fact that unit of analysis varies with the type of case study design-, single-case vs. multiple-case and holistic vs. embedded. In other words, number of units will be more in embedded cases compared to the holistic approach, because embedded (big) case can be divided into sub-units or sub-cases (Carson et al., 2001). Moreover, event is a central element of multiple case study design, where it is a discrete unit of information or meaning that can be linked to an interpretation process. Yet, multiple case study method (at the risk of losing depth) is to create more theory-driven variance and divergence in the data, not to create more of the same (Pauwels & Matthyssens, 2004).

In sum, sampling should have a theoretical basis, clear boundaries (Payne & Payne, 2004) and pattern matching of case observations (Outhwaite & Turner, 2007). While, Piekkari et al. (2009) report that increasing the number of cases has occurred, reviewers and editors involved were apparently all comfortable with this trade-off (p. 584). We recall that single cases result in researcher bias and less emphasize on the generalizability of results, whilst multiple cases provide more thick text and ensure robustness in transferability of results to the larger population (Eisenhardt, 1989; Eisenhardt & Graebner, 2007).

2.2. Sampling time

It is highly noticed in CSR design that sampling time plays a vital role and is helpful in generalization of results to a larger population. Thus, selected cases present the data of historical events, activities and behavior; therefore, it is also important when case researchers comment on quality and trustworthiness of case study research, for example, internal validity and external validity (Reddy, 2015a). Previous studies have shown particular interest towards sampling time in data triangulation. As such, case researchers should define the sampling time of each case in multi-case approach provided that equal time horizon has an impact on research rigor (Yin, 2003).

Table 1
Bibliometric analysis of case study research in M&A literature ($N=93$ papers).

Objective of research	Number of studies in DMs	Number of studies in EMs	Total (%)		
Case Analysis (CA)	36	11	47 (50%)		
Survey and Interviews (SI)	4	–	4 (4%)		
Case Survey Method (CSM)	3	–	3 (3%)		
Case and Empirical Analysis (CEA)	4	2	6 (7%)		
Theory testing & development (TTD)	19	14	33 (36%)		
Type of Method	Number of studies in DMs	Number of studies in EMs	Total (%)	Theory testing and development studies (%)	
Single case (S)	35	9	44 (47%)	15 (34%)	
Multiple cases (MP)	28	18	46 (49%)	18 (39%)	
Survey and Interviews (SI)	3	–	3 (4%)	–	
Data source	Number of studies	Other in-depth findings			
Interview method and Archival data	56	11 EMs; 6 published in 2005, 5 in 2008, 4 in 2010, 7 in 2011, and 5 in 2014; 24 TTD; 28 Single, 25 Multiple cases			
Survey and/or Archival data	5	2 Single, 3 Multiple; 2 TTD; 2 EMs, 3 DMs			
Empirical data/Analysis	7	2 Single, 5 multiple; 7 DMs			
Archival data	25	12 Single, 13 multiple; 7 TTD (6 in EMs), 18 case analysis; 14 EMs in which 4 published in 2011, 3 in 2015.			
Sector-wise	Number of studies	Other in-depth findings			
Airlines	2	All single; 2 DMs			
Automobile	5	3 Single, 1 single [embedded]; 4 DMs; 1 TTD			
Brewery	2	1 Single; 2 DMs			
Conglomerate	4	2 Single; 2 TTD, 3 DMs			
Banking & Financial services	9	7 Single; 3 TTD; 3 EMs			
Food & Beverages	2	1 Single; 2 DMs			
IT and Software, Tech	5	3 Single; 2 TTD; 2 EMs			
Oil & Exploration	3	3 Single; 3 DMs			
Pharma and Healthcare	6	3 Single; 2 TTD; 4 EMs			
Telecommunications	8	5 Single; 4 TTD; 3 EMs			
Other industries	47	–			
Journal-wise	Number of articles	Setting	Objective	Number of cases	Single cases (%)
BJM: British J Mgmt	2	–	1 TTD	1 Single	50%
BJOM: Baltic J Mgmt	2	–	1 TTD	1 Single	50%
CGIR: Corporate Governance Inter Review	1	–	1 TTD	–	–
EJIS: European J Information Systems	1	–	1 TTD	1 Single	–
EMJ: European Mgmt J	2	–	–	2 Single	–

Table 1 (continued)

Journal-wise	Number of articles	Setting	Objective	Number of cases	Single cases (%)
FBR: Family Bus Review	2	–	–	2 Single	–
HR: Human Relations	2	–	1 CSM	–	–
HRM: Human Resource Mgmt	3	–	1 CSM	2 Single	–
IBR: Inter Bus Review	8	3 EMs	7 TTD	2 Single	25%
IJEM: Inter J Emerging Markets	2	2 EMs	–	1 Single	–
IMR: Inter Marketing Review	2	1 EMs	1 TTD	–	–
ISMR: Inter Strategic Mgmt Review	1	1 EMs	1 TTD	1 Single	–
JBF: J Banking & Finance	1	–	–	–	–
JCF: J Corporate Finance	1	–	1 CEA	1 Single	–
JFBS: J Family Bus Strategy	1	–	1 TTD	1 Single	–
JIBS: J Inter Bus Studies	5	1 EMs	3 TTD	3 Single	60%
JIM: J Inter Mgmt	5	3 EMs	5 TTD	3 Single	60%
JMS: J Mgmt Studies	6	3 EMs	4 TTD	4 Single	67%
JOCM: J Organizational Change Mgmt	3	1 EMs	1 TTD	1 Single	33%
JOM: J Mgmt	1	–	1 TTD	–	–
JSM: J Strategy & Mgmt	2	1 EMs	–	–	–
JWB: J World Bus	5	1 EMs	1 TTD	–	–
LRP: Long Range Planning	3	–	–	2 Single	67%
OSc: Organization Science	2	–	1 TTD	1 Single	50%
PR: Personnel Review	1	–	1 TTD	–	–
SMJ: Strategic Mgmt J	1	1 EMs	1 CEA	–	–
Other Journals/Working papers	28	–	–	–	–

Notes: In our methodological survey of case research in M&A literature, we have also included a few studies that might not have examined entry-strategy or pre-post acquisition aspects.

List of abbreviations: DMs – developed markets; EMs – emerging markets.




Fig. 2. Number of papers using case method in M&A research, (Source: Author's survey; 2015 data was reviewed up to July).

2.3. Sampling area

We define the sampling area in two dimensions. First, one dimension should reflect the theme of the research, for instance, motives of emerging market enterprises acquiring firms in developed markets. Second, another dimension should state the institutional effects of each case selected in the research design. In this vein, one can postulate the sampling area as 'motives of Chinese and Indian multinationals acquiring firms in the US market'.

Table 2

Summary of previous studies using case study research in M&A literature ($N=93$ papers).

<i>Author(s)</i>	<i>Journal</i>	<i>Setting</i>	Scope of the study	Goals of the research	Sampling area	Type of method	Number of cases	Industry	Data source	Theoretical background and development
Singer and Yankey (1991)	NML	DMs	Motives of M&A	CA	–	MP	18	Non-profit organizations	I&AD	Organizational metamorphosis
Cartwright and Cooper (1993)	HR	DMs	Psychological impact of M&A on the individual	CA	UK	MP	2	Service industry	I&AD	Employee health and well being
Rowlinson (1995)	JMS	DMs	M&A strategic aspects	CA	UK	S	1	Food & beverage	I&AD	Diversification, resource based view aspects
Carr (1997)	KPM	DMs	International strategy, collaboration	CA	Anglo-Japanese	MP	4	Conglomerate	I&AD	Competency strategies
Baroncelli (1998)	LRP	DMs	Merger integration process	CA	Italy	S	1	Telecom sector	I&AD	Post-merger integration problems
Rhoades (1998)	JBF	DMs	Efficiency effects of bank mergers	CA	–	MP	9	Banking & financial services	I&AD	Post-merger financial performance, efficiency
Larsson and Finkelstein (1999)	OSc	DMs	Integrating strategic, organizational, and human resource perspectives	CSM	–	MP	61	–	EDA	Organizational integration following M&A
Blaško et al. (2000)	IRFA	DMs	Value creation, synergy in international deals	CA	Germany, USA	S	1	Automobile	AD	Financial aspects, resource based view, market efficiency
Cusella (2000)	MCQ	DMs	Merger integration process	CA	–	S	1	Software & IT	I&AD	Post-merger integration problems
Matthyssens and Pauwels (2000)	PM	DMs	International market-exit process	CA	–	MP	2	Export services	I&AD	Behavioral aspects of the firm
Angwin (2001)	JWB	DMs	Pre-acquisition due diligence and the use of professional advisers	SI	Germany and Switzerland, Sweden and Netherlands, France, UK	SI	142 top executives	–	I&AD	Pre-acquisition management
Krug and Nigh (2001)	JWB	DMs	Executive perceptions in foreign and domestic deals	SI	USA	SI	284 executives	–	I&AD	Organizational issues
Larsson and Lubatkin (2001)	HR	DMs	Achieving acculturation in mergers	CSM	USA, Sweden	MP	50	–	EDA	Acculturation, social issues, human relations
Very and Schweiger (2001)	JWB	DMs	Acquisition process in local and foreign deals	SI	France, Germany, Italy, U.S.A.	SI	26 respondents from 26 firms	20 industries	I&AD	Organizational learning, successive acquisitions
Pierce and Dougherty (2002)	MCQ	DMs	Organizational issues in acquisitions	CA	USA	S	1	Airlines	I&AD	Organizational metamorphosis
	FBR	DMs	Merger integration process	CA	–	S	1	–	I&AD	M&A negotiation, acquisition process

Table 2 (continued)

Author(s)	Journal	Setting	Scope of the study	Goals of the research	Sampling area	Type of method	Number of cases	Industry	Data source	Theoretical background and development
Mickelson and Worley (2003)										
Tienari, Vaara, and Björkman (2003)	JMI	DMs	Discursive strategies ... in pursuing and resisting international deals	CA	Swedish, Finnish, Danish, Norwegian	S	1	Banking & financial services	AD	Discursive strategies
Fang et al. (2004)	IBR	DMs	Cross-cultural business ventures in cross-border merger failures	TTD	Sweden, Norway	S	1	Telecom sector	I&AD	Pre-acquisition integration management
Karim and Mitchell (2004)	LRP	DMs	Building innovation capabilities through acquisition	CA	USA	S	1	Medical allied services	AD	Innovation, R&D, product development
London and Hart (2004)	JIBS	EMs	Reinventing strategies for EMs	TTD	Asia, Africa, Latin America	MP	24	–	I&AD	Global strategy, EMs
Child and Tsai (2005)	JMS	EMs	environmental strategies and institutional constraints	TTD	China, Taiwan	MP	4	Chemicals	I&AD	Institutional constraints and environmental strategies
Conklin (2005)	JWB	DMs	Cross-border M&A	CA	Canada	MP	8	–	I&AD	Resource-dependence theory, environmental transformation issues in post-M&A
Epstein (2005)	BH	DMs	Determinants and evaluation of merger success	CA	USA	S	1	Banking & financial services	I&AD	M&A path, merger evaluation, factors affecting merger success
Palmer, Parry, and Webb (2005)	ER	DMs	Discussing transfers of engagement in merger evaluation	CA	UK	MP	2	Carpet industry	I&AD	M&A path, engagement theme
Quah and Young (2005)	EMJ	DMs	Post-acquisition management	SI	USA, Germany, Sweden, France	S	1(4)	Automobile	I&AD	Post-merger integration management
Ullrich et al. (2005)	JMS	DMs	Merger integration process	CA	Germany	S	1	–	I&AD	Post-merger integration problems
Duncan and Mtar (2006)	EMJ	DMs	Determinants of international acquisition success	CA	USA, UK	S	1	Transport services	I&AD	Acquisition experience, deal completion, organizational learning
Sim (2006)	APBR	EMs	Internationalization strategies	CA	Singapore, Malaysia	MP	10	–	AD	Internationalization
Steen and Welch (2006)	FBR	DMs	Family perspective influence on the prospect of merger	CA	Australia	S	1	Brewery industry	I&AD	M&A path, motives of pursuing a merger
Yip et al. (2006)	LRP	DMs	International strategy success	CEA	UK	MP	–	–	EDA	Global strategy
Meyer and Altenborg (2007)	BJM	DMs	Disintegrating effects of equality in a failed international merger	TTD	Sweden, Norway	S	1	Telecom sector	I&AD	Social integration, national identity, culture and equality principle issues

Nadolska and Barkema (2007)	JIBS	DMs	Internationalization strategies	CEA	The Netherlands	MP	25	Conglomerate	EDA	Organizational learning, successive acquisitions
Nicholson and Kiel (2007)	CGIR	DMs	board of directors and firm performance, corporate governance	TTD	Australia	MP	7	–	I&AD	Agency theory, stewardship theory; resource dependence theory
Antila and Kakkonen (2008)	PR	DMs	Role of HR managers in cross-border acquisition success	TTD	Finland	MP	3	–	I&AD	Human resource as a functional role in merger success
Calandro (2008)	SL	DMs	Value creation, synergy aspects	CA	USA	S	1	Retail sector	AD	Value assessment, evaluating merger synergy
Dieleman and Sachs (2008)	JMS	EMs	Coevolution of institutions and corporations	TTD	UAE, Pakistan	S	1	Conglomerate	I&AD	Coevolutionary theory and Institutional theory
Halsall (2008)	OG	DMs	Intercultural M&A	CA	UK, Germany	MP	2	Telecom sector, Automobile	AD	Capitalism, intercultural issues
Huang et al. (2008)	IBR	EMs	Impact of market and product knowledge resource embeddedness on the international mergers	TTD	Taiwan, Japan	MP	2	Advertising services	I&AD	multinational market development and information integration
Maguire and Phillips (2008)	JMS	DMs	Institutional trust, post-merger issues	TTD	USA	S	1	Conglomerate	I&AD	Institutional trust issues in post-merger
Meyer and Altenborg (2008)	JIBS	DMs	Incompatible strategies in international mergers	CA	Sweden, Norway	S	1	Telecom sector	I&AD	Strategic aspects, Competency of firm, strategic incompatibility
Budhwar, Varma, Katou, and Narayan (2009)	MBR	EMs	HR role addressing causes in M&A failure	CA	India	MP	3	Pharma and healthcare	I&AD	M&A path: negotiation and integration stages
Deng (2009)	JWB	EMs	International expansion	TTD	China	MP	3	–	AD	Internationalization, institutional issues
Kshetri and Dholakia (2009)	JIM	EMs	Professional and trade associations, and institutional development	TTD	India	S	1	Professional services	AD	Systems, processes, institutional development, EMs
Meyer et al. (2009)	SMJ	EMs	Institutions, resources, and entry strategies	CEA	India, Vietnam, South Africa, and Egypt	MP	12	–	SAD	Institutional theory
Raukko (2009)	BJOM	DMs	Target employees commitment during post-merger integration stage	CA	European region, India	S	1	Software & IT	I&AD	Post-merger integration, Organizational change management
Wan and Wong (2009)	JCF	DMs	Economic impact of political barriers on cross-border acquisitions	CEA	USA, China	S	1	Oil & exploration sector	EDA	Stock returns, market efficiency
Harris (2010)	OD	DMs	Strategy and organizational issues in M&A success	CA	USA	S	1	Banking & financial services	I&AD	Organizational and strategy issues
Knoerich (2010)	JIM	EMs	Cross-border acquisitions	TTD	China, Germany	MP	5	Machinery and equipment	I&AD	Resource based view; related theorems
Mtar (2010)	OS	DMs		CA	France, UK	MP	3	–	I&AD	Institutional theory

Table 2 (continued)

Author(s)	Journal	Setting	Scope of the study	Goals of the research	Sampling area	Type of method	Number of cases	Industry	Data source	Theoretical background and development
Vaara and Monin (2010)	OSc	DMs	Post-acquisition integration in foreign deals recursive relationship between legitimating and organizational action	TTD	France	S	1	Pharma and healthcare	I&AD	Post-merger issues, organizational development
Bjursell (2011)	JFBS	DMs	Cultural divergence in mergers related to family business	TTD	Nordic region	S	1	–	I&AD	Post-merger integration issues
Boehe (2011)	JIM	EMs	Causes behind exploiting foreign affiliate networks at home	TTD	Brazil	S	1	Banking & financial services	I&AD	Liability of foreignness
Drori, Wrzesniewski, and Ellis (2011)	HRM	DMs	Role of culture and equality in M&A	CA		S	1	Software & IT	I&AD	Post-merger integration problems
Jonsson and Foss (2011)	JIBS	DMs	Learning from the internationalization experience	TTD	Sweden	S	1	Home appliances	I&AD	Internationalization, diversification
Melkonian, Monin, and Noorderhaven (2011)	HRM	DMs	Employees' willingness to cooperate in M&A process	CA	France	S	1	Airlines	SAD	Organizational issues, pre-acquisition management
Moore (2011)	JIBS	DMs	impact of multiple national identities on post-acquisition stage	TTD	Germany	S	1	Automobile	I&AD	Cross-cultural relationships, post-merger integration, organizational development
Öberg, Grundström, and Jönsson (2011)	EJM	DMs	Acquisitions and network identity change	TTD	–	MP	4	–	I&AD	Influence of ownership on relationships with external parties
Paul and Bhawsar (2011)	CR	EMs	Cross-border acquisitions	CA	Japan, India	S	1	Pharma and healthcare	AD	Valuation aspects
Riad and Vaara (2011)	JMS	EMs	National metonymy in media accounts of international deals	TTD	Brazil, China, USA	MP	2	Hardware-computers	AD	National metonymy, institutional issues
Sinkovics et al. (2011)	IBR	DMs	Intermediary role of emotions in cross-border M&A	CA	–	MP	4	–	I&AD	Organizational issues
Stahl, Larsson, Kremershof,	HRM	DMs	Antecedents and consequences of trust in acquired organizations	CSM	USA, European region, Asia	MP	50	–	EDA	Post-merger integration issues, organizational trust dynamics

and Sitkin (2011)											
Tenn and Yun (2011)	IJO	DMS	Divestitures in merger enforcement	CEA	USA	S	1	Pharma and healthcare	EDA	International strategy	
Vandenbergh (2011)	IJBC	DMS	Displaying competence in M&A press releases	CA	Argentina, Spain	S	1	Oil & exploration sector	AD	Discursive strategies	
Varma (2011)	IJEM	EMs	Born global acquirers	CA	India	MP	5	Software & IT	AD	Born-global acquirers: resource-based view and institutional theory	
Yeoh (2011)	IMR	EMs	Dynamic internationalization patterns and location choice	TTD	India	MP	2	Pharma and healthcare	AD	Firm internationalization process, incremental issues	
Cambra-Fierro et al. (2012)	QQ	DMS	Market and learning orientation	CA	Spain	MP	–	Construction and real-estate	I&AD	Organizational strategy and learning orientation	
Ito et al. (2012)	JIM	DMS	Assimilation of organizational culture, post-merger issues	TTD	–	S	1	–	SAD	Post-merger integration issues	
Srivastava (2012)	JSM	EMs	Role of brand equity in merger evaluation	CA	India	MP	2	Pharma and healthcare	AD	Value and valuation method	
Teerikangas (2012)	JOM	DMS	Target employees reaction in cross-border acquisitions	TTD	Finland	MP	8	–	I&AD	Employee involvement, integration, organizational change	
Caiazza (2013)	JSM	DMS	Role of advisors in cross-border deals	CA	Australia, European region, China	MP	2	Food & beverage, Agricultural	I&AD	M&A path, merger evaluation	
Caiazza, Hsieh, Tiwari, and Topf (2013)	FS	DMS	Strategic, financial and operative perspectives of becoming big firm	CA	USA	S	1	Oil & exploration sector	AD	Resource based view issues of successful acquisition	
Geppert et al. (2013)	BJM	DMS	Institutional and ownership influences in international deals	CA	UK	MP	12	Brewery industry	AD	Resource based view, OLI framework, and institutional theories	
Lupina-Wegener (2013)	JOCM	DMS	Challenges of HR integration in subsidiary mergers	TTD	Poland	S	1	Banking & financial services	I&AD	Human resource as a functional integration following the acquisitions	
Mani (2013)	AJTI	EMs	Foreign direct investment and knowledge transfer	CA	India	MP	3	Automobile	AD	Theory of foreign direct investment	
Reddy, Nangia, and Agrawal (2013)	JPM	EMs	Ex-ante and ex-post evaluation of merger success	CA	India	S	1	Banking & financial services	AD	Market efficiency, simple and market returns	
Tsamenyi et al. (2013)	AF	EMs	International joint ventures	CA	UAE	S	1	Manufacturing	I&AD	Contract, trust, accounting, institutional issues	
Akerman (2014)	BJOM	DMS	International learning strategies	TTD	Sweden	MP	7	–	I&AD	Geographical knowledge aspects in firm internationalization process	
	JOCM	EMs		SI	Serbia	SI			SAD	Transformational leadership, firm performance	

Table 2 (continued)

Author(s)	Journal	Setting	Scope of the study	Goals of the research	Sampling area	Type of method	Number of cases	Industry	Data source	Theoretical background and development
Babić, Savović, and Domanović (2014)	IMR	DMs	Relationship between transformational leadership and post-deal performance	TTD	Sweden	MP	208 respondents from 10 companies	Manufacturing, services sector	AD	Uppsala model of Internationalization process
Ekman, Hadjikhani, Pajuvirta, and Thilenius (2014)			Internationalization process patterns				4	Banking & financial services		
Jordão, Souza, and Avelar (2014)	JBR	EMs	Organizational culture and post-acquisition changes in management control systems	CA	Brazil	S	1	Minerals and mining sector	I&AD	Post-acquisition changes in management control systems
Liu and Zhang (2014)	IBR	EMs	Learning process and capability formation in buyer–supplier relationships	TTD	Taiwan	MP	6	Software & IT	I&AD	Learning process and capability formation
Öberg (2014)	IMR	DMs	Customer relationship issues during post-merger stage	CA	–	MP	3	–	I&AD	Customer relationship, competitive advantage
Reddy et al. (2014)	ISMR	EMs	Impact of tax and taxation environment on prospect cross-border acquisition	TTD	India	S	1	Telecom sector	AD	Theory of foreign direct investment, OLI framework, Uppsala theory of firm internationalization process, liability of foreignness, Institutional theory and Information asymmetry theory. They proposed 'Farmers Fox theory'.
Wei and Clegg (2014)	JIM	DMs	International deals, integration issues	TTD	–	MP	6	Medical technology	I&AD	Integration mechanism, RBV
Aureli (2015)	JOCM	DMs	Financial performance and social impact before and after the merger	CA	Italy, India	MP	8	–	AD	Post-acquisition performance, company social impact
Bertoldi, Giachino, Bernard, and Prudenza (2015)	JBS	DMs	Assessing the potential of cross-border merger	CA	Italy, USA	S	1	Automobile	AD	M&A path, merger evaluation
Busquets (2015)	EJIS	DMs	Information, communication and technology (ICT) platform transfer in M&A path	TTD	Spain	S	1	Software & IT	I&AD	M&A path, negotiation process
Gunkel, Schlaegel,	IBR	DMs		TTD	–	MP	3	–	SAD	Organizational integration, employee outcome and responses

Rossteutscher, and Wolff (2015)			Human aspect of cross-border acquisition outcomes							
Lee, Kim, and Park (2015)	IBR	DMs	Cultural differences during post-acquisition integration	TTD	Sweden, South Korea	S	1	Machinery and equipment	I&AD	Post-merger integration issues
Paltrinieri (2015)	IJEM	EMs	Evaluating the merger success	CA	UAE	S	1	Banking & financial services	AD	Resource based view aspects, M&A path
Reddy (2015b)	WP	EMs	Impact of host country institutional environment on prospect cross-border inbound deals	TTD	India	MP	3	Telecom sector; Conglomerate	AD	Reinforced the Farmers Fox theory (Reddy et al., 2014) by testing 17 related theories
Reddy (2015c)	WP	EMs	Causes behind delayed/broken cross-border acquisitions	CA	India	MP	3	Telecom sector; Conglomerate	AD	Deal-, firm-, industry- and country-specific factors affecting cross-border M&A
Zheng, Wei, Zhang, and Yang (2015)	IBR	EMs	Asset acquisition motives of cross-border deals	TTD	China	MP	3	Machinery and equipment, Apparel & textile	I&AD	Resource based view; related theorems

Notes: List of abbreviations.

(a) Column 2 (Journal): AF – Accounting Forum, AJTI – Asian Journal of Technology Innovation, APBR – Asia-Pacific Business Review, BH – Business Horizons, BJM – British Journal of Management, BJOM – Baltic Journal of Management, CGIR – Corporate Governance International Review, CR - Competitiveness Review: An International Business Journal, EJIS – European Journal of Information Systems, EJM – European Journal of Marketing, EMJ – European Management Journal, ER – Employee Relations, FBR – Family Business Review, FS – Foresight, HR – Human Relations, HRM – Human Resource Management, IBR – International Business Review, IJBC – International Journal of Business Communication, IJEM – International Journal of Emerging Markets, IJIO – International Journal of Industrial Organization, IMR – International Marketing Review, IRFA – International Review of Financial Analysis, ISMR – International Strategic Management Review, JBF – Journal of Banking & Finance, JBR – Journal of Business Research, JBS – Journal of Business Strategy, JCF – Journal of Corporate Finance, JFBS – Journal of Family Business Strategy, JIBS – Journal of International Business Studies, JIM – Journal of International Management, JMI – Journal of Management Inquiry, JMS – Journal of Management Studies, JOCM – Journal of Organizational Change Management, JOM – Journal of Management, JPM – Journal of Policy Modeling, JSM – Journal of Strategy and Management, JWB – Journal of World Business, KPM – Knowledge and Process Management, LRP – Long Range Planning, MBR – Multinational Business Review, MCQ – Management Communication Quarterly, NML – Nonprofit Management and Leadership, OD – Organizational Dynamics, OG – Organization, OS – Organization Studies, OSc – Organization Science, PM – Psychology and Marketing, PR – Personnel Review, QQ – Quality and Quantity, SL – Strategy & Leadership, SMJ – Strategic Management Journal, WP – Working paper.

(b) Column 3 (Setting): DMs – developed markets, EMs – emerging markets.

(c) Column 5 (Objective of research): CA – case analysis, CEA – case and empirical analysis, CSM – case survey method, SI – survey and interview, TTD – theory testing and development.

(d) Column 7 (Type of method): MP – Multiple cases, S – single case, SI – survey and interview.

(e) Column 10 (Data source): AD – archival data, EDA – empirical data and analysis, I&AD – interview method and archival data, SAD – survey and/or archival data.

2.4. Selection criteria

We remember that selection criteria is one of the important steps that largely stressed in the case study research. For instance, Stake (1994) suggests that “relevance rather than representativeness is the criterion for case selection”. Case researchers should develop a deep-seated selection criteria to avoid their own interest or personal bias (here: personnel includes researcher, supervisors and research committee), and institutional force. By and large, this task offers two merits such as observations from cross-case analysis and improves quality and validity of the conclusions.

3. Past analysis and remarks

This section recaps a few interesting findings reported in previous studies. Firstly, a great extent of M&A studies have used quantitative research tools while qualitative research has given less importance due to difficulties in data collection, requires more time, dilemma on research quality, hard to find an outlet to publish the results, and so forth of reasons.

Secondly, M&A research offers an interdisciplinary platform both to conduct in-depth analysis (e.g., longitudinal, multi-aspect, multi-level) and to develop new perspectives compared to any other concept in management (Reddy, 2014). Following this, previous scholars have suggested that CSR provides better assistance in terms of thick description, theory testing and developing new theory, particularly in the emerging markets (e.g., Eisenhardt, 1989; Hoskisson, Eden, Lau, & Wright, 2000; London & Hart, 2004; Meyer et al., 2009). In a recent methodological survey on CSR practice in M&A research, using 55 cases Bengtsson and Larsson (2012) conclude that CSR is a powerful idiographic research approach, yet *much underutilized method in M&A research*. The authors cite that M&A literature has been heavily filled with empirical (nomothetic) and conceptual papers. They also notice 11 influential M&A case articles that were highly cited, in which 10 cases examined the post-acquisition integration. In case of entry-mode research for the period 1980–2006, Canabal and White (2008) report that three articles were published during the first nine-year period (1980–88); thereafter, a number of articles were increased by 35 (1989–97) and by 88 (1998–2006). They suggest that future researchers should aim to study ‘what happens once an entry mode choice has been made’, for instance, merger, acquisition, or joint venture. Likewise, Haleblian, Devers, McNamara, Carpenter, and Davison (2009) disclose three per cent (5 out of 167) case-based articles in M&A research during 1992–2007. Specifically, Shimizu, Hitt, Vaidyanath, and Pisano (2004) conduct an extensive review on cross-border M&A, highlighting that ‘*theoretical foundations* of the determinants of international deals remain *weak*’.

Thirdly, we provide some statistical findings related to IB research. For example, Piekkari et al. (2009, pp. 574–575) find that case-based articles appeared in four IB journals (*International Business Review*, *Journal of International Business Studies*, *Journal of World Business*, and *Management International Review*) between 1995 and 2005 have significantly increased by 513% (135) compared to the period 1975–1994 (22). From this observation, one may understand the importance of case method and its contribution to the IB field. By contrast, they also find that empirical-based articles that appeared during 1995–2005 dominate by 727 (56.5%) compared to case studies 135 (10.5%) out of the total number of 1,287 published articles. Collinson and Rugman (2010) conduct a bibliometric analysis of articles in management during 2004–2009 and report that case selection bias include (a) the bias towards US firms [North American firms account for 70% (68%) of the total 5060 hits in 2004 (2009), followed by European for 20% (21%), and Asia–Pacific for 9% (10%)]; (b) the bias towards large firms; (c) the bias towards manufacturing firms [e.g., service firms were under-represented in terms of article hits compared to manufacturing firms]; (d) the bias towards firms that hold dominant positions in important industries; (e) the bias towards firms that have been in existence for a long time; (f) the bias towards firms with a strong, recognizable brand; and (g) the bias towards global and bi-regional firms. Conversely, Ketchen, Boyd, and Bergh (2008, p. 646) review the *Strategic Management Journal* (SMJ) between 1980 and 2004, and find dramatic growth both in the volume of articles devoted to strategy topics and “in the use of empirical methods”. Albeit, we notice that SMJ has published *so far* very few case-based papers compared to any other journal in M&A field.

Lastly, when we draw attention to the use of multiple case method in IB journals, multiple case studies clearly predominated (98 vs. 37 single case studies), and 32% of them used a maximum of 10 cases (Piekkari et al., 2009, p. 580). Later studies, for instance, by Bengtsson and Larsson (2012) mention that there is significant evidence of high-impact single M&A case studies, there still seems to be a tendency of multi-cases having a greater impact due to the benefit of cross-case comparisons and external validity.

4. Summary of earlier studies using case method in M&A research

We survey M&A, entry-mode and internationalization research publications over the last two decades (up to July-2015). The literature survey is mainly emphasized to online journal publications. To accomplish this task, we deeply search various leading publishers' sites (e.g., Elsevier, Emerald, John Wiley, Sage, Springer) and Google Scholar. We find 93 journal articles that used case research method for different purposes in different institutional settings (Table 1, Fig. 2). This critical survey unveils that 66 (71%) articles focused on developed markets while 27 (29%) examined emerging markets; single (multiple) case based studies were found to be 44 (46), and remaining three used survey and interview method; 47 (50%) articles performed case analysis, followed by theory testing and development 33 (36%), empirical analysis 6 (7%), survey and interview 4 (4%) and case survey 3 (3%). Further, 56 articles used interview and archival sources as a data collection method, followed by archival data 25, empirical data 7, and survey and archival data 5. Further, industry- and journal-specific analysis shows exciting findings. It is also observed that a small number of studies examined pre-acquisition issues in cross-border deals compared to post-acquisition matters. The findings infer that application of CSR in cross-border M&A research at the *pre-acquisition stage* is emergent and thrust, for instance, motives of developed-market firms that intend to acquire firms in developing countries, and determinants of emerging market multinationals acquiring firms in developed markets.

All in all, we find several studies using CSR for different purposes. For instance, in-depth case analysis (e.g., Blaško, Netter, & Sinkey, 2000; Cambra-Fierro, Hart, Polo-Redondo, & Fuster-Mur, 2012; Conklin, 2005; Duncan & Mtar, 2006; Geppert, Dörrenbächer, Gammelgaard, & Taplin, 2013; Halsall, 2008; Knoerich, 2010; Quah & Young, 2005; Singer & Yankey, 1991; Sim, 2006; Ullrich, Wieseke, & Van Dick, 2005; Yip, Rugman, & Kudina, 2006), testing the existing theories/models and propositions/hypotheses (e.g., Fang, Fridh, & Schultzberg, 2004; Kshetri & Dholakia, 2009; Liu & Zhang, 2014; Meyer & Altenborg, 2007, 2008; Nicholson & Kiel, 2007; Sinkovics, Zagelmeyer, & Kusstatscher, 2011), building theories/models and offering propositions/hypotheses (e.g., Boehe, 2011; Deng, 2009; Dieleman & Sachs, 2008; Huang, Hu, & Chen, 2008; Lynes & Andrachuk, 2008; Maguire & Phillips, 2008; Reddy, Nangia, & Agrawal, 2014; Tsamenyi, Qureshi, & Yazdifar, 2013; Wei & Clegg, 2014), and other ideas (Jonsson & Foss, 2011; longitudinal case study: Nadolska & Barkema, 2007; case survey method: Larsson & Lubatkin, 2001; survey-based studies: Bjursell, 2011; Ito, Fujimura, & Tamiya, 2012; Krug & Nigh, 2001; London & Hart, 2004; Meyer et al., 2009; Very & Schweiger, 2001). We purposively summarize these studies for various taxonomies such as institutional setting, scope of the study, goals of the research, sampling area, method, number of cases, industry, data source and theoretical development (Table 2). Hence, our intention is not to evaluate the quality of previous work and highlight any remarks.

5. Research rigor: issues and opportunities

In this section, we specially emphasize on two aspects, namely how do we overcome problems relating to data collection, and how do we improve quality of study within the boundaries of case study method. Captivating this, we discuss the importance of triangulation, case study protocol, and quality and validity. In addition, one may also refer to the inputs suggested in previous conceptual notes and frameworks for reasons including the case selection biases and methodology rigor (Collinson & Rugman, 2010; Hoon, 2013; Reddy, 2015a), and using teaching cases for management research (Reddy & Agrawal, 2012). For instance, Ambrosini, Bowman, and Collier (2010) propose a set of guidelines for increasing the awareness on the use of teaching cases in management research of which they discuss teaching cases whether to-be used as an alternative to field research, and be used as secondary data in 'when' and 'how' taxonomies.

5.1. Triangulation

Triangulation is the critical, crucial and intelligence provider in case study research design. In our understanding, data triangulation supports the researcher in a range of research activities which include setting research objectives, research design and procedure, theory testing and importantly, theory development. It is defining a feature of case study approach (Ghauri & Firth, 2009). It helps case researchers to measure the quality of research output comprising trustworthiness of data, reliability of sources of data, and generalization of results to a larger population. It also enhances the construct validity (Yin, 2003), and the internal validity of the research (Ghauri, 2004; Pauwels &

Matthyssens, 2004). Simply, it is data procurement from multiple sources and different kinds of data on the same phenomenon (Ghauri & Firth, 2009).

A qualitative researcher should seek reliability of data sources and trustworthiness of data and its meaning by using multiple methods and different data sources (Thyer, 2001). Eisenhardt (1989) defines that “triangulation is a multiple data collection methods which provides stronger substantiation of constructs and hypotheses” (p. 538). Eriksson and Kovalainen (2008) mention that triangulation is the appropriate method to merge qualitative and quantitative data sources particularly in case study research. Few authors describe that it is “a process by which a single phenomenon is examined with multiple observers, theories, methods, or data sources to determine the degree of convergence across components” (cf. Curry, Nembhard, & Bradley, 2009, p. 1449). The main advantages include, it can produce a more holistic and relative representation of the research objectives, which study from different angles (Ghauri, 2004). Further, it is useful to crosscheck the findings with respective data sources (Eriksson & Kovalainen, 2008). In others' view, it has been used as a synonym for mixed methods but assumes a single reality, which is suitable within the realism paradigm and is not appropriate within constructivism or critical theory research (Sobh & Perry, 2006, p. 1202).

In the existing literature, we come across five kinds of triangulation. Yin (2003, pp. 97–99) proposed four types of which are data triangulation (using multiple sources of data), investigator triangulation (make use of more than one researcher), theory triangulation (to convey multiple theoretical frameworks for producing a mix of interpretations that used for theory testing), and methodological triangulation (blend of different methods or tools). However, it is important to mention, “a combination of interview, observation, and archival research can reduce possible distortions or misrepresentations” (Bitsch, 2005, p. 84). Pauwels and Matthyssens (2004) suggest fifth triangulation, that is, “analytical triangulation” (between-method triangulation, or within-method triangulation). Following this, we recall three kinds of triangulation, namely data triangulation, investigator triangulation and theory triangulation.

Data triangulation – is a mix of different data obtained from different sources. Therefore, the sources of data include primary and secondary data. We find a number of studies that applied CSR using primary data (interviews and questionnaire survey) in various institutional settings. Importantly, conducting case-based studies based on primary data is achievable in developed markets like US, UK, Sweden, France, Canada and other European economies. However, it is unlikely a practice especially in emerging markets (e.g., China, India, Africa) and is really a challenge to find key negotiators and convince them for the purpose of the study to secure their participation (e.g., Fang et al., 2004). In sum, interview or survey method is potential in post-merger integration (e.g., one or two cases) but not attainable in complex or litigated cross-border transactions, especially when the political intervention and regulatory (government) officials were propelled (Reddy et al., 2014).

On the other hand, archival data can be used independently as well, particularly when attempting to understand historical incidents, or economic or social systems [...] archival data often take a supporting role to interviews and observation in management research (Shah & Corley, 2006, p. 1829). For example, “media texts are important and accessible representation of public discourse on M&A, which include direct opinions or statements by corporate executives and politicians or aim at influencing such constituents rhetorically. Further, media texts serve as a rich repository and allow a researcher to perform systematic case/cross-case analysis in the given setting”. In that case, M&A media accounts discuss events of interest, often citing ‘experts’ and the ‘public’ on a given topic ... journalistic writing often involves metonymy which makes it a rich source of illustrations of the event (cf. Riad & Vaara, 2011, pp. 743–744). In essence, these texts tend to “contain a mix of both informative and persuasive elements ...” (Vandenbergh, 2011).

Investigator triangulation – in Stake's view (1995), using or appointing multiple researchers would be more helpful if the study represents interdisciplinary research, or based on different theoretical frameworks. Briefly, it is a right blend of principal investigator, research supervisors and committee, peers and anonymous referees.

Theory triangulation – Yin (2003) suggests it is an activity, which merges different interpretations derived from different theoretical backgrounds. Simply, we believe theory triangulation converts multidisciplinary into interdisciplinary. In Cheng, Henisz, Roth, and Swaminathan (2009), the authors cite that “any study or group of studies undertaken by scholars from two or more distinct scientific disciplines, based upon a conceptual model that integrates theoretical frameworks... not limited to any one field ... throughout multiple phases of the research process”. Conversely, mergers/acquisitions is the lasting focused-area in management, which has been significantly investigated in novel subjects like economics, corporate finance, accounting, law, strategic management, IB, organization and human resources, marketing, information technology, hospitality, transportation, production, logistics and supply chain, among others (Haleblian et al., 2009; Shimizu et al., 2004). For

instance, a cross-border acquisition mostly occurs in the form of FDI; therefore, it should investigate from different angles, particularly when dealing dispute, abuse or litigation between two different nations. As such, case scholars should develop an interdisciplinary theoretical framework to improve the quality of research as well as generalize the findings to a bigger population. In sum, it allows a researcher to test different theories and develop a theory within the interdisciplinary setting.

5.2. Case study protocol

The purpose of the case study protocol (CSP) is to record a set of steps and research procedures that were adopted and followed in the case study research. Yin (1994) mentions that case researchers must develop a well-considered set of actions, rather than using “subjective” judgments (p. 41). It reports the summary of whole research project and ensures that work is valuable. Hence, scholars in various settings are using it differently, for instance, to ensure that primary data (e.g. interviews) is akin and the findings are therefore reliable (Carson et al., 2001). In Gibbert and Ruigrok (2010) view, CSP is a careful documentation, or an acknowledgment of case study research in which it enhances transparency and supports the trustworthiness of research work. We thereby understand that CSP plays a vital role in CSR design and helps the researcher to measure the quality of the study. In other words, it is similar to a bookkeeping concept in accounting jargon that records the events of research timely and carefully.

5.3. Quality and validity

Lastly, we explore the quality of the case study method in management research for various reasons. Similar to quantitative approach, case researchers must check the research rigor which refers to reliability, construct validity, internal validity and external validity (Cook & Campbell, 1976). Simply, validity in qualitative research indicates the truthfulness of findings (Thyer, 2001). *Reliability* emphasizes on the trustworthiness of data, which should be linked to the data triangulation and case study protocol. *Construct validity* suggests that the data collection method includes multiple sources such as interviews, survey and archival data. *Internal validity* underlines the accuracy of researcher observations and findings that should be linked among data, investigator and theory triangulation. *External validity* defines the transferability of results to a larger population. Regrettably, CSR has often criticized for various reasons, especially less rigor and biased results (Willis, 2007) due to its “qualitative sense” of findings (Shenkar, 2004). Yet, one should also remember that good social science research is *problem* driven and not *methodology* driven (Flyvbjerg, 2006, p. 242).

6. Conclusions

Qualitative researchers often use the case study method to test and advance the existing theory as well as to build new theory. Indeed, it is one of the legitimate tools of qualitative research to analyze critical organizational events in the given setting both to find a problem and to arrive at a conclusion. It suffers from different causes that are responsible for the quality and validity of the study. Research rigor not only depends upon the quality of the design and its implementation but also depends upon the researcher skills and experience, especially in qualitative case study approach. This study drawn attention at exploring the state of case study method in M&A related literature for various reasons, provided no previous study examined this. First, it presented bibliometric analysis and summary of previous studies using case method in M&A research. It highlighted that 93 journal articles use case method of which 66 (27) articles focus on developed (emerging) markets, single (multiple) case based studies are 44 (46) and remaining three adopt survey and interview method. Second, it offered a set of guidelines for improving the research rigor matters including triangulation, case study protocol, and quality and validity. On top of that, it also recalls four pillars of case method: sampling, sampling time, sampling area and selection criteria. Therefore, we conclude that application of case study method to M&A research in particular and to management research in general has embarked emerging markets settings for purposes including case analysis, testing extant theory and building new theory, and foresee increasing use of it among different management streams.

This review paper is limited to online journal publications and case study research. Hence, a survey of qualitative and quantitative methods in different growth strategies calls future research. Topical themes such as reasons behind unsuccessful acquisitions in local and international settings, post-merger integration management, cultural issues and challenges following foreign acquisitions, deal mechanism and role of managers, termination of managers in winning

and losing deals, characteristics and motives of emerging market firms participating in overseas acquisitions, and employee training and organizational change performance' offer unique setting as to adopt the case study method in future explorations.

Acknowledgments

Author wishes to thank Editor-in-Chief and anonymous referees of *Future Business Journal* for their thoughtful comments on previous version of this paper. All remaining errors are the responsibility of the author. The usual disclaimer applies.

References

- Aharoni, Y., & Brock, D. M. (2010). International business research: looking back and looking forward. *Journal of International Management*, 16(1), 5–15.
- Akerman, N. (2014). An international learning typology: strategies and outcomes for internationalizing firms. *Baltic Journal of Management*, 9(4), 382–402.
- Ambrosini, V., Bowman, C., & Collier, N. (2010). Using teaching case studies for management research. *Strategic Organization*, 8(3), 206–229.
- Angwin, D. (2001). Mergers and acquisitions across European borders: national perspectives on preacquisition due diligence and the use of professional advisers. *Journal of World Business*, 36(1), 32–57.
- Antila, E. M., & Kakkonen, A. (2008). Factors affecting the role of HR managers in international mergers and acquisitions: A multiple case study. *Personnel Review*, 37(3), 280–299.
- Aureli, S. (2015). Performance of unlisted Italian companies acquired by multinationals from emerging markets. The case of Indian acquisitions. *Journal of Organizational Change Management*, 28(5), 895–924.
- Babić, V. M., Savović, S. D., & Domanović, V. M. (2014). Transformational leadership and post-acquisition performance in transitional economies. *Journal of Organizational Change Management*, 27(6), 856–876.
- Baroncelli, A. (1998). Telecom Italia: Merging five companies into one. *Long Range Planning*, 31(3), 377–395.
- Bello, D. C., & Kostova, T. (2012). From the Editors: conducting high impact international business research: the role of theory. *Journal of International Business Studies*, 43(6), 537–543.
- Bengtsson, L., & Larsson, R. (2012). Researching mergers & acquisitions with the case study method: Idiographic understanding of longitudinal integration processes. Working paper, 2012/4, Centre for Strategic Innovation Research. In: Y. Weber (Ed.), *Handbook for Mergers and Acquisitions Research* (pp. 172–202). Cheltenham, UK: Edward Elgar.
- Bertoldi, B., Giachino, C., Bernard, S., & Prudenza, V. (2015). Fiat-Chrysler deal: Looking for a good returns from M&A. *Journal of Business Strategy*, 36(4), 23–33.
- Birkinshaw, J., Brannen, M. Y., & Tung, R. L. (2011). From a distance and generalizable to up close and grounded: Reclaiming a place for qualitative methods in international business research. *Journal of International Business Studies*, 42(5), 573–581.
- Bitsch, V. (2005). Qualitative research: A grounded theory example and evaluation criteria. *Journal of Agribusiness*, 23(1), 75–91.
- Bjursell, C. (2011). Cultural divergence in merging family businesses. *Journal of Family Business Strategy*, 2(1), 69–77.
- Blaško, M., Netter, J. M., & Sinkey, J. F. (2000). Value creation and challenges of an international transaction: The DaimlerChrysler merger. *International Review of Financial Analysis*, 9(1), 77–102.
- Boehe, D. M. (2011). Exploiting the liability of foreignness: Why do service firms exploit foreign affiliate networks at home? *Journal of International Management*, 17(1), 15–29.
- Budhwar, P. S., Varma, A., Katou, A. A., & Narayan, D. (2009). The role of HR in cross-border mergers and acquisitions: The case of Indian pharmaceutical firms. *Multinational Business Review*, 17(2), 89–110.
- Busquets, J. (2015). Discovery paths: exploring emergence and IT evolutionary design in cross-border M&As. analysing grupo Santander's acquisition of abbey (2004–2009). *European Journal of Information Systems*, 24(2), 178–201.
- Caiazza, R. (2013). Advisors' role in cross-border acquisitions: New challenges and opportunities. *Journal of Strategy and Management*, 6(3), 309–314.
- Caiazza, R., Hsieh, W., Tiwari, M., & Topf, D. (2013). M&A between giants: The fastest way to dominate the world economy. *Foresight*, 15(3), 228–239.
- Calandro, J., Jr (2008). The Sears acquisition: A retrospective case study of value detection. *Strategy & Leadership*, 36(3), 26–34.
- Cambra-Fierro, J. J., Hart, S., Polo-Redondo, Y., & Fuster-Mur, A. (2012). Market and learning orientation in times of turbulence: Relevance questioned? An analysis using a multi-case study. *Quality and Quantity*, 46(3), 855–871.
- Canabal, A., & White, G. O. (2008). Entry mode research: Past and future. *International Business Review*, 17(3), 267–284.
- Carr, C. (1997). Competency-led strategies based on international collaboration: Four case studies of Anglo-Japanese cooperation. *Knowledge and Process Management*, 4(1), 49–62.
- Carson, D., Gilmore, A., Perry, C., & Gronhaug, K. (2001). *Qualitative marketing research: Case-based research* (Sage Research Methods online version: (<http://srmo.sagepub.com/view/qualitative-marketing-research-carson/n7.xml>)).
- Cartwright, S., & Cooper, C. L. (1993). The psychological impact of merger and acquisition on the individual: A study of building society managers. *Human Relations*, 46(3), 327–347.

- Cheng, J. L. C., Henisz, W. J., Roth, K., & Swaminathan, A. (2009). From the editors: Advancing interdisciplinary research in the field of international business: Prospects, issues and challenges. *Journal of International Business Studies*, 40, 1070–1074.
- Child, J., & Tsai, T. (2005). The dynamic between firms' environmental strategies and institutional constraints in emerging economies: Evidence from China and Taiwan. *Journal of Management Studies*, 42(1), 95–125.
- Collinson, S., & Rugman, A. M. (2010). Case selection biases in management research: The implications for international business studies. *European Journal of International Management*, 4(5), 441–463.
- Conklin, D. W. (2005). Cross-border mergers and acquisitions: A response to environmental transformation. *Journal of World Business*, 40(1), 29–40.
- Cook, T. D., & Campbell, D. T. (1976). The design and conduct of quasi-experiments and true experiments in field settings. In: M. D. Dunnette (Ed.), *Handbook of industrial and organizational psychology* (pp. 223–336). Chicago: Rand McNally.
- Curry, L. A., Nembhard, I. M., & Bradley, E. H. (2009). Qualitative and mixed methods provide unique contributions to outcomes research. *Circulation*, 119(10), 1442–1452.
- Cusella, L. P. (2000). Managing after the merger—Case analysis. *Management Communication Quarterly*, 13(4), 668–678.
- Deng, P. (2009). Why do Chinese firms tend to acquire strategic assets in international expansion?. *Journal of World Business*, 44(1), 74–84.
- Dieleman, M., & Sachs, W. M. (2008). Coevolution of institutions and corporations in emerging economies: How the Salim Group morphed into an institution of Suharto's Crony regime. *Journal of Management Studies*, 45(7), 1274–1300.
- Doz, Y. (2011). Qualitative research for international business. *Journal of International Business Studies*, 42(5), 582–590.
- Drori, I., Wrzesniewski, A., & Ellis, S. (2011). Cultural clashes in a “merger of equals”: The case of high-tech start-ups. *Human Resource Management*, 50(5), 625–649.
- Duncan, C., & Mtar, M. (2006). Determinants of international acquisition success: Lessons from FirstGroup in North America. *European Management Journal*, 24(6), 396–410.
- Eisenhardt, K. M. (1989). Building theories from case study research. *Academy of Management Review*, 14(4), 532–550.
- Eisenhardt, K. M., & Graebner, M. E. (2007). Theory building from cases: Opportunities and challenges. *Academy of Management Journal*, 50(1), 25–32.
- Ekman, P., Hadjikhani, A. I., Pajuvirta, A., & Thilenius, P. (2014). Tit for tat and big steps: The case of Swedish banks' internationalization 1961–20. *International Business Review*, 23(6), 1049–1063.
- Epstein, M. J. (2005). The determinants and evaluation of merger success. *Business Horizons*, 48(1), 37–46.
- Eriksson, P., & Kovalainen, A. (2008). *Qualitative methods in business research: Introduction, and case study research* (Sage Research Methods online version: <http://srmo.sagepub.com/view/qualitative-methods-in-business-research/d66.xml>).
- Fang, T., Fridh, C., & Schultzberg, S. (2004). Why did the Telia–Telenor merger fail?. *International Business Review*, 13(5), 573–594.
- Flyvbjerg, B. (2006). Five misunderstandings about case-study research. *Qualitative Inquiry*, 12(2), 219–245.
- Geppert, M., Dörrenbächer, C., Gammelgaard, J., & Taplin, I. (2013). Managerial risk-taking in international acquisitions in the brewery industry: Institutional and ownership influences compared. *British Journal of Management*, 24(3), 316–332.
- Ghauri, P. N. (2004). Designing and conducting case studies in international business research. In: R. Marschan-Piekkari, & C. Welch (Eds.), *Handbook of qualitative research methods for international business* (pp. 109–124). Cheltenham, UK: Edward Elgar.
- Ghauri, P. N., & Firth, R. (2009). The formalization of case study research in international business. *Der Markt*, 48(1–2), 29–40.
- Gibbert, M., & Ruigrok, W. (2010). The “what” and “how” of case study rigor: Three strategies based on published work. *Organizational Research Methods*, 13(4), 710–737.
- Gomm, R., Hammersley, M., & Foster, P. (2009). *Case study method: Case study and generalization* (Sage Research Methods online version: <http://srmo.sagepub.com/view/case-study-method/d9.xml?rskey=lltFpc>).
- Gunkel, M., Schlaegel, C., Rossteutscher, T., & Wolff, B. (2015). The human aspect of cross-border acquisition outcomes: The role of management practices, employee emotions, and national culture. *International Business Review*, 24(3), 394–408.
- Haleblian, J., Devers, C. E., McNamara, G., Carpenter, M. A., & Davison, R. B. (2009). Taking stock of what we know about mergers and acquisitions: A review and research agenda. *Journal of Management*, 35(3), 469–502.
- Halsall, R. (2008). Intercultural mergers and acquisitions as ‘legitimacy crises’ of models of capitalism: A UK-German case study. *Organization*, 15(6), 787–809.
- Harris, S. E. (2010). The Deloitte & Touche merger decision: Lessons learned from a successful merger. *Organizational Dynamics*, 39(3), 279–287.
- Hoon, C. (2013). Meta-synthesis of qualitative case studies: An approach to theory building. *Organizational Research Methods*, 16(4), 522–556.
- Hoskisson, R. E., Eden, L., Lau, C. M., & Wright, M. (2000). Strategy in emerging economies. *Academy of Management Journal*, 43(3), 249–267.
- Huang, T. Y., Hu, J. S., & Chen, K. C. (2008). The influence of market and product knowledge resource embeddedness on the international mergers of advertising agencies: The case-study approach. *International Business Review*, 17(5), 587–599.
- Ito, S., Fujimura, S., & Tamiya, T. (2012). Does cultural assimilation affect organizational decision-making on quality-related incidents? – A company's post-M&A experience. *Journal of International Management*, 18(2), 160–179.
- Jonsson, A., & Foss, N. J. (2011). International expansion through flexible replication: Learning from the internationalization experience of IKEA. *Journal of International Business Studies*, 42(9), 1079–1102.
- Jordão, R. V.D., Souza, A. A., & Avelar, E. A. (2014). Organizational culture and post-acquisition changes in management control systems: An analysis of a successful Brazilian case. *Journal of Business Research*, 67(4), 542–549.
- Karim, S., & Mitchell, W. (2004). Innovating through acquisition and internal development: A quarter-century of boundary evolution at Johnson & Johnson. *Long Range Planning*, 37(6), 525–547.
- Ketchen, D. J., Boyd, B. K., & Bergh, D. D. (2008). Research methodology in strategic management: Past accomplishments and future challenges. *Organizational Research Methods*, 11(4), 643–658.

- Knoerich, J. (2010). Gaining from the global ambitions of emerging economy enterprises: An analysis of the decision to sell a German firm to a Chinese acquirer. *Journal of International Management*, 16(2), 177–191.
- Krug, J. A., & Nigh, D. (2001). Executive perceptions in foreign and domestic acquisitions: An analysis of foreign ownership and its effect on executive fate. *Journal of World Business*, 36(1), 85–105.
- Kshetri, N., & Dholakia, N. (2009). Professional and trade associations in a nascent and formative sector of a developing economy: A case study of the NASSCOM effect on the Indian offshoring industry. *Journal of International Management*, 15(2), 225–239.
- Larsson, R. (1993). Case survey methodology: Quantitative analysis of patterns across case studies. *Academy of Management Journal*, 36(6), 1515–1546.
- Larsson, R., & Finkelstein, S. (1999). Integrating strategic, organizational, and human resource perspectives on mergers and acquisitions: A case survey of synergy realization. *Organization Science*, 10(1), 1–26.
- Larsson, R., & Lubatkin, M. (2001). Achieving acculturation in mergers and acquisitions: An international case survey. *Human Relations*, 54(12), 1573–1607.
- Lebedev, S., Peng, M. W., Xie, E., & Stevens, C. E. (2015). Mergers and acquisitions in and out of emerging economies. *Journal of World Business*, 50(4), 651–662.
- Lee, S.-J., Kim, J., & Park, B. I. (2015). Culture clashes in cross-border mergers and acquisitions: A case study of Sweden's Volvo and South Korea's Samsu. *International Business Review*, 24(4), 580–593.
- Liu, C.-L., & Zhang, Y. Z. (2014). Learning process and capability formation in cross-border buyer–supplier relationships: A qualitative case study of Taiwanese technological firms. *International Business Review*, 23(4), 718–730.
- London, T., & Hart, S. L. (2004). Reinventing strategies for emerging markets: Beyond the transnational model. *Journal of International Business Studies*, 35(5), 350–370.
- Lupina-Wegener, A. A. (2013). Human resource integration in subsidiary mergers and acquisitions: Evidence from Poland. *Journal of Organizational Change Management*, 26(2), 286–304.
- Lynes, J., & Andrachuk, M. (2008). Motivations for corporate social and environmental responsibility: A case study of Scandinavian Airlines. *Journal of International Management*, 14(4), 377–390.
- Maguire, S., & Phillips, N. (2008). 'Citibankers' at Citigroup: A study of the loss of institutional trust after a merg. *Journal of Management Studies*, 45(2), 372–401.
- Mani, S. (2013). Outward foreign direct investment from India and knowledge flows, the case of three automotive firms. *Asian Journal of Technology Innovation*, 21(S1), 25–38.
- Matthyssens, P., & Pauwels, P. (2000). Uncovering international market-exit processes: A comparative case study. *Psychology & Marketing*, 17(8), 697–719.
- Meglio, O., & Risberg, A. (2010). Mergers and acquisitions—Time for a methodological rejuvenation of the field?. *Scandinavian Journal of Management*, 26(1), 87–95.
- Melkonian, T., Monin, P., & Noorderhaven, N. G. (2011). Distributive justice, procedural justice, exemplarity, and employees' willingness to cooperate in M&A integration processes: An analysis of the Air France–KLM merger. *Human Resource Management*, 50(6), 809–837.
- Meyer, C. B. (2001). A case in case study methodology. *Field Methods*, 13(4), 329–352.
- Meyer, C. B., & Altenborg, E. (2007). The disintegrating effects of equality: A study of a failed international merger. *British Journal of Management*, 18(3), 257–271.
- Meyer, C. B., & Altenborg, E. (2008). Incompatible strategies in international mergers: The failed merger between Telia and Telenor. *Journal of International Business Studies*, 39(3), 508–525.
- Meyer, K. E. (2006). Asian management research needs more self-confidence. *Asia Pacific Journal of Management*, 23(2), 119–137.
- Meyer, K. E., Estrin, S., Bhaumik, S. K., & Peng, M. W. (2009). Institutions, resources, and entry strategies in emerging economies. *Strategic Management Journal*, 30(1), 61–80.
- Mickelson, R. E., & Worley, C. (2003). Acquiring a family firm: A case study. *Family Business Review*, 16(4), 251–268.
- Miller, K. D., & Tsang, E. W.K. (2011). Testing management theories: Critical realist philosophy and research methods. *Strategic Management Journal*, 32(2), 139–158.
- Moore, F. (2011). Holistic ethnography: Studying the impact of multiple national identities on post-acquisition organizations. *Journal of International Business Studies*, 42(5), 654–671.
- Mtar, M. (2010). Institutional, industry and power effects on integration in cross-border acquisitions. *Organization Studies*, 31(8), 1099–1127.
- Nadolska, A., & Barkema, H. G. (2007). Learning to internationalise: The pace and success of foreign acquisitions. *Journal of International Business Studies*, 38(7), 1170–1186.
- Nicholson, G. J., & Kiel, G. C. (2007). Can directors impact performance? A case-based test of three theories of corporate governance. *Corporate Governance: An International Review*, 15(4), 585–608.
- Öberg, C. (2014). Customer relationship challenges following international acquisitions. *International Marketing Review*, 31(3), 259–282.
- Öberg, C., Grundström, C., & Jönsson, P. (2011). Acquisitions and network identity change. *European Journal of Marketing*, 45(9-10), 1470–1500.
- Outhwaite, W., & Turner, S. P. (2007). *The SAGE handbook of social science methodology: Case study* (Sage Research Methods online version: <http://srmo.sagepub.com/view/the-sage-handbook-of-social-science-methodology/n6.xml?rskey=zCtvBL>).
- Palmer, G., Parry, J., & Webb, M. (2005). Small unions and mergers: Evidence from two case studies. *Employee Relations*, 27(4), 340–353.
- Paltrinieri, A. (2015). Stock exchange industry in UAE: An assessment of potential merger between Dubai financial market and Abu Dhabi securities exchange. *International Journal of Emerging Markets*, 10(3), 362–382.
- Paul, J., & Bhawsar, P. (2011). Japanese acquisition in India's Ranba. *Competitiveness Review: An International Business Journal*, 21(5), 452–470.

- Pauwels, P., & Matthyssens, P. (2004). The architecture of multiple case study research in international business. In: R. Marschan-Piekkari, & C. Welch (Eds.), *Handbook of qualitative research methods for international business*. Cheltenham, UK: Edward Elgar.
- Payne, G., & Payne, J. (2004). *Key concepts in social research. Case study* (Sage Research Methods online version: (<http://srmo.sagepub.com/view/key-concepts-in-social-research/n6.xml?rskey=HPyBIX>)).
- Peng, M. W. (2004). Identifying the big question in international business research. *Journal of International Business Studies*, 35(2), 99–108.
- Piekkari, R., Welch, C., & Paavilainen, E. (2009). The case study as disciplinary convention: Evidence from international business journals. *Organizational Research Methods*, 12(3), 567–589.
- Pierce, T., & Dougherty, D. S. (2002). The construction, enactment, and maintenance of power-as-domination through an acquisition: The case of TWA and Ozark airlines. *Management Communication Quarterly*, 16(2), 129–164.
- Poulis, K., Poulis, E., & Plakoyiannaki, E. (2013). The role of context in case study selection: An international business perspective. *International Business Review*, 22(1), 304–314.
- Quah, P., & Young, S. (2005). Post-acquisition management: A phases approach for cross-border M&As. *European Management Journal*, 23(1), 65–75.
- Raukko, M. (2009). Organizational commitment during organizational changes: A longitudinal case study on acquired key employees. *Baltic Journal of Management*, 4(3), 331–352.
- Reddy, K. S. (2014). Extant reviews on entry-mode/internationalization, mergers & acquisitions, and diversification: Understanding theories and establishing interdisciplinary research. *Pacific Science Review*, 16(4), 250–274.
- Reddy, K. S. (2015a). Beating the odds! Build theory from emerging markets phenomenon and the emergence of case study research – A “Test-Tube” typology. *Cogent Business & Management*, 2(1), 1–25.
- Reddy, K. S. (2015b). *Revisiting and reinforcing the Farmers Fox theory: A study (test) of three cases in cross-border inbound acquisitions*. Working paper. (http://mpr.aub.uni-muenchen.de/63561/1/MPRA_paper_63561.pdf).
- Reddy, K. S. (2015c). *Why do cross-border merger/acquisition deals become delayed, or unsuccessful? – A cross-case analysis in the dynamic industries*. Working paper. (http://mpr.aub.uni-muenchen.de/63940/1/MPRA_paper_63940.pdf).
- Reddy, K. S., & Agrawal, R. (2012). Designing case studies from secondary sources – A conceptual framework. *International Management Review*, 8(2), 63–70.
- Reddy, K. S., Nangia, V. K., & Agrawal, R. (2013). Indian economic-policy reforms, bank mergers, and lawful proposals: The ex-ante and ex-post ‘lookup’. *Journal of Policy Modeling*, 35(4), 601–622.
- Reddy, K. S., Nangia, V. K., & Agrawal, R. (2014). Farmers Fox Theory: Does a country's weak regulatory system benefit both the acquirer and the target firm? Evidence from Vodafone-Hutchison deal. *International Strategic Management Review*, 2(1), 56–67.
- Rhoades, S. A. (1998). The efficiency effects of bank mergers: An overview of case studies of nine mergers. *Journal of Banking and Finance*, 22(3), 273–291.
- Riad, S., & Vaara, E. (2011). Varieties of national metonymy in media accounts of international mergers and acquisitions. *Journal of Management Studies*, 48(4), 737–771.
- Rowlinson, M. (1995). Strategy, structure and culture: Cadbury, divisionalization and merger in the 1960s. *Journal of Management Studies*, 32(2), 121–140.
- Seno-Alday, S. (2010). International business thought: a 50-year footprint. *Journal of International Management*, 16(1), 16–31.
- Shah, S. K., & Corley, K. G. (2006). Building better theory by bridging the quantitative–qualitative divide. *Journal of Management Studies*, 43(8), 1821–1835.
- Shenkar, O. (2004). One more time: International business in a global economy. *Journal of International Business Studies*, 35(2), 161–171.
- Shimizu, K., Hitt, M. A., Vaidyanath, D., & Pisano, V. (2004). Theoretical foundations of cross-border mergers and acquisitions: A review of current research and recommendations for the future. *Journal of International Management*, 10(3), 307–353.
- Sim, A. B. (2006). Internationalization strategies of emerging Asian MNEs—case study evidence on Singaporean and Malaysian firms. *Asia Pacific Business Review*, 12(4), 487–505.
- Singer, M. I., & Yankey, J. A. (1991). Organizational metamorphosis: A study of eighteen nonprofit mergers, acquisitions, and consolidations. *Nonprofit Management & Leadership*, 1(4), 357–369.
- Sinkovics, R. R., Zagelmeyer, S., & Kusstatscher, V. (2011). Between merger and syndrome: The intermediary role of emotions in four cross-border M&As. *International Business Review*, 20(1), 27–47.
- Sobh, R., & Perry, C. (2006). Research design and data analysis in realism research. *European Journal of Marketing*, 40(11–12), 1194–1209.
- Srivastava, R. K. (2012). The role of brand equity on mergers and acquisition in the pharmaceutical industry: When do firms learn from their merger and acquisition experience?. *Journal of Strategy and Management*, 5(3), 266–283.
- Stahl, G. K., Larsson, R., Kremershof, I., & Sitkin, S. B. (2011). Trust dynamics in acquisitions: A case survey. *Human Resource Management*, 50(5), 575–603.
- Stake, R. E. (1994). Case studies. In: N. K. Denzin, & Y. S. Lincoln (Eds.), *Handbook of qualitative research*. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.
- Stake, R. E. (1995). *The art of case study research*. London: Sage.
- Steen, A., & Welch, L. S. (2006). Dancing with giants: Acquisition and survival of the family firm. *Family Business Review*, 19(4), 289–300.
- Syrjälä, J., Takala, T., & Sintonen, T. (2009). Narratives as a tool to study personnel wellbeing in corporate mergers. *Qualitative Research*, 9(3), 263–284.
- Teegavarapu, S., & Summers, J. D. (2008). Case study method for design research. *Proceedings of IDETC/DTM 2008 and ASME 2008 International design engineering technical conferences & computers and information in engineering conference*. August 3–6, 2008, New York City, New York, USA.
- Teerikangas, S. (2012). Dynamics of acquired firm pre-acquisition employee reactions. *Journal of Management*, 38(2), 599–639.

- Tenn, S., & Yun, J. M. (2011). The success of divestitures in merger enforcement: Evidence from the J&J–Pfizer transaction. *International Journal of Industrial Organization*, 29(2), 273–282.
- Thyer, B. A. (2001). *The handbook of social work research methods. reliability and validity in qualitative research* (Sage Research Methods online version: (<http://srmo.sagepub.com/view/the-handbook-of-social-work-research-methods/n15.xml>)).
- Tienari, J., Vaara, E., & Björkman, I. (2003). Global capitalism meets national spirit: Discourses in media texts on a cross-border acquisition. *Journal of Management Inquiry*, 12(4), 377–393.
- Tsamenyi, M., Qureshi, A. Z., & Yazdifar, H. (2013). The contract, accounting and trust: a case study of an international joint venture (IJV) in the United Arab Emirates (UAE). *Accounting Forum*, 37(3), 182–195.
- Tsang, E. K.W. (2013). Case study methodology: Causal explanation, contextualization, and theorizing. *Journal of International Management*, 19(2), 195–202.
- Tsang, E. W.K. (2014). Case studies and generalization in information systems research: A critical realist perspective. *Journal of Strategic Information Systems*, 23(2), 174–186.
- Ullrich, J., Wieseke, J., & Van Dick, R. (2005). Continuity and change in mergers and acquisitions: A social identity case study of a German industrial merger. *Journal of Management Studies*, 42(8), 1549–1569.
- Vaara, E., & Monin, P. (2010). A recursive perspective on discursive legitimation and organizational action in mergers and acquisitions. *Organization Science*, 21(1), 3–22.
- Vandenbergh, J. (2011). Repsol meets YPF: Displaying competence in cross-border M&A press releases. *International Journal of Business Communication*, 48(4), 373–392.
- Varma, S. (2011). Born global acquirers from Indian IT: An exploratory case study. *International Journal of Emerging Markets*, 6(4), 351–368.
- Very, P., & Schweiger, D. M. (2001). The acquisition process as a learning process: Evidence from a study of critical problems and solutions in domestic and cross-border deals. *Journal of World Business*, 36(1), 11–31.
- Wan, K. M., & Wong, K. F. (2009). Economic impact of political barriers to cross-border acquisitions: An empirical study of CNOOC's unsuccessful takeover of Unocal. *Journal of Corporate Finance*, 15(4), 447–468.
- Wei, T., & Clegg, J. (2014). Successful integration of target firms in international acquisitions: A comparative study in the Medical Technology industry. *Journal of International Management*, 20(2), 237–255.
- Welch, C., Piekkari, R., Plakoyiannaki, E., & Paavilainen-Mäntymäki, E. (2011). Theorising from case studies: Towards a pluralist future for international business research. *Journal of International Business Studies*, 42(5), 740–762.
- Willis, J. W. (2007). *Foundations of qualitative research: Interpretive and critical approaches: Methods of qualitative research* (Sage Research Methods online version: (<http://srmo.sagepub.com/view/foundations-of-qualitative-research/n7.xml?rskey=pfaJDm>)).
- Woodside, A. G. (2010). *Case study research: theory, methods and practice*. Bingley, UK: Emerald.
- Woodside, A. G., & Wilson, E. J. (2003). Case study research methods for theory building. *Journal of Business & Industrial Marketing*, 18(6–7), 493–508.
- Yeoh, P.-L. (2011). Location choice and the internationalization sequence: Insights from Indian pharmaceutical companies. *International Marketing Review*, 28(3), 291–312.
- Yin, R. K. (1981). The case study crisis: Some answers. *Administrative Science Quarterly*, 26(1), 58–65.
- Yin, R. K. (1984). *Case study research: design and methods*. Beverly Hills, CA: Sage.
- Yin, R. K. (1994). *Case study research: design and methods* (2nd ed.). Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.
- Yin, R. K. (2003). *Case study research: design and methods* (3rd edition). Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.
- Yip, G. S., Rugman, A. M., & Kudina, A. (2006). International success of British companies. *Long Range Planning*, 39(3), 241–264.
- Zheng, N., Wei, Y., Zhang, Y., & Yang, J. (2015). In search of strategic assets through cross-border merger and acquisitions: Evidence from Chinese multinational enterprises in developed economies. *International Business Review* in press.